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#### Abstract

The issue of whether stock prices and interest rate are related or not, is an important one especially with increased international trade and the integration of global financial markets. A fall in interest rates on money market instruments like treasury, fixed deposits and call accounts make them less attractive in terms of the returns they yield. Investors typically react to such a fall by transferring their investments in stock market. The study therefore set out to investigate the impact of interest rate on stock prices in Ghana by employing OLS model with monthly data spanning from January, 2000 to September, 2010. The study further used Granger causality test to determine the direction of causality between interest rate and stock prices in the context of Ghana. The empirical results indicated that interest rate had a significant negative effect on stock price in Ghana. The study also revealed that there existed a direct relationship between inflation and stock price and it was found to be significant. Further, it was found that the changes in stock prices are explained by the variations in interest rate whereas the changes in stock price do not in turn influence the changes in interest rate. This means that there is unidirectional causality between interest rate and stock prices as in the case of Ghana. It was suggested that in an attempt to positively influence the AllShares index, government can resort to the use of expansionary fiscal policy measures since it was found that government activities affect the market index positively.
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## CHAPTER ONE

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background to the Study

A broad consensus has emerged in recent decades emphasizing the idea that stock markets occupy a strategic position in both developing and industrialized nations; it has become a significant component of a country"s financial system and a common feature of a modern economy (Van-Treek, 2009; Obstfeld, 1986). The price of stock and other assets is an important part of the dynamics of economic activities and can influence or be an indicator of social mood and business performance. History has shown that the performance of a stock market is perhaps the most potent instrument for measuring social or economic developments in any economy. Drabenstott and Meeker (1999) call it a barometer for the economy. Stock market facilitates all the key prospects of the financial system, such as capital mobilization, investment opportunities, risk distribution and exert corporate control.

The creation of the Ghana Stock Exchange (GSE) was part of the recommendations of the economic reforms carried out in the 1980s to generate sustainable economic growth and development. As Boateng (2004) observes, after many years of experiment with heavy state intervention in the economy, a consensus emerged that the achievement of a more dynamic economic growth required a greater role for the private sector and stock markets since they are good levers for boosting private sector access to finance.

The Ghanaian stock market (GSE) described as one of the emerging markets was established in July 1989 as a private company limited by guarantee under the Companies Code of 1963 (Act 179) and commenced trading on 12 Nov 1990 to encourage private investment in

Ghana. However, the status of the company was changed to a public company limited by guarantee under the Company"s Code in April 1994. The GSE is regulated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, under the Securities Industry Law, PNDCL 333, 1993, as amended. The GSE has however been making remarkable impressions with 37 companies currently listed on the stock exchange. Various programmes and policies have been implemented by government with the aim of achieving more favourable macroeconomic environment to foster private investment.

Since its inception, the GSE's listings have been included in the main index, the GSE AllShare Index. In 1993, the GSE was the sixth best index performing emerging stock market, with a capital appreciation of $116 \%$. In 1994 it was the best index performing stock market among all emerging markets, gaining $124.3 \%$ in its index level. 1995's index growth was a disappointing $6.3 \%$, partly because of high inflation and interest rates. Growth of the index for 1997 was $42 \%$, and at the end of 1998 it was 868.35 .As of October 2006 the market capitalization of the Ghana Stock Exchange was about 111,500 billion cedis ( $\$ 11.5$ billion). As of December 31, 2007, the GSE's market capitalization was $131,633.22$ billion cedis. In 2007, the index appreciated by 31.84\%.

With a drop of $46.58 \%$ in the GSE All-Share index, the Ghana Stock Exchange ended the year 2009 as the least performing market in Africa. In the previous year 2008, the gain in the GSE All-share Index of $58 \%$ put Ghana ahead of all the African markets. Due to the continuous improvement at GSE, in 2010, it was adjudged the most innovative African Stock Exchange at the African Investor (Ai) prestigious annual index series awards held at the New York Stock Exchange out of seven African Stock Exchanges nominated.

In the year 2009, the stock market went through a difficult situation and this was against the background of 2008 being one of the best years of the market. The trend that the market went through in 2009 resulted from the effect of the global financial crisis which began to be felt in the fourth quarter of 2008 and the fact that in 2009, the Exchange also effectively began migrating from paper certification to electronic book entry securities under the new automated Trading System. That process naturally requires time since investors needed to be convinced to get on board.

Interest rate changes are broadly recognized as a major source of uncertainty for corporations. According to Graham and Harvey (2001), interest rate risk is perceived as the second most important risk factor, only behind market risk. Financial theory states that movements in interest rates affect both the firm "'s expectations about future corporate cash flows and the discount rate employed to value these cash flows and, hence, the value of firm. The impact of interest rate fluctuations on the market value of companies has received a great deal of attention in literature, although much of the empirical research has focused on financial institutions because of the particularly interest rate sensitive nature of the banking business (Flannery and James, 1984; Staikouras, 2003 and 2006; Hahm, 2004). Nevertheless, interest rate variations may also exert a significant influence on nonfinancial corporations, principally through their effect on the financing costs and the value of financial assets and liabilities held by these firms (Bartram, 2002).

The liaison between stock prices and interest rate variables has been extensively investigated in the literature of financial economics. The nexus between interest rate and stock price has received attention of researchers from a wide range of areas such as assets pricing and testing
stock market efficiency etc. The issue of whether stock prices and interest rate are related or not, has a significant bearing on increased international trade and the integration of the global financial markets. Impact of interest rate on the stock prices of firms is an important episode to investors and regulatory authorities. Investors respond to the decisions of regulatory authorities on monetary policy when regulatory authorities change interest rate to control money supply because the required rate of return on financial assets get affected due to changes in interest rate.

If stock prices and interest rates are associated and the causation runs from interest rates to stock prices then crises in the stock markets can be prohibited by controlling the interest rates. Moreover, developing countries can utilize such an association to attract foreign portfolio investment in their own countries. In the same way, if the causation runs from stock prices to interest rates, then authorities can focus on domestic economic policies to stabilize the stock market.

In Ghana, interest rates decisions are taken by the Monetary Policy Committee of the Bank of Ghana. The official interest rate is the Monetary Policy Rate (MPR). The fundamental principle for the relationship between interest rate and stock market returns is that stock prices and interest rates are negatively correlated. Higher interest rate resulting from tightening monetary policy usually has negative effects on stock market returns. This is due to the fact that higher interest rate reduces the value of equity as indicated by the dividend discount model and consequently, makes fixed income securities more attractive as an alternative to holding stocks.

As a result, this may reduce the propensity of investors to borrow and invest in stocks and also, raises the cost of doing business and hence affects profit margin. On the other hand, lower
interest rates resulting from expansionary monetary policy also boost stock market. Furthermore, as concluded by Hashemzadeh and Taylor (1988), a decline in interest rates also leads to an increase in the present value of future dividends.

