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Analgesic effects of an ethanol extract of the fruits of Xylopia aethiopica (Dunal) A. Rich (Annonaceae) and the major constituent, xylopic acid in murine models
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ABSTRACT

Background: Fruit extracts of Xylopia aethiopica are used traditionally in the management of pain disorders including rheumatism, headache, colic pain, and neuralgia. Little pharmacological data exists in scientific literature of the effect of the fruit extract and its major diterpene, xylopic acid, on pain. The present study evaluated the analgesic properties of the ethanol extract of X. aethiopica (XAE) and xylopic acid (XA), in murine models. Materials and Methods: XAE and XA were assessed in chemical (acetic acid-induced abdominal writhing and formalin tests), thermal (Tail-flick and Hargreaves thermal hyperalgesia tests), and mechanical (Randall-Selitto paw pressure test) pain models. Results: XAE and XA exhibited significant analgesic activity in all the pain models used. XAE (30-300 mg kg⁻¹, p.o.) and XA (10-100 mg kg⁻¹, p.o.) inhibited acetic acid-induced visceral nociception, formalin-induced paw pain (both neurogenic and inflammatory), thermal pain as well as carrageenan-induced mechanical and thermal hyperalgesia in animals. Morphine (1-10 mg kg⁻¹, i.p.) and diclofenac (1-10 mg kg⁻¹, i.p.), used as controls, exhibited similar anti-nociceptive activities. XAE and XA did not induce tolerance to their respective anti-nociceptive effects in the formalin test after chronic administration. Morphine tolerance did not also cross-generalize to the analgesic effects of XAE or XA. Conclusions: These findings establish the analgesic properties of the ethanol fruit extract of X. aethiopica and its major diterpene, xylopic acid.
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Pain is associated with most disease states, and it is usually the major factor of the disease that alerts the patient to seek medical treatment.¹ Currently, pain treatment is far from perfect and although some of the reasons for this are attributable to inappropriate or insufficient use of existing therapies,²⁻⁴ there is clearly a need for more effective analgesics. Again, most available analgesics have considerable side effects, especially on long term use, hence the need for the development of new analgesics with minimum side effects. Since natural products offer a huge potential for new pharmacological agents, the assessment of medicinal plants as alternative/complementary therapies is justified.

The fruit of Xylopia aethiopica (Dunal) A. Rich (Family: Annonaceae), commonly known as African pepper in West Africa, is used for the treatment of rheumatism, headache, neuralgia, and colic pain.⁵ It was employed in government hospitals in Ghana to induce placental discharge postpartum due to its abortifacient effect.⁵,⁶ The ethanolic extract of the fruits has been shown to exert antimicrobial action against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria,⁷ and this was attributed to xylopic acid and the kaurene derivatives in the extract. The fruit of Xylopia aethiopica has been found to contain kaurenes, a class of diterpenes, namely kaurenoic and xylopic acid.⁸ Kauranes are compounds of rigid tetracyclic skeleton, and they form intermediates in the biosynthesis of plant growth hormones such as gibberellins.⁹ Many biological activities have been reported for different kauranes including antimicrobial, cytotoxic, anti-parasitic, insect antifeedant, anti-HIV, and anti-inflammatory activities.¹⁰⁻¹¹ The anti-inflammatory activity of the kauranes has been shown to involve the impairment of inflammation signaling through inhibition of NF-κB activity."
Kuarenoic acid (ent-kaur-16-en-19-oic acid), a well-known ent-kaurene diterpene, is reported to have different biological activities such as analgesia,\textsuperscript{[13]} diuretic, vasorelaxant, anti-inflammatory and anti-pyretic effects in rodents.\textsuperscript{[14,15]} Xylopic acid (15β-acetoxy-(−)-kaur-16-en-19-oic acid; Figure 1) and its epimer, acetylgrandifloric acid (15α-acetoxy-(−)-kaur-16-en-19-oic acid), are reported to exhibit anti-bacterial activity.\textsuperscript{[16]} Some studies have also shown that xylopic acid and the ethanol fruit extract of \textit{X. aethiopica} have a low toxicity profile.\textsuperscript{[14,17]} Despite the traditional use of the fruits of \textit{Xylopia aethiopica} in some painful conditions as well as the reported analgesic property of many of the kaurene diterpenes, there is little pharmacological data on the analgesic effect of the fruits and xylopic acid. In this study, therefore, we evaluated the analgesic properties of the ethanol extract of the fruits of \textit{Xylopia aethiopica} as well as the kaurene diterpene constituent, xylopic acid.