### 1.2 Statement of problem

The stock price of firms varies significantly with the changes in financial risk. Consequently, researchers and investors pay increasing attention to interest rate exposure in the Ghana stock exchange market. Interest rate fluctuations influence both multinationals and domestic firms,, competitive positions, their input and output price, their supply and demand chains, or their competitor,,s prices. Therefore, it is important to explore how interest rate affects stock prices and this will help investors and policy makers in their decision making.

In 2008, interest rate (IR) was $13.5 \%$ and by the end of the first quarter it had increased to $14.3 \%$. The IR continued to rise further to $16 \%$ by the end of the second quarter of 2008. However the last half of the year 2008 saw the IR of $17 \%$. In 2009, interest rate was $17 \%$. This went up to $18.5 \%$ by the end of the first quarter.

Many studies have investigated the relationship between interest rate and stock prices. Zordan (2005) shows that stock prices and interest rates are inversely correlated, with cycle's observable well back into the 1880 's; more relevant to the period subsequent to World War II. From the late 1940's to the mid 1960's, inflation was low, and interest rates were both low and stable. Stocks did well during this period, both in nominal and real terms. The inverse relationship between interest-sensitive asset classes like stocks, bonds, and real estate and commodity prices has been known through history.

Jefferis and Okeahalam (2000) worked on South Africa, Botswana and Zimbabwe stock market, where higher interest rates are hypothesized to depress stock prices through the substitution effect (interest bearing assets turn out to be more attractive relative to shares), an
increase in the discount rate (and hence a reduced present value of future expected returns), or a depressing effect on investment and thus on expected future profits.

From the studies above, it is obvious that in some countries there is an inverse relationship between interest rate and stock prices but these countries including as US, Germany and South Africa are industrialized countries and their conclusions on the relationship between interest rate and stock prices cannot be used as a yardstick in the case of Ghana which is a nowdeveloping economy.

There is therefore a knowledge gap and this thesis proposes to fill this gap.

### 1.3 Objective of the Study

The major objective of the study is to investigate how the share prices of companies listed on the GSE fare against volatility in Interest rate.

The specific objectives of the study are;
i. To find the relationship between Interest Rate and Stock Prices ii. To examine the impact of interest rate, money supply and inflation on stock prices iii. To determine the direction of causality between stock prices and interest rate.

### 1.4 Hypothesis of the Study

The study sets the following hypothesis:
$H_{0}$ : There is no causal relationship between Interest Rate and Stock Prices
$H_{1}$ : There is a causal relationship between Interest Rate and Stock prices

### 1.5 Significance of the Study

There have been many empirical investigations, analyses and studies in relation to how macroeconomic variables contribute to the changes in stock prices and how these factors affect the stock market index. This thesis will examine the relationship between interest rate and stock prices: an empirical study on Ghanaian listed firms. This thesis will examine each variable and analyze its impact on stock prices in order to acquire a better understanding of the situation. This study is also an opportunity to highlight the importance of macroeconomic factors and how significant they are in the marketplace. It will enlighten decisionmakers or regulatory authorities on how best to rebuild confidence in the market. The unpredictability of trends in the Ghanaian stock prices are unprecedented, hence my compelling interest to fully investigate, document and explain these trends, while underlining the opportunities apparent in the reordering of economic priorities and the opportunities for the investors in the market to learn how to make intelligent investment engagements and decisions. In addition to being useful as a source of information, it may also arouse interest for further studies in this or related areas concerning the activities of both foreign and local investors.

### 1.6 Scope and Limitation of the Study

Obtaining and collating data for the research was one of the mitigating factors for this research; monthly data on Interest rate and Stock Market Index from January 2000 to September 2010 was used and this may not reflect the whole effects of interest rate on the stock prices since the data is limited to the year 2010 and above and not from the inception of the GSE.

### 1.7 Organization of the study

The study consists of five chapters as follows: Chapter one is the introduction and comprises the background of the study, statement of the problem, objectives of the study, significance of the study, scope and limitation of the study and the organization of the study. Chapter two
presents a review of the relevant literature on interest rate and stock prices. Chapter three gives detail research methodology. It delineates the sources of data, and empirical design. Chapter four discusses and analyses the results from the estimation of the data. Chapter five presents the findings and offers conclusions and recommendations.
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## CHAPTER TWO

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.0 Introduction

This chapter is in two main sections; the first section presents the theoretical review of related literature on the subject matter under study. The second section looks at empirical works on the relationship between interest rates and stock prices.

### 2.1 Theoretical Review

The study of (Hamrita \& Abdelkader, 2011) has explained that, interest rate and stock prices have a negative correlation. This is because an increase in interest rate reduces the present value of future dividend"s income, which should depress stock prices. On the other hand, low interest rates result in a lower opportunity cost of borrowing. Lower interest rates inspire investments and economic activities, which would cause prices to rise.

The perception concerning the relationship between interest rates and stock prices is well documented which recommends that an increase in interest rates increases the opportunity cost of holding money and thus substitution between stocks and interest bearing securities and hence falling stock prices. Increase in interest rate would increase the cost of borrowing which would negatively affect the future cash flows and also capacity of the firms to borrow. With increase in cost of borrowing, projects with lower internal rate of returns will be rejected. This means that not only the current net income will be affected, but also the future cash flows will be lower compared to otherwise. Resultantly, higher interest rates will lead to lowers stock prices. Thus, a change in nominal interest rates should move asset prices in the opposite direction.

Prudent investors constantly intend to invest in an efficient market. But, in an inefficient market, hardly are people capable of generating extra ordinary profit resulting in losses of confidence
of general people about the market. In that situation, if the rate of interest paid by banks to depositors boosts up, people switch over their investible fund from share market to bank causing decrease in the demand of share. This will ultimately decrease the price of share and vice versa. In the same fashion, when rate of interest paid by banks to depositors raises, the lending interest rate also increases which leads to decrease the investments in the economy as a whole which is also an additional rationale for declining share price and vice versa.

Consequently, there exists inverse relationship between share price and interest rate. If stock prices and interest rates are associated and the causation runs from interest rates to stock prices then crises in the stock markets can be prohibited by controlling interest rates. Moreover, developing countries can utilize such an association to attract foreign portfolio investment in their own countries. In the same way, if the causation runs from stock prices to interest rates, then authorities can focus on domestic economic policies to stabilize the stock market. The capital asset pricing model (CAPM) and the Arbitrage pricing theory (APT) are the two main general theory of asset pricing.

In finance, arbitrage pricing theory (APT) is a general theory of asset pricing that holds that the expected return of a financial asset can be modeled as a linear function of various macroeconomic factors or theoretical market indices, where sensitivity to changes in each factor is represented by a factor-specific beta coefficient. The model-derived rate of return will then be used to price the asset correctly - the asset price should equal the expected end of period price discounted at the rate implied by the model. If the price diverges, arbitrage should bring it back into line. The theory was proposed by Stephen Ross in 1976.

Under the APT, an asset is mispriced if its current price diverges from the price predicted by the model. The asset price today should equal the sum of all future cash flows discounted at the

APT rate, where the expected return of the asset is a linear function of various factors, and sensitivity to changes in each factor is represented by a factor-specific coefficient. A correctly priced asset here may be in fact a synthetic asset - a portfolio consisting of other correctly priced assets. This portfolio has the same exposure to each of the macroeconomic factors as the mispriced asset.

Arbitrage pricing theory (APT) has been extensively used in studies analyzing the relationship between stock market and macroeconomic indicators. An early theory of arbitrage pricing uses a functional form to test the relationship between stock index and macroeconomic variables. All individual stocks are affected by common factors. Market index can be affected by macroeconomic variables, such as changes in interest rate, money supply, economic growth, and inflation. However, the APT model has a drawback as it assumes the constant term to be a risk-free rate of return (Brahmasrene and Jiranyakul, 2007).

The capital asset pricing model (CAPM) is used to calculate the required rate of return for any risky asset. Your required rate of return is the increase in value you should expect to see based on the inherent risk level of the asset. As an analyst, you could use CAPM to decide what price you should pay for a particular stock. If Stock A is riskier than Stock B, the price of Stock A should be lower to compensate investors for taking on the increased risk.

CAPM is most often used to determine what the fair price of an investment should be. When you calculate the risky asset's rate of return using CAPM, that rate can then be used to discount the investment's future cash flows to their present value and thus arrive at the investment's fair value. By extension, once you've calculated the investment's fair value, you can then compare it to its market price. If your price estimate is higher than the market's, you could consider the stock a bargain. If your price estimate is lower, you could consider the stock to be overvalued.

The APT along with the capital asset pricing model (CAPM) is one of two influential theories on asset pricing. The APT differs from the CAPM in that it is less restrictive in its assumptions. It allows for an explanatory (as opposed to statistical) model of asset returns. It assumes that each investor will hold a unique portfolio with its own particular array of betas, as opposed to the identical "market portfolio". In some ways, the CAPM can be considered a "special case" of the APT in that the securities market line represents a single-factor model of the asset price, where beta is exposed to changes in value of the market.

Additionally, the APT can be seen as a "supply-side" model, since its beta coefficients reflect the sensitivity of the underlying asset to economic factors. Thus, factor shocks would cause structural changes in assets' expected returns, or in the case of stocks, in firms' profitability. On the other side, the capital asset pricing model is considered a "demand side" model. Its results, although similar to those of the APT, arise from a maximization problem of each investor's utility function, and from the resulting market equilibrium (investors are considered to be the "consumers" of the assets).

Another theory of asset pricing is the Modern portfolio theory (MPT) which is based on the idea of efficient markets. The underlying philosophy of this investment theory is that all investors in the market place are intelligent, profit-oriented and are trying to find mispriced stocks. The large number of informed participants will ultimately drive a stock price to its intrinsic value and hence create an efficient market. In such an environment mispriced stocks would be detected immediately, the under- or overvaluation would disappear and no profit could be gained from using any form of investment analysis. In other words, the MPT states that all stocks are priced fairly and nobody can persistently outperform the market. Consequently, followers of this method of investing will try to reduce risk by diversification and costs by minimizing transaction fees and taxes. The six optimal investment strategies is the
creation of an efficient portfolio based on covariances of all the stocks in the global marketplace. In praxis however, this strategy usually means investing in index funds.

### 2.2 Empirical Literature Review

Hamrita and Abdelkader (2011) examined the multi-scale relationship between interest rate, exchange rate and stock price using a wavelet transform in US over the period from January 1990 to December 2008. The exchange rate returns and stock index returns were found to have a bidirectional relationship in this period at longer horizons.

Czaja et al; (2010) investigated whether or not interest rate risk is priced in the German stock market. Constructing benchmark portfolio of stocks having same risk exposure, they studied time series returns of the benchmark portfolio. They found a significant difference between the benchmark returns and stock returns in different industries. Disregarding the indusrty association, their findings suggests that investors should receive positive reward when exposed to interest rate risk. They also found that the benchmark returns of financial institutions were greater than the benchmark returns of non-financial institutions. It means stocks of financial institutions bear more interest rate risk than stocks of non-financial institutions.

Harasty and Roulet (2000) worked on 17 developed countries and showed that stock prices are co-integrated with earnings (a proxy for dividends) and the long-term interest rate in each country (except the Italian market for which the short-term interest rate was used).

Using Granger causality and monthly data, Abdalla and Murinde (1996) investigate the relationships between exchange rates and stock prices in India, Korea, Pakistan, and the Philippines. They find a unidirectional causality from exchange rates to stock prices in all countries except the Philippines, where stock prices Granger cause stock prices.

Tessaromatis (2003) observes the behavior of nominal and real interest rates and monthly total return of 35 industry indices and 10 sector indices as well as four financial times indices in UK. Results of the linear regressions reveal that interest rate movements are important determinants of equity return variability and all the industries other than forestry and paper, sectors and market portfolios are negatively related to interest rate changes. Utilities have the highest sensitivity to movements in nominal interest rates because of their high exposure to inflation. Statistical outputs conclude that there are significant differences between interest rate and inflation sensitivities across all economic sectors.

Patra and Poshakwale (2006) examined the short-run dynamic adjustments and the long-run equilibrium relationships between selected macro-economic variables, trading volume and stock returns in the Greek stock market during the period of 1990 to 1999. They reach results showing that short run and long run equilibrium relationship exists between inflation, money supply and trading volume and the stock prices in the Athens stock exchange. No short run or long run equilibrium relationship is found between the exchange rates and stock prices.

Using the arbitrage pricing theory (APT) on Japanese Stock returns and several macroeconomic variables like industrial production, money supply crude oil price, short term interest rates), it was discovered by Elton and Gruber (1988) that there exists a positive relationship between stock prices and short-term interest rates.

Chen et al. (1989) examine the effect of discount rate changes on the volatility of stock prices and on trading volume. The authors discovered that unexpected discount rate changes contributed to higher, though short-lived, volatility in trading volume.

Mok (1993) verified the causality of daily interest rate, exchange rate and stock prices in Hong Kong for the period from 1986 to 1991. The results indicate that the HIBOR (Hong Kong Inter Bank Offered Rate) and the price indices are independent series. As a further extension to
the study the relationship between exchange rate and stock price was examined, the research concluded that those series are also independent.