**Materials and Methods**

**Collection of plant material**

The dried fruits of \textit{Xylopia aethiopica} were collected from the Botanical Gardens (06°41’6.39”N; 01°33’45.35”W) of Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology (KNUST), Kumasi, Ghana between the months of August and December, 2008. The fruits were authenticated by Dr. Kofi Annan at the Department of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Health Sciences, KNUST. A voucher specimen (No. FP/09/77) has been kept at the herbarium of the Faculty.

**Preparation of the ethanol extract of \textit{Xylopia aethiopica}**

A quantity of the fruit was pulverized into fine powder. About 0.36 kg of the powdered material was placed in cylindrical jars and was macerated with 70% (v/v) ethanol for 3 days. The filtrate was concentrated using rotary evaporator at a temperature of 50°C. This resulted in a greenish-solid mass of ethanol extract of \textit{Xylopia aethiopica} with a percentage yield of 34.8% (w/w).

![Figure 1: Chemical structure of 15β-Acetoxy-(−)-kaur-16-en-19-oic acid (Xylopic acid)](image)

**Isolation and purification of xylopic acid (15β-Acetoxy-(−)-kaur-16-en-19-oic Acid)**

The extraction process was carried out similar to that described by Ekong and Ogan.\textsuperscript{[8]} A quantity of the fruit (0.36 kg) was pulverized and placed in cylindrical jars. This was soaked with 5 L of petroleum ether (40-60°C) and was allowed to stand for 3 days. The petroleum ether extract was collected and concentrated using rotary evaporator at a temperature of 50°C. Ethyl acetate was added to the concentrate to facilitate crystallization of xylopic acid. Crystals (xylopic acid), formed after the concentrate had been allowed to stand for a couple of days, were washed with petroleum ether (40-60°C).

The xylopic acid obtained was purified by recrystallization. The process involved the dissolution of the non-purified xylopic acid in 96% ethanol. The resulting concentrated solution was filtered while hot, and crystals of xylopic acid were deposited after the solution cooled and stood for a couple of days. The yield of the isolated/purified xylopic acid [Figure 1] was 1.41% (w/w).

Purity of the isolated xylopic acid was determined using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). The chromatograph consisted of LC-10AT Shimadzu pump with programmable absorbance detector (783A Applied Biosystems) and Shimadzu CR501 Chromatopac. Phenomenex Hypersil 20 micron C18 200 × 3.20 mm column was used. The mobile phase consisted of methanol and water (9:1) eluted isocratically at 0.5ml min\textsuperscript{−1}. Portions of 20µl of a suitable concentration of pure XA were loaded and injected unto the column after dissolving in the mobile phase at 60°C. The eluent was monitored at 206nm. Portions of the XAE and XA were loaded and injected. The peak(s) were noted as component(s) of the XAE and XA. The purity of the isolated xylopic acid was 95%.

**Drugs and chemicals**

The following drugs and chemicals were used: Diclofenac sodium (Troge Medical GmbH, Hamburg, Germany); morphine hydrochloride (Phyto-Riker, Accra, Ghana); carrageenan sodium salt, formalin and acetic acid (Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO, USA). XAE and XA were dissolved in an emulsion of tween 80.

**Animals**

Sprague-Dawley rats (150-200 g) and ICR mice (20-25 g) were purchased from Noguchi Memorial Institute for Medical Research, University of Ghana, Legon, Ghana and housed in the animal facility of the Department of Pharmacology, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology (KNUST). The animals were housed in groups of 6 in stainless steel cages (34 × 47 × 18 cm) with soft wood shavings as bedding, fed with normal commercial pellet diet (GAFCO, Tema, Ghana), given water ad libitum and were maintained under laboratory conditions (temperature 24-25°C, relative humidity 60-70%, and 12 h light-dark cycle). All procedures and techniques used in these studies were in accordance with the National Institute of Health Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.
Acetic acid-induced writhing test

The test was carried out as described earlier\textsuperscript{[18,19]} with modifications. Separate groups of female mice received vehicle (10 ml kg\textsuperscript{-1} of 0.9% NaCl, i.p.), XAE (30-300 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, p.o.), morphine (1-10 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, i.p.) or diclofenac (1-10 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, i.p.) 60 min (p.o.) or 30 min (i.p.) before intraperitoneal administration of acetic acid (0.6%, 10 ml kg\textsuperscript{-1}). Mice were then placed individually in a testing chamber (a Perspex chamber, 15 × 15 × 15 cm). A mirror inclined at 45° below the floor of the chamber allowed a complete view of the mice.