It was unearthed by Smirlock and Yawitz (1985) that interest rate changes can impact on equity prices in two ways i.e. by affecting the rate at which the firm "s expected future cash flows will be capitalized, and by altering expectations about future cash flows. They discovered that an increase in interest rates causes stock prices to decline and a decline in interest rates causes stock prices to rise. Further, they conclude that if both capitalization rates and expectations about future cash flows are impacted by interest rates, these effects would influence equity prices.

Goswami and Jung (1997) in their study on the effects of economic factors on Korean stock market employed the VECM to verify the SR and LR relationship between stock price and nine macroeconomic variables namely; SR-IR, LR-IR, Inflation, money supply, industrial production, oil price, balance of trade for current account and foreign exchange from two different currencies i.e. Korean won per USD and Korean won per Japanese Yen. The authors conclude that the Korean Stock prices are positively related to industrial production, inflation and SR interest rate.

Amaresh Das (2005) documents a paper to investigate interrelationship between the stock prices represented by market index and interest rates measured by three months Treasury bills for monthly observations from January 1985 to January 2003 sampling three Asian countries including Bangladesh. Codependence among variables shows that the relationship between stock prices and interest rate is not significant for Bangladesh and Pakistan except India. Results further suggest that the time series data for Bangladesh and Pakistan reflects strongly common cycles.

Hasan and Samarakoon (2000) studied the ability of interest rates, as measured by the treasury bill rates of three maturities; 3, 6 and 12 months, to track the expected monthly, quarterly and annual returns in the Sri Lankan stock market for the period 1990-1997. Stock return is measured by the continuously compounded monthly returns on the ASPI and Sensitive price index. Results of the Ordinary Least Squares suggest that the short-term interest rates are positively related to future returns and they are able to reliably track expected returns horizons. It further suggests that the 12 months maturity is the most powerful tool to track monthly and quarterly expected return among all three maturities.

Uddin and Alam (2007) examines the linear relationship between share price and interest rate, share price and changes of interest rate, changes of share price and interest rate, and changes of share price and changes of interest rate on Dhaka Stock Exchange (DSE). For all of the cases, included and excluded outlier, it was found that Interest Rate has significant negative relationship with Share Price and Changes of Interest Rate has significant negative relationship with changes of share price.

Alam \& Uddin (2009) examined relationship among interest rate and stock prices of developed and developing countries and found that none of the markets follow random walk. They found a significant negative relationship among interest rate and stock prices of all countries. They concluded that these countries can improve performance of their stock exchanges by controlling interest rate considerably.

Arango (2002) found that some evidence of the nonlinear and inverse relationship between the share prices on the Bogota stock market and the interest rate as measured by the inter bank loan interest rate, which is to some extent affected by monetary policy. The model captures the stylized fact on this market of high dependence of returns in short periods. These findings do not support any efficiency on the main stock market in Colombia.

In a study conducted by Lobo (2002) which examines the impact of unexpected changes in the federal funds target on stock prices from 1988 to 2001; Measures of interest rate surprises are constructed from survey data and changes in the 3-month T-bill yield. It was discovered that surprises associated with decreases in the target cause stock prices to rise significantly. Surprises associated with increases in the target increase stock market volatility on the announcement day, with volatility reverting to pre-surprise levels on the day after the announcement. This volatility pattern is only evident since 1994. An implication is that concerns about immediate disclosure causing persistent and heightened stock market volatility might be misplaced.

Jefferis and Okeahalam (2000) worked on South Africa, Botswana and Zimbabwe stock market, where higher interest rates are hypothesized to depress stock prices through the substitution effect (interest-bearing assets become more attractive relative to shares), an increase in the discount rate (and hence a reduced present value of future expected returns), or a depressing effect on investment and hence on expected future profits.

Lee (1997) used three-year rolling regressions to analyze the relationship between the stock market and the short-term interest rate. He tried to forecast excess returns (i.e. the differential between stock market returns and the risk-free short-run interest rate) on the Standard and Poor 500 index with the short-term interest rate, but found that the relationship is not stable over time. It gradually changes from a significantly negative to no relationship, or even a positive although insignificant relationship

Trivoli (1991) found that the US current stock price is positively correlated with the previous month"s stock price, money supply, recent federal debt, recent taxexempt government debt, long-term unemployment, the broad money supply and the federal rate. However, there was a
negative relationship between stock prices and the Treasury bill rate, the intermediate lagged Treasury bond rate, the longer lagged federal debt, and the recent monetary base.

Nishat (2004) analyze the long-term relationship between macroeconomic variables and stock prices of Karachi stock exchange using a unit root technique. He found a causal relationship between the stock price and the macroeconomic variables.

Zhou (1996) also studied the relationship between interest rates and stock prices using regression analysis. He found that interest rates have an important impact on stock returns, especially on long horizons, but the hypothesis that expected stock returns move one-for-one with ex ante interest rates is rejected. In addition, his results show that long-term interest rate explain a major part of the variation in price-dividend ratios and suggests that the high volatility of the stock market is related to the high volatility of long-term bond yields and may be accounted for by changing forecasts of discount rates.

Lee (1997) used three-year rolling regressions to analyze the relationship between the stock market and the short-term interest rate. He tried to forecast excess returns (i.e. the differential between stock market returns and the risk-free short-run interest rate) on the Standard and Poor 500 index with the short-term interest rate, but found that the relationship is not stable over time. It gradually changes from a significantly negative to no relationship, or even a positive although insignificant relationship.

Zordan (2005) said that historical evidence illustrates that stock prices and interest rates are inversely correlated, with cycle"s observable well back into the 1880 "s; more relevant to the period subsequent to World War II. From the late $1940^{\circ \circ} \mathrm{s}$ to the mid $1960^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$, inflation was low, and interest rates were both low and stable. Stocks did well during this period, both in nominal and real terms. The inverse relationship between interest-sensitive asset classes like stocks, bonds, and real estate and commodity prices has been known through history. That relationship
can be observed in the 1877 to 1906 cycle, the 1906 to 1920 cycle, the 1920 to 1929 cycle, the 1929 to 1949 cycle, and the 1949 to 1966 cycle.

Using Johansen"s multivariate cointegration test and Innovation accounting techniques, Adam and Twenenboah (2008) examined the role of macroeconomic variables on stock price movement in Ghana by means of Databank Stock Index, Treasury Bill Rate, Consumer Price Index and Exchange Rate as macroeconomic variables and conclude that there is cointegration between macroeconomic variables identified and stock prices in Ghana indicating a long run relationship.

It is evident that macroeconomic variables such as Interest Rate, Treasury bill rate, Money Supply, Exchange Rate, Foreign Direct Investments (FDIs), Consumer Price Index (CPI) etc. have some impact on share price on Stock Exchange Markets in both developed and developing countries where studies have been conducted. It is expected that these findings would also be applicable in Ghana and would be tested accordingly.