Injection of acetic acid induced a nociceptive behavior, writhing, an exaggerated extension of the abdomen combined with the outstretching of the hind limbs. Responses were captured (30 min) for analysis by a camcorder (Everio \textcopyright, model GZ-MG1300, JVC, Tokyo, Japan) placed directly opposite the mirror and attached to a computer. Tracking of the behavior was done using public domain software JWatcher, version 1.0 (University of California, LA, USA, and Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia, available at http://www.jwatcher.ucla.edu/) to obtain the frequency and duration of writhes per 5 min, starting immediately after acetic acid administration. A nociceptive score was determined for each 5-min time block by multiplying the frequency and duration of writhes. These data were expressed in a time course, which enabled the observation of changes in the writhing induced.

Formalin-induced nociception

The formalin test was carried out as described previously\textsuperscript{[20,21]} Each animal was assigned and acclimatized to 1 of 20 formalin test chambers (a Perspex chamber 15 × 15 × 15 cm) for 30 minutes before formalin injection. Male mice were then pre-treated with vehicle (10 ml kg\textsuperscript{-1} of 0.9% NaCl, i.p.), XAE (30-300 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, p.o.), XA (10-100 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, p.o.) or morphine (1-10 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, i.p.) 60 min (p.o.) or 30 min (i.p.) before intraplantar injection of 10 µl of 5% formalin. The animals were immediately returned individually into the testing chamber, and their nociceptive behaviors captured (1 h) for analysis in the same way as that described previously in the writhing test above. The pain response was scored for 1 h, starting immediately after formalin injection. A nociceptive score was determined for each 5-min time block by measuring the amount of time spent biting/licking of the injected paw. Tracking of the behavior was done using public domain software JWatcher, version 1.0. The average nociceptive score for each time block was calculated by multiplying the frequency and time spent in biting/licking. Data were expressed as the mean ± SEM of scores between 0-10 min (first phase) and 10–60 min (second phase) after formalin injection.

Tail-flick test

Tail-flick latencies were determined with the IITC Analgesia Meter (Model 336, IITC Life Science Inc., Woodland Hills, CA, USA), similar to that described earlier.\textsuperscript{[21]} A focused beam of radiant light (Active intensity: 50% of maximum) was delivered to the mice’s tail. Basal reaction times of mice (Males; 5 per group) were taken before the administration of XAE (30-300 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, p.o), XA (10-100 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, p.o), morphine (1-10 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, i.p.), or vehicle (10 ml kg\textsuperscript{-1} of 0.9% NaCl, i.p). Tail-flick reflex latencies were then measured at 1 h, 2 h, 3 h, and 4 h. A cut-off time of 25 s was used to prevent tissue damage. The analgesic effects exerted by drugs were calculated, from the tail-flick latencies, as a percentage of the maximum possible effect (% MPE) using the following formula: \[\text{[(T}_1\text{-T}_0)/\text{T}_1\times 100]\], where T\textsubscript{1} and T\textsubscript{0} are the pre- and post-drug latencies, respectively, and T\textsubscript{0} is the cut-off time.

Carrageenan-induced mechanical hyperalgesia

Mechanical nociceptive thresholds were measured in the rat paw pressure test\textsuperscript{[23]} using an analgesimeter (Model No.15776, Ugo Basile, Comerio, Varese, Italy), which is based on the Randall-Selitto test.\textsuperscript{[24]} This was used to apply a linearly-increasing pressure, by means of a blunt Perspex cone, to the dorsal region of the right hind paw until the rat withdrew the paw. Rats (Males) received 2 training seasons before the day of testing. Pressure was gradually applied to the right hind paw, and paw withdrawal thresholds (PWTs) were assessed as the pressure (grams) required eliciting paw withdrawal. A cut-off point of 250 g was used to prevent any tissue damage to the paw. A change in hyperalgesic state was calculated as a percentage of the maximum possible effect (% MPE). On the test day, a baseline measurement was taken before animals were administered carrageenan (100 µl of a 20 mg ml\textsuperscript{-1} solution) into the right hind paw. PWTs were determined again 2.5 h after carrageenan to establish that mechanical hyperalgesia had developed. XA (10-100 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, p.o), XAE (30-300 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, p.o.), morphine (1-10 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, i.p.), diclofenac (1-10 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, i.p.), and vehicle (10 ml kg\textsuperscript{-1} of 0.9% NaCl, i.p) were then administered 3 h post-carrageenan, and PWTs were taken again at 3.5, 4, 4.5, 5, 5.5, and 6 h post-carrageenan.