## CHAPTER THREE

## METHODOLOGY

### 3.1 Introduction

This chapter deals with the modeling techniques used to achieve the major objectives of this study. It comprises five sections. Section one provides the sources of data used for the study. The second section focuses on the specification of the model used for the study. Section three discusses how the variables used for the study were defined as well as the expected impact of the determinants. Section four looks at the test of stationarity of the time series variables.

Section five deals with estimating causality between the variables using Granger Causality test and finally using least square estimation technique for the regression analysis.

### 3.2 Sources of Data

The study used time series data which spans from January 2000 to September, 2010 a total of one hundred and twenty nine (129) months for the model employed in this study. Data on stock price (proxied by all-share index), interest rate proxied for 91 days treasury bills, the level of money supply (M2), and inflation rates were all obtained from the Bank of Ghana.

### 3.3 Model Specification

Following Khan et al., (2012) stock return is dependent on interest rate, the level of money supply (M2), and inflation, the study has modified this multiple regression by replacing the stock return with stock price as given as follows:
$L N S P_{t} \square f$ LNINTR $L N M($
$2_{t}$, LNINFL $_{t}$ )

SP denotes the stock price at time $t$, INTR represents interest rate as measured by 91 days treasury bills during the time period t , M2 is the level of money supply and INFL is the inflation rate at the time t . $\beta_{i}$ is the drifting components, whereas $\varepsilon_{t}$ indicates the error term and the LN is natural logarithm which helps to estimate the $\beta s$ in percentage change and also helps reduce heteroscedasticity (Gujarati, 2005).

### 3.4 Description of Variables and Their Expected Signs

### 3.4.1 Interest Rate

Interest rate (as proxied by 91 days treasury bill) is the price a borrower pays for the use of money they borrow from a lender/financial institutions or fee paid on borrowed assets (Crowley, 2007). Interest can be thought of as "rent of money". Interest rates are fundamental to a ,,capitalist society" and are normally expressed as a percentage rate over the period of one year. The opportunity cost for investing in a stock is represented by the interest rate. Interest rate is known as one of the most important factor moving the attitude of investors in the stock market. As interests rises bonds turn out to be more attractive given their risk-return features; this encourages investors to switch from the stock market to the money market by buying bonds and selling stocks, hence depressing stock prices. Accordingly, interest rate is expected to have an inverse effect on stock price (i.e. $\beta_{1}<0$ ).

### 3.4.2 Level of Money Supply (M2)

The monetary policy stance is transmitted into the real economy by various channels such as Asset Price Channel, Interest Rate Channel, Exchange Rate Channel and Credit Channel. All of these channels indeed affect stock prices directly or indirectly. Tobin (1969), quoted in Mishkin (2004 p. 84) hypothesized that monetary policy can affect the real economy through asset price channel. Expansionary monetary policy increases household's spending capacity which, in part, is spent on stock market, increases the demand for stocks and raises stock prices. According to

Keran (1971), changes in nominal money supply affect total spending and consequently affect corporate earning leading to changes in share prices. Therefore, it is expected that the level of money is positively related to stock price; that is $\beta_{2}>0$.

### 3.4.3 Inflation

The rate of inflation in an economy has a great impact on investors; the investors are faced with the decision as to whether to make investments or not. Increase in inflation rate can cause the real income to decline, when this happens, investors end up selling their assets, including stocks to improve their buying power. When inflation rate is low, the reverse is the case; investors would like to purchase more assets with stocks not exclusive. Ralph and Eriki (2001) conducted an empirical study on Nigerian stock market and found that a negative relationship exists between stock prices and inflation. On account of this empirical evidence, it is expected that there is a negative relationship between stock price and inflation (i.e.
$\left.\beta_{3}<0\right)$.

### 3.4.4 Stock Price

Stock price is the cost of purchasing a security on an exchange. Stock prices can be affected by a number of things including volatility in the market, current economic conditions, and popularity of the company. Stock prices change every day as a result of market forces. By this we mean that share prices change because of supply and demand. If more investors want to buy a stock (demand) than sell it (supply), then the price moves up. Conversely, if more investors wanted to sell a stock than buy it, there would be greater supply than demand, and the price would fall. Stock prices are often very tempting in an economic downturn. The low prices offered are a good deal for many investors, they just do not trust the company to improve. In this study, stock price is calculated by finding the average of the stock prices of the listed companies on Ghana Stock Exchange for a particular month during the period of the study.

### 3.5 Estimation Technique

## Granger Causality Test

The main estimation technique for the study is the granger causality test. This is an econometric tool developed by Granger (1969) that looks at identifying causality between a set of variables. As the study sought to examine the causality between stock price and interest rate, the causality relations were checked to back up results from the estimation in equation (1). Granger causality test is used to examine the direction of the causality between stock price and the independent variables concerned. The general of causality between stock price and interest rate is given as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{t}=\omega_{10}+\sum_{i=1}^{M_{11}} \omega_{11} Y_{t-i}+\sum_{j=1}^{M_{12}} \omega_{12} X_{t-j}+\mu_{t} \cdot  \tag{2}\\
& X_{t}=\omega_{20}+\sum_{i=1}^{M_{21}} \omega_{21} X_{t-i}+\sum_{j=1}^{M_{22}} \omega_{22} Y_{t-j}+v_{t} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\omega_{10}$ and $\omega_{20}$ are the constant parameters in equation (2) and (3) respectively. The error terms $u_{t}$ and $v_{t a r e}$ the serially uncorrelated white noise error term with a zero mean and a constant variance. $Y_{t}$ and $X_{t}$ are the two variables to be measured; thus the stock price and interest rate. $M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{21}$, and $M_{22}$ represents the optimal lag length for the variables.

The study examine the joint significance of the lagged dynamic terms by testing the null hypothesis:
$H_{0}: \omega_{1 i} \neq 0$, implying that the independent variable $(X)$ does not granger-cause the dependent variable $(Y)$, against the alterative hypothesis that
$H_{1}: \omega_{1 i} \neq 0$, implying that the independent variable $(X)$ granger-cause the dependent variable (Y).

If $\omega_{12}$ is found statistically significant, then Y Granger causes X and $\omega_{21}$ is realized to be meaningful, it means that X Granger causes Y .

### 3.6 Estimation Procedures

### 3.6.1 Unit Root Test

One major problem associated with time series data is their non-stationarity because they are trended. This feature of time series data results in spurious (Asteriou and Hall, 2014) regression results when series are estimated at the levels and hence lead to incorrect conclusions. In such cases, the variables used for the analyses, though may not have any interrelationship would still result in a very high $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ and also very high values of t -ratio. Stationary series have temporary shock effects and are mean reverting whiles non - stationary series have permanent effects which there is any shock associated with that variable. The study therefore tested for the stationarity of all the variables using the Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) test, by using the test equation with trend and intercept as in equation (4).
$\Delta y_{t}=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} y_{t-1}+\alpha(t)+\sum \gamma_{i} \Delta y_{t-i}+\mu_{t}+$
where, $\Delta$ is the first difference operator, $y$ is the variable under consideration, $\beta_{1}$ is the constant term, $\beta_{2}$ is the coefficient being tested, $\alpha$ is the trend coefficient, $\sum^{\prime} \Upsilon i \Delta y t-i$ is the summation of all past values of the variable under consideration which is being employed to eliminate the effect of autocorrelation with ${ }^{\prime} \Upsilon_{i}$ being the coefficient and ,, $\mathrm{u}_{t^{*}}$ is the error term.