Hargreaves thermal hyperalgesia

Possible anti-hyperalgesic effect of XAE and XA was also assessed by the Hargreaves model of thermal hyperalgesia.\textsuperscript{[25,26]} Hind paw sensitivity to a noxious thermal stimulus was measured with the radiant heat source test method using the IITC Analgesia Meter (Model 336, IITC Life Science Inc., Woodland Hills, CA, USA). Mice (males) were individually placed in Plexiglas cages on a clear glass platform and were allowed to acclimatize for 15 min to the testing environment. The test head of the paw simulator was used to present a focused beam of radiant light on to the mid plantar region of the left and right hind paws. The idle intensity of the light was set at 10% of the maximum intensity, and it was used to accurately direct the beam of light to the appropriate region of the hind paw. The active intensity of the light was set at 50% maximum. The thermal nociceptive stimulus was manually positioned under the foot pad before and after the intraplantar injection of carrageenan (100 µl of a 2% solution) in to the right hind paw. A timer was automatically actuated with the light source, and the paw withdrawal latencies (PWLs) measured was defined.
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as the time required for the paw to show an abrupt withdrawal. A cut-off time of 25 s was used to prevent tissue damage. Baseline measurements were taken preceding administration of carrageenan into the right hind paw. Animals received vehicle (10 ml kg\(^{-1}\) of 0.9% NaCl, i.p), XAE (30-300 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o), XA (10-100 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o), or morphine (1-10 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p) 1 hour post-carrageenan injection. PWLs were taken again hourly for 4 hours.

Tolerance studies

The formalin test was used to ascertain the possibility of tolerance developing to the anti-nociceptive activity of XAE and XA after chronic treatment. Male mice were divided randomly into 9 groups (n = 8) and were treated once-daily for 8 days as follows: 4 groups with saline i.p., 3 groups with morphine 6 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p. and 2 groups with either XAE 600 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o. or XA 200 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o. On day 9, these groups were treated in the following manner: 1 saline-pretreated group was treated with saline i.p.; 3 saline-pretreated groups were treated with morphine (3 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p., 30 min before formalin), XAE (300 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o.), or XA (100 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o., 60 min before formalin), the 3 groups pretreated with morphine 6 mg kg\(^{-1}\) chronically also received morphine (3 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p., 30 min before formalin), XAE (300 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o.), or XA (100 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o., 60 min before formalin) and the 2 groups pretreated with XAE (600 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o.) and XA 200 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o. received XAE (300 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o.) and XA (100 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o.) respectively on the test day.

Rotarod

The test was carried out as described previously\(^{[27]}\) but with modifications. Naive male mice were trained on 3 successive days on the rotarod (Ugo Basile, model 7600, Camerio, Varese, Italy) at the speed of 25 rpm. On the test day (day 4), the animals (8 per group) received XAE (30-300 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o.), XA (10-100 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o.) or vehicle. The animals were then repeatedly tested for their motor coordination performance on the rotarod (cut of time 120 s) at 1, 2, and 3 h after drug injection.

Statistical analysis

All data are presented as mean ± S.E.M (n=7-8). Raw data for the tail-flick, carrageenan-induced mechanical and thermal hyperalgesia tests were calculated as the percentage change in maximum possible effect (% MPE).

The time-course curves were subjected to 2-way (treatment × time) repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni’s post hoc test. Total nociceptive score for each treatment was calculated in arbitrary unit as the area under the curve (AUC). To determine the percentage inhibition for each treatment, the following equation was used:

\[
\% \text{ inhibition} = \left( \frac{\text{AUC}_{\text{control}} - \text{AUC}_{\text{treatment}}}{\text{AUC}_{\text{control}}} \right) \times 100
\]

Differences in AUCs were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with drug treatment as a between-subjects factor. Further comparisons between vehicle- and drug-treated groups were performed using the Newman–Keuls test.

Doses for 50% of the maximal effect (ED\(_{50}\)) for each drug were determined by using an iterative computer least squares method, with the following non-linear regression (3-parameter logistic) equation:

\[
Y = \frac{a + (b - a)}{1 + 10^{(\log ED_{50} - X)}}
\]

Where, X is the logarithm of dose and Y is the response. Y starts at a (the bottom) and goes to b (the top) with a sigmoid shape.

The fitted midpoints (ED\(_{50}\)s) of the curves were compared statistically using F test.\(^{[28,29]}\) GraphPad Prism for Windows version 5 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA) was used for all statistical analyzes and ED\(_{50}\) determinations. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

High performance liquid chromatography

HPLC was used for the determination of the purity of the isolated xylopic acid. Several peaks were observed after loading XAE indicating the presence of several compounds in the fruits [Figure 2a]. A single peak was observed for XA indicating the presence of a single compound [Figure 2b]. The percentage of XA in XAE was 1.15%.

Acetic acid-induced writhing assay

Figure 3 shows the effect of various treatments on acetic acid-induced writhing during the 30-min observation period. XAE (30-300 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o.) significantly (F\(_{3,28}=14.37, P < 0.0001\); Figure 3a) reduced abdominal writhes induced by acetic acid in mice with the highest dose causing a reduction of 98.8 ± 0.8% [Figure 3b]. XA (10-100 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o.) also significantly (F\(_{3,28}=20.56, P < 0.0001\); Figure 3c) and dose-dependently reduced
the writhes, with the highest dose causing a reduction of 93.8 ± 1.4% [Figure 3d]. Morphine dose-dependently reduced (F<sub>3,27</sub> = 9.77, P = 0.00016; Figure 3e) acetic acid-induced nociception with maximal percentage inhibition of 97.4 ± 2.5 [Figure 3f] at the dose of 10 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Additionally, diclofenac reduced the abdominal writhes dose-dependently and significantly (F<sub>3,28</sub> = 4.04, P = 0.0165; Figure 3g), with the highest dose (10 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) producing an inhibition of 90.4 ± 2.6% [Figure 3h].

From the ED<sub>50</sub> values obtained by non-linear regression [Table 1], XA (5.472 ± 0.80 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) was more potent than XAE (37.56 ± 7.82 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). However, morphine was the most potent (0.80 ± 0.26 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>).

**Formalin-induced nociception**

Administration of formalin produced a typical pattern of biting and licking behavior in mice. The first phase lasted for 10 min, and the second phase started at about 15 min and lasted until 1 h. Oral treatment of mice with X. aethiopica extract (30-300 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, p.o.) produced significant attenuation of formalin-induced nociception in both phases (Phase 1: F<sub>3,28</sub> = 4.29, P = 0.0131; Phase 2: F<sub>3,28</sub> = 5.18, P = 0.0056; Figure 4a); with maximal inhibition of 57.3 ± 25.0% and 52.9 ± 34.1%, respectively at the dose of 300 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> [Figure 4b]. Xylopic acid (10-100 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) also dose-dependently inhibited both phases of formalin-induced nociception phases (Phase 1: F<sub>3,28</sub> = 7.85, P = 0.0006; Phase 2 F<sub>3,28</sub> = 7.68, P = 0.0007; Figure 4c), with the highest dose giving a maximal inhibition of 79.6 ± 17.5% and 90.2 ± 4.9% [Figure 4d] in the early and late phases, respectively. Morphine (1-10 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, i.p.) produced a significant inhibition of both neurogenic phase (F<sub>3,28</sub> = 22.51, P = P < 0.0001) and inflammatory (F<sub>3,28</sub> = 4.76, P = 0.0083; Figure 4e) phases of the formalin test. Morphine reduced formalin-evoked nociceptive behavior by maxima of 85.6 ± 12.7% and 98.3 ± 3% in the early and late phases, respectively [Figure 4f].

Comparison of ED<sub>50</sub>s obtained [Table 1] by F-test revealed that both XAE and XA were more potent in the second phase than the first. The potency of morphine in the second phase (ED<sub>50</sub> = 0.13 ± 0.04 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) was comparable to the first phase (ED<sub>50</sub> = 0.15 ± 0.20 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). The rank order of potency was: Morphine > XA > XAE for both phases of the formalin test.