### 3.6.2 Regression Analysis

According to Hair et al., (1995), regression analysis is a statistical approach that is employed to analyze the relationship between a dependent variable and one or more independent variables. A multiple regression analysis provides an equation to predict the magnitude of the dependent variable, providing values for the independent variables that explain the largest proportion of variation in the dependent variable. The linear multiple regression is used to
estimate the effect of the independent variables on the dependent variable. One significant aspect of a linear multiple regression model is that both the levels and first difference variables of variables that are stationary at first difference may be included in the regression. As a result, the natural logarithm of the first difference variables measures the growth rates of such variables in the regression.

Generally, the equation of the linear multiple regression analysis is written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}^{\prime}=\omega_{0}+\alpha_{1} X_{1}+\alpha_{2} X_{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{n} X_{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{t}^{\prime}$ represents the predicted value of the dependent variable; $\omega_{0}$ is the value of the dependent variable when all the independent variables are held constant, that is the $Y_{t}$ intercept; $\alpha$ represents the regression coefficient; and the $X S$ are the independent variables.

The Pearson coefficient of determination, or simply "R-squared" in terms of computer output, is usually used to gauge this explained variation. An " R - squared" of „0" indicates that there is no relationship between the independent variables and the dependent variable. This " R squared" tells the researcher about the perfectness of the multiple regression model and also how well the independent variables included in the model explain the dependent variable.

The significance of "R-squared" can be tested through the „ F " statistics and its associated probability. The „Fe statistics is a test of the null hypothesis that there is no linear relationship between the dependent and independent variables that is „ $\mathrm{R}^{\text {c }}$ squared equals to 0.0 (Hair et al., 1995). The null hypothesis can be rejected if the „ $\mathrm{F}^{\text {ce }}$ statistics is high and the level of significance is close to zero. This rejection of the null hypothesis suggests the acceptance of an alternative hypothesis that there is a linear relationship between the dependent and independent variables.


## CHAPTER FOUR

## EMPIRICAL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

### 4.1 Introduction

This chapter deals with the results and discussion of the empirical model in chapter three of the study. This chapter is divided into various sections. The chapter first presents various statistical procedures and diagnostic checks such as descriptive statistics, stationarity, least square estimation and correlation matrix. Lastly Granger causality test results are presented and analysed.

### 4.2 Descriptive Statistics

The descriptive statistics of the variables used in this study are presented In Table 4.1

Table 4.1: Descriptive Statistics

|  | LNSP | LNINFL | LNM2 | LNINTR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 8.123764 | 5.105252 | 7.605209 | 2.992728 |
| Median | 8.511839 | 5.192957 | 7.631771 | 2.985682 |
| Maximum | 9.295674 | 5.945421 | 9.066688 | 3.850148 |
| Minimum | 6.606245 | 3.922369 | 5.973555 | 2.261763 |
| Std. Dev. | 0.870914 | 0.537145 | 0.914223 | 0.492676 |
| Skewness | -0.556121 | -0.315163 | -0.154727 | 0.010548 |
| Kurtosis | 1.742294 | 2.160725 | 1.889389 | 1.817634 |
| Jarque-Bera | 15.15164 | 5.921605 | 7.144545 | 7.516581 |
| Probability | 0.000513 | 0.051777 | 0.028092 | 0.023324 |
| Sum | 1047.966 | 658.5775 | 981.0720 | 386.0619 |
| Sum Sq. Dev. | 97.08680 | 36.93119 | 106.9828 | 31.06943 |
| Observations | 129 | 129 | 129 | 129 |

[^0]Table 4.1 above shows the descriptive statistics of the series. There are 129 observations representing the monthly data points from 2000 to 2010 . Stock price, inflation, money supply, and the interest rate exhibit normality as indicated by the Jarque-Bera test and the corresponding probability values which are less than $5 \%$. With the exception of the interest rate, all the series such as stock price, inflation and money supply are negatively skewed. The Standard Deviation of the variables indicates variation or deviation of the series from their mean values. All the series show little deviation from the mean values. This is because the extent of deviation from the mean value is not substantial for stock price, inflation, money supply, and interest rate. Stock price as proxied by all-shares index average around $8.12 \%$ over the 2000-2010 period while the period the rate of inflation also average around $5.11 \%$ over the same period. The level of money supply (M2) average around $7.61 \%$ over the 20002010 period while the interest rate proxied by 91 days treasure bill averaged $2.99 \%$ over the same period. Other statistics such as the median, maximum and minimum values as well as kurtosis are displayed in Table 4.1.

### 4.2 Test for Stationarity

In accordance with the dictates of the methodology, the study employed the Augmented Dickey Fuller test to identify the order of stationary among the variables in the study so as to avoid spurious regressions which makes inferences irrelevant. Table 4.2 shows the results of the ADF unit root test.

Table 4.2: Results for Unit Root Test

| VARIABLES | ADF LEVELS |  | STATIONARITY STATUS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | INTERCEPT | INTERCEPT+ <br> TREND |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| LNSP | -1.880374 | -2.193710 | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| LNINFL | $-4.121604^{* * *}$ | $-4.197079^{* * *}$ | $\mathrm{I}(0)$ |
| LNM2 | -1.368574 | -1.987065 | - |
| LNINTR | -1.414161 | -1.722133 | - |
| VARIABLES | ADF FIRST DIFFERENCE |  | STATIONARITY STATUS |
|  | INTERCEPT | INTERCEPT <br> +TREND | $\mathrm{I}(1)$ |
| LNSP | $-2.800434^{*}$ | -2.942167 | $\mathrm{I}(1)$ |
| LNM2 | $-2.686021^{*}$ | -2.944751 | $\mathrm{I}(1)$ |
| LNINTR | $-6.846528^{* * *}$ | $-6.820190^{* * *}$ |  |

Note: $\left({ }^{* * *}\right)$ and $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ denote the rejection of the null hypothesis of unit root at the $1 \%$ and $10 \%$ significant levels.

Source: Author"computation using Eviews 9.