**Tail-flick test**

All test drugs caused an increase in tail-flick latency, calculated as % MPE [(XAE: F<sub>3,28</sub> = 3.33, P = 0.0336; XA: F<sub>3,28</sub> = 5.89, P = 0.003; morphine: F<sub>3,28</sub> = 14.70, P < 0.0001; 2-way ANOVA (treatment × time); Figure 5a, c and e). XAE (30-300 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, p.o.) caused a significant, dose-dependent, attenuation of thermal nociception (F<sub>3,28</sub> = 3.19, P = 0.0387) with maximum effect at the dose of 300 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> Figure 5b]. Similarly, XA (10-100
### Table 1: ED$_{50}$ values of drugs in the nociceptive tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>XAE (mg kg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>XA (mg kg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Morphine (mg kg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Diclofenac (mg kg$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid writhing test</td>
<td>37.56 ± 7.82</td>
<td>5.47 ± 0.80</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.26</td>
<td>1.95 ± 0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formalin test</td>
<td>219.40 ± 69.28$^a$</td>
<td>13.56 ± 3.88$^a$</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.20$^a$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tail-flick test</td>
<td>149.90 ± 125.74$^b$</td>
<td>7.61 ± 2.31$^b$</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.04$^b$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical hyperalgesia test</td>
<td>72.17 ± 76.77</td>
<td>8.61 ± 6.87</td>
<td>11.67 ± 9.88</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hargreaves thermal hyperalgesia test</td>
<td>319.70 ± 65.96</td>
<td>415.40 ± 98.79</td>
<td>4.37 ± 0.90</td>
<td>10.84 ± 2.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$First Phase of the formalin test $^b$Second phase of the formalin test

---

**Carrageenan-Induced mechanical hyperalgesia**

Two hours after carrageenan injection, the ipsilateral paw exhibited marked mechanical hyperalgesia and inflammation. A change in hyperalgesic state was calculated as a percentage of the maximum possible effect. XAE (30-300 mg kg$^{-1}$, p.o.) and XA (10-100 mg kg$^{-1}$, p.o.) administered 3 h after carrageenan produced a significant and dose-dependent attenuation of mechanical hyperalgesia (XAE: $F_{3,28} = 6.93, P = 0.0012$; XA: $F_{3,28} = 4.86, P = 0.0076$; Figure 6a-d) with maximal effects at 300 and 100 mg kg$^{-1}$, respectively. Morphine (1-10 mg kg$^{-1}$, i.p.) and diclofenac (1-10 mg kg$^{-1}$, i.p.) also dose-dependently attenuated mechanical hyperalgesia with maximal effects at 10 mg kg$^{-1}$ (Morphine: $F_{3,28} = 1.07, P < 0.0001$; diclofenac: $F_{3,28} = 5.86, P < 0.001$; Figure 6e-h).

From the ED$_{50}$ values [Table 1], XAE was more potent than XA but less than diclofenac and morphine.

**Hargreaves thermal hyperalgesia**

All test drugs caused an increase in paw withdrawal latency, calculated as % MPE [%XAE: $F_{1,25} = 5.44, P = 0.045$; XA: $F_{1,25} = 13.35, P < 0.0001$; morphine: $F_{1,25} = 10.24, P = 0.0001$; 2-way ANOVA (treatment x time); Figure 7a, c and e]. XAE (30-300 mg kg$^{-1}$, p.o.) significantly and dose-dependently attenuated thermal hyperalgesia ($F_{3,28} = 4.73, P = 0.0056$) with maximal effect at the dose of 300 mg kg$^{-1}$ [Figure 7b]. Similarly, XA (10-100 mg kg$^{-1}$, p.o.) also dose-dependently inhibited ($F_{3,28} = 12.36, P < 0.0001$; Figure 7d) carrageenan-induced thermal hyperalgesia in the mice. The reference analgesic, morphine (1-10 mg kg$^{-1}$, i.p.), also showed significant, dose-dependent antihyperalgesic activity ($F_{3,28} = 9.30, P = 0.0002$; Figure 7f) against the carrageenan-induced thermal hyperalgesia.

From the ED$_{50}$ values [Table 1], XAE (46.82±35.92 mg kg$^{-1}$) was less potent than XA (27.17 ± 11.15 mg kg$^{-1}$) and morphine (3.98 ± 2.22 mg kg$^{-1}$).

**Tolerance studies**

Morphine (3 mg kg$^{-1}$, i.p.) significantly attenuated the basal nociceptive response in both phases [Figure 8] of formalin test in animals chronically-treated with vehicle for 8 days. However, the same dose of morphine administered on day 9 in animals chronically-treated with 6 mg kg$^{-1}$, i.p. morphine failed to show such effect, indicating the development of tolerance in first phase [Figure 8a] and second phase [Figure 8b] of the formalin test.
Effect of XAE and XA on motor coordination on the rotarod

Effect on motor coordination was measured as duration of stay on the rotating drum of the rotarod. The results obtained indicated that there were no significant differences between XA-treated (\( F_{3,28} = 0.39, P = 0.7635; \) Table 2) groups compared to the control group. ANOVA did not reveal any significant effect of XAE on the time spent on the rotarod (\( F_{3,28} = 2.44, P = 0.0855; \) Table 2). However, Bonferroni’s post hoc analysis revealed significant effects (\( P < 0.01, \) Table 2) for XAE at the dose of 300 mg kg\(^{-1}\) when compared to vehicle-treated controls.

Discussion

The present study clearly demonstrates that the oral administration of XAE and xylopic acid has significant analgesic activity against acetic acid-induced visceral nociception, formalin-induced paw pain (both neurogenic and inflammatory), thermal pain as well as carrageenan-induced mechanical and thermal hyperalgesia in rodents. High performance liquid chromatographic analysis of XAE revealed several peaks. These may correspond to any of the various compounds such as essential oil, volatile oil, resin, arocene, a ruthenoside fat, bitter principles, alkaloids, glycosides, saponins, tannins, steroids, carbohydrate, protein-free fatty acid, mucilages, kaurenoic and xylopic acid, which have been reported to be present in the fruits. XA, however, showed a single peak, indicating the purity of the isolated xylopic acid. In this study, doses of 10-100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (xylopic acid) and 50-300 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (extract) were used and were selected on the basis of pilot experiments in the laboratory. Preliminary acute toxicity studies in our laboratory showed that xylopic acid and the extract produced significant adverse effects beyond doses of 100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and 300 mg kg\(^{-1}\), respectively.

In the present study, the analgesic effect of XAE and XA was first evaluated in the acetic acid-induced writhing test. The results obtained indicate that oral administration of XAE and XA produced marked, dose-related anti-nociception in this test model. The writhing test is useful in investigating visceral anti-nociceptive activity of drugs because it shows good sensitivity and allows for the detection of the effects of weak analgesics.\(^{[30]}\) \( \alpha \alpha \) Aside the role of acetic acid-derived protons that can directly activate non-selective cation channels located at primary afferent pathways to cause pain,\(^{[31]}\) the actions of acetic acid are known to be the indirect cause of the release of nociceptive endogenous mediators such as bradykinin, substance P, serotonin, histamine, sympathomimetic amines, prostanoids \( (\text{PGE}_2 \text{ and PGF}_2) \) and pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-\( \alpha \), IL-1\( \beta \) and IL-8.\(^{[32-35]}\) Peritoneal inflammation resulting from the local release of these pro-inflammatory substances result in the activation and sensitization of peripheral nociceptive afferent neurons.\(^{[36]}\) The anti-nociceptive activity exhibited by XAE and XA in this model may be due to the inhibition of local synthesis, release and/or action of pro-inflammatory mediators.\(^{[37-39]}\) In fact, a significant number of kaurenes diterpenes, including kaurenoic acid, are known to inhibit iNOS, COX-2 and TNF-\( \alpha \).\(^{[12,15,40,41]}\)

To further confirm the analgesic properties of XAE and XA, the formalin test was employed. The test is considered the most predictive of acute pain and is believed to be a more valid
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Table 2: Effect of XAE and XA on motor coordination measured as time spent on the rod

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>Dose (mg kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>0 h*</th>
<th>1 h</th>
<th>2 h</th>
<th>3 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>120.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>116.0 ± 2.1</td>
<td>118.6 ± 0.9</td>
<td>118.0 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XAE</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>120.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>118.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>112.2 ± 3.7</td>
<td>115.4 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>120.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>110.6 ± 5.3</td>
<td>113.6 ± 4.4</td>
<td>116.8 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>120.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>105.6 ± 7.0</td>
<td>102.6 ± 5.9**</td>
<td>116.8 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XA</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>120.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>117.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>116.0 ± 2.1</td>
<td>114.4 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>120.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>116.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>116.4 ± 1.5</td>
<td>117.0 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>120.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>116.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>118.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>118.0 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** P < 0.01 compared to vehicle-treated control group (Two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s test). *Hours after treatment.

** Figure 6: Effect of XAE (30-300 mg kg⁻¹), XA (10-100 mg kg⁻¹), morphine (1-10 mg kg⁻¹) and diclofenac (1-10 mg kg⁻¹) on the time course curves (a, c, e, g) and the total noiceptive score (AUCs) (b, d, f, h) of carrageenan-induced mechanical hyperalgesia in rats. Data was presented as mean ± S.E.M. (n = 8). The lower and upper margins of the boxes (b, d, f, h) represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, with the extended arms representing the 10th and 90th percentiles, respectively. The median is shown as the horizontal line within the box. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 compared to control group (ctrl) (Two-way repeated measures ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s post hoc). †P < 0.05, ††P < 0.01, †††P < 0.001 compared to control group (ctrl) (one-way ANOVA followed by Newman-Keuls post hoc).