From table 4.2, the results disclosed that inflation was stationary at levels and was statistically significant at $1 \%$ level hence integrated of order zero. That is I (0). However stock price, money supply and interest rate were non-stationary in their levels. As a result, there is the need to test for their stationarity using the differencing approach. The results, showed that stock price, money supply and the interest rate became stationary at the first difference hence integrated of order one. That is I (1). This means that the stationary series have temporary shock effects and that any regression with stationary series helps to avoid spurious regressions which makes inferences irrelevant.

### 4.3 OLS Estimation Results

The ordinary least squares estimator was used to determine the effect of inflation, interest rate and money supply on stock prices. The regression model includes both the level and first
difference variables of series that were stationary at the first difference. The natural logarithm of first difference variables measured the growth rates. Table 4.3 presents the results of the OLS regression.


Method: Least Squares (Gauss-Newton / Marquardt steps)
Sample (adjusted): 2000M02 2010M09
Included observations: 128 after adjustments

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
| Constant | 2.850818 | 0.683714 | 4.169604 | 0.0001 |
| LNINFL | 1.172963 | 0.564397 | 2.078259 | 0.0398 |
| LNINTR | -0.362119 | 0.084494 | -4.285752 | 0.0000 |
| LNM2 | 0.049954 | 0.329918 | 0.151414 | 0.8799 |
| DLNSP | 0.352167 | 0.499183 | 0.705485 | 0.4819 |
| DLNINTR | 1.097254 | 0.451403 | 2.430762 | 0.0165 |
| DLNM2 | -0.421631 | 0.907365 | -0.464677 | 0.6430 |
| R-squared | 0.839684 | Prob(F-statistic) |  | 0.000000 |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.831734 | Durbin-Watson stat |  | 0.075371 |
| F-statistic | 105.6262 |  |  |  |

Source: Author's computation using Eviews 9

From table 4.3, inflation has a positive and significant impact on stock price. As a result, a proportionate increase in inflation would lead to a more than proportionate increase in stock price by about $1.17 \%$. This result is significant at $5 \%$ significant level. There is a belief that stocks might prove to be a good hedge against inflation (Fama and Schwert, 1977), since stocks represent claims to real asset. Moreover, stocks are widely assumed to be an attractive investment in an inflationary environment, because they are based on real assets. If rates of return on common stocks move directly with the rate of inflation, investors would be fully compensated for the erosion in purchasing power. This is because common stocks represent a
claim to real resources and their value would increase with inflation. However, the results does not meet a prior expectation and also contradict the findings of Khan et al., (2012) and Zhao (1999) but a positive impact on inflation on stock prices is also possible (Fama and Schwert, 1977; Adam and Twenenboah, 2008).

The study further revealed that there exists a negative but insignificant relationship between the level of money supply and stock price. The level of money supply has a coefficient of 0.049954 which proposes an increase in the level of the stock price of about $0.05 \%$ for a proportionate increase in the level of money supply. The positive relationship between money supply and stock price supports the Monetary Portfolio Hypothesis (Friedman, 1988) which expects that an increase in money supply will result in an increase in almost all economic activities including the stock market.

Furthermore, there exist negative and significant effects of interest rate on stock prices such that a proportionate increase in interest rate would lead to a less than proportionate fall in stock prices by about $0.36 \%$. This is because a rise in interest rates raises equity capitalization rates, which also leads to lowering stock prices. Interest rate is known as one of the most important factor moving the attitude of investors in the stock market and serve as the opportunity cost for investing in a stock market. As interests rises bonds turn out to be more attractive given their risk-return features; this encourages investors to switch from the stock market to the money market by buying bonds and selling stocks, hence depressing stock prices. When interest rate is reduced, this encourages demand for cash for tentative purpose which may improve the stock market activities. The results confirmed studies by Jefferis and Okeahalam (2000), Uddin and Alam (2007), Crowley (2007), Zordan (2005), Abugri (2008), Adam and Twenenboah (2008). On the other hand, the growth rate of interest rate has a positive and significant effect on stock
prices in Ghana with a coefficient of 1.097254 . This result is in support of the findings of Lee (1997), Hasan and Samarakoon (2000) and Khan (2012).

The study also found a negative but insignificant effect of the growth rate of stock prices on current stock prices. Hence the growth rate of stock price does not affect current stock prices in Ghana. This is also confirmed in the works of Positive Trivoli (1991), Uddin and Alam (2007), and Adam and Twenenboah (2008).

Again, given the results in Table 4.3, the stock price is likely to increase by $3 \%$ when all other variables are held constant and it is significant at $1 \%$. This implies that, the stock price depends on certain variables that must positively influence the stock market prices in Ghana.

Finally from the OLS regression results, the signs of the parameters of the model did not exhibit considerable level of consistency with the exception of interest rate that retained its sign. However, the estimation procedure showed very good result as the R-Square is $83.96 \%$ and the R-Bar- Squared is also $83.17 \%$. The R-Square means that over $83.96 \%$ of all variations in the stock prices are explained by all the independent variables. The F statistic also proved that all the independent variables are significant at $1 \%$. This means that the explanatory variables do actually have significant influence on the dependent variable. The DW statistic of 0.075371 is evidence enough to dismiss the notion of autocorrelation in the function.

### 4.4 Correlation Matrix

In order to lend more support to the regression analyses by way of attesting to the existence of inter-relationship between the variables, Table 4.4 shows correlation between the variables. The table indicates that there is a strong relationship $(\mathrm{r}=0.899 ; \mathrm{P}<0.01)$ between stock price and inflation. The relationship is also significantly positive indicating that a 0.01 increase in
inflation will lead to 0.899 increases in the stock price. Table 4.4 also portrays a positive significant relationship $(r=0.898)$ between stock price and the level of money supply. Finally, is the sort of relationship that exists between stock price and interest rate. The study found that there exist moderately significant negative relationship ( $\mathrm{r}=-0.695 ; \mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) between stock price and interest rate. This implies that a decline in interest rate has a deleterious effect on stock prices. This is not quite surprising because as it is observed empirically, there is always a strong negative relationship between the two variables.

Table 4.4: Correlation Matrix

|  | LNINFL | LNSP | LM2 | LNINTR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LNINFL | 1.000 |  |  |  |
| LNSP | $0.899^{* *}$ | 1.000 |  |  |
| LNM2 | $0.993^{* *}$ | $0.898^{* *}$ | 1.000 |  |
| LNINTR | $-0.640 * *$ | $-0.695^{* *}$ | $-0.645^{* *}$ | 1.000 |

Note: ** indicates that correlation is significant at the 0.01 level
Source: Author"s construct

### 4.5 Granger Causality

To determine the direction of causality between stock price and interest rate, the Pairwise Granger Causality test was used. It was appropriate to use the Pairwise Granger Causality test since both stock prices and interest rate are integrated of orders one $[\mathrm{I}(1)]$.

Table 4.5: Pairwise Granger Causality Tests

| Null Hypothesis: | Obs | F-Statistic | Prob. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LNINTR does not Granger Cause LNSP | 128 | 4.53135 | 0.0352 |
| LNSP does not Granger Cause LNINTR |  | 0.03779 | 0.8462 |

[^1]The granger causality tests are presented in Table 4.5 above. The test results reveal that there is unidirectional causality between interest rate and stock price. The null hypothesis that interest rate does not granger cause stock price is rejected at 5\% significant level but the null hypothesis of stock price not granger causing interest rate is not rejected at neither $5 \%$ nor $10 \%$ level of significance. This means that, changes in the stock price is explained by the variations in the interest rate. Hence interest rate serve as a better predictor of changes in the stock prices. This result confirmed studies by Nishat (2004).

## CHAPTER FIVE

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS, CONCLUSION AND POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

### 5.0 Introduction

The chapter is the concluding part of the whole study. It summarizes the major findings that were revealed in the study. Furthermore, it provides some policy recommendations based on the findings and their corresponding implications.

### 5.1 Summary of findings

The main findings of the study are that stock price average around $8.12 \%$ over the 2000-2010 periods while the period the rate of inflation also average around $5.11 \%$ over the same period. The level of money supply (M2) average around $7.61 \%$ over the $2000-2010$ period while the interest rate proxied by 91 days treasure bill averaged $2.99 \%$ over the same period. Correlation between the variables considered in the study was in tandem with expectation excluding inflation. The study found that there exist moderately significant negative relationship between stock price and interest rate. As expected, there was a negative insignificant relationship between stock price and the level of money supply, and the relationship was noted to be insignificant. The prior expectation of the relationship between inflation and stock price was not confirmed as the current study revealed that there is a strong positive relationship between stock price and inflation.

The regression analysis revealed that interest rate had a significant negative effect on stock price in Ghana. The empirical result also indicated that there existed a direct relationship between inflation and stock price and it was found to be significant. Again, the level of money supply has a positive influence on stock price but it was found insignificant. The study also revealed that the growth rates of stock prices and money supply do not have significant impact on stock prices whiles the growth rate of interest rate significant affects stock prices.

As part of the study"s objectives, the Granger causality test was used to determine the direction of causality between stock price and interest rate. The study discovered that there is unidirectional causality between interest rate and stock price as in the case of Ghana. Thus, changes in the stock price are better explained by the variations in interest rate.

### 5.2 Conclusion

There is a controversy among analysts and researchers in the fields of finance and economics over the relationship between the financial market and the macroeconomy. Most scholars have attempted to develop models in order to conduct empirical works that could help in deepening the understanding of the interrelationships therein. However, the global economic crises in the year 2007 was a wakeup call for scholars in these fields to work harder for a better understanding of this interrelationship. The study therefore set out to investigate the relationship between stock price and other macroeconomic variables like interest rate, inflation, and the level of money supply (M2). The empirical results indicated that interest rate had a significant negative effect on stock price in Ghana. The findings also indicated that there existed a direct relationship between inflation and stock price and it was found to be significant. Further, the study noticed that the changes in the stock price are explained by the variations in interest rate whereas the changes in stock price do not in turn influence the changes in interest rate. This means that there is unidirectional causality between interest rate and stock price as in the case of Ghana.

### 5.3 Policy Recommendations

Taking into account the study findings, the following recommendations are made:

Policy makers must be too careful when trying to influence on the economy through changes in macroeconomic variables such as interest rate and money supply. Whilst targeting to correct macroeconomic ills like inflation or unemployment, they might in adversely depress the stock market and curtail capital formation which itself would lead to further slowdown of the economy.

Further, for a better market performance which has the tendency of increasing investor confidence in the capital market and investment, government should put measures in place that seek to ensure a stable macro economy. Such measure should include maintenance of mild and moderate inflation and a stable interest rate enough to encourage portfolio investment.

In order to achieve better stock performance, policy makers in Ghana Stock Exchange must put in place measures to ensure better corporate performance by listed firms. This is because investors would like to know the performance of the stock market in the previous year before they decide to invest in them. It is likely the falling performance of the GSE would dampen its stock prices.
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## APPENDICES APPENDIX I RESULTS OF THE UNIT ROOT THEST

Null Hypothesis: LNSP has a unit root
Exogenous: Constant
Lag Length: 4 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=12)

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided $p$ - values.

Null Hypothesis: LNSP has a unit root
Exogenous: Constant, Linear Trend
Lag Length: 4 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=12)


*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided $p$ - values

Null Hypothesis: LNINFL has a unit root Exogenous: Constant, Linear Trend
Lag Length: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=12)


| Test critical values: |  | -4.031309 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $5 \%$ level | -3.445308 |
|  | $10 \%$ level | -3.147545 |

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p- values.
Null Hypothesis: LNM2 has a unit root
Exogenous: Constant
Lag Length: 12 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=12)

|  | t-Statistic | Prob.* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Augmented Dickey -Fuller test statistic |  | -1.368574 |
| Test critical values: | $1 \%$ level | -3.487550 |
|  | $5 \%$ level | -2.886509 |
|  | $10 \%$ level | -2.580163 |
|  |  |  |

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p- values

Null Hypothesis: LNM2 has a unit root
Exogenous: Constant, Linear Trend
Lag Length: 12 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=12)

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p- values.

Null Hypothesis: LNINTR has a unit root
Exogenous: Constant
Lag Length: 1 (Automatic - based on SIC,
maxlag=12)

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p- values.

Null Hypothesis: LNINTR has a unit root
Exogenous: Constant, Linear Trend Lag Length: 1 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=12)

|  | t-Statistic | Prob.* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| Augmented Dickey - Fuller test statistic | -4.031899 | -1.722133 |
| Test critical values: | -3.445590 |  |
|  | $5 \%$ level | -3.147710 |

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p- values.

Null Hypothesis: D(LNSP) has a unit root
Exogenous: Constant
Lag Length: 3 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=12)

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p- values.

Null Hypothesis: D(LNSP) has a unit root Exogenous: Constant, Linear Trend
Lag Length: 3 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=12)
$\qquad$


|  | t-Statistic | Prob.* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Augmented Dickey -Fuller test statistic |  | -9.162467 |
| Test critical values: $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { 1\% level } \\ & \\ & 5 \% \text { level } \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \end{aligned} 0 \%$ level | -4.031899 |  |
|  | -3.445590 |  |
|  | -3.147710 |  |

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p- values.

Null Hypothesis: D(LNM2) has a unit root
Exogenous: Constant
Lag Length: 11 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=12)

|  |  | t-Statistic | Prob.* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Augmented Dickey -Fuller test statistic |  |  | -2.686021 |
| Test critical values: | 1\% level | -3.487550 |  |
|  | $5 \%$ level | -2.886509 |  |
|  | 10\% level |  |  |
| *MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p - values. |  |  |  |

Null Hypothesis: D(LNM