The inhibitory effect in the second phase also suggests anti-inflammatory action of XAE and XA. Indeed, XAE has been shown to have anti-inflammatory properties in our laboratory (unpublished results).

The results obtained from the tail-flick test confirmed the involvement of central mechanisms in the anti-nociceptive effects of XAE and XA. The tail-flick response is believed to be a spinally-mediated reflex, but the mechanism of response could also involve higher neural structures. The activity of XAE and XA in this model shows they act, at least in part, by spinally-mediated central mechanisms.
mediators (especially prostaglandins) sensitize peripheral nociceptors to noxious stimuli and subsequently release other mediators in spinal cord, resulting in thermal and mechanical hyperalgesia.[53-55] Therefore, the effectiveness of XAE and XA against inflammatory pain in this model suggests that XAE and XA may be acting against some of these mediators. The results obtained also corroborate the observed activity of the XAE and XA in the second phase (inflammatory pain) of the formalin test.

Activation of the transcription factor NF-κB has been shown to be a key component in the expression of genes involved in the production of inflammatory mediators, which may exacerbate pain, hyperalgesia, and nociception.[56-58] Therefore, the inhibition of activation or action of NF-κB can effectively control inflammation and associated inflammatory pain. Castrillo et al.[12] have shown that kaurene diterpenes inhibit NF-κB activation through a mechanism that involves an impairment of IkB kinase (IKK) activity as a result of the inhibition of NF-κB-inducing kinase (NIK) and the lack of a coordinate activation of p38 and/or extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 (ERK1/2). This mechanisms possibly explains, at least in part, the observed analgesic actions by xylopic acid, a kaurene diterpene, and XAE (which contains at least two kaurenes:...
kaurenoic acid and xylopic acid) against inflammatory pain.

The development of tolerance that necessitates dose escalation regardless of disease progression, greatly limit the effectiveness and usage of some important analgesics such as the opioids.[9][90] The present study, therefore, determined if repeated administration of XAE and XE could lead to the development of analgesic tolerance and also if morphine tolerance could cross-generalize to the analgesic effects of XAE and XA. The results suggest that, unlike morphine, XAE and XA do not induce tolerance to their analgesic effects after chronic administration in the formalin test. Also, morphine tolerance does not cross-generalize to the effects of XAE and XA. These are significant findings and imply that XAE and XA can be used to treat pain in opioid-tolerant individuals.

In order to eliminate the possibility that decreased motor function is confounding the results obtained in the nociceptive test models, motor performance of the mice was evaluated using the rotarod. XAE at the highest dose tested (i.e. 300 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) exerted some effect on motor coordination, which could be due to muscle relaxation and/or sedation. It cannot, however, be concluded that the analgesic activity of XAE in this study is a false positive since XA, a constituent of XAE, did not cause any such motor impairments. The XAE-induced motor impairment effect observed could be attributed to other constituents of the extract such as kaurenoic acid. In fact, kaurenoic acid has been shown to have sedative properties.[61]

Many phytochemical constituents including essential oils, volatile oil, resin, alkaloids, glycosides, saponins, tanins, and diterpenes have been identified in the dried fruit extract of \textit{X. aethiopica}. From the results obtained in this and other studies,[10] it can be said that the analgesic effects of XAE are partly due to the kaurenoic acid and xylopic acid it contains. Other constituents may also contribute to its analgesic effects. The ED\(_{50}\) values obtained [Table 1] also revealed that XAE and XA were effective against neurogenic, nociceptive, and inflammatory pain. Studies are currently ongoing in our laboratory to assess the effect of XAE and XA in reducing inflammatory-related pain. Studies are currently underway to determine the effect of XAE and XA on neuropathic pain.

The current study also revealed that XA was generally more potent than XAE in relieving pain [Table 1]. This is, however, not surprising since XAE, as a crude extract, comprises several chemical constituents which could be acting via contradicting mechanisms. Studies are currently underway to determine the exact mechanism(s) of analgesic action of XAE and XA.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the results have shown that the ethanol extract of \textit{Xylopia aethiopica} and its constituent, xylopic acid, have analgesic properties.
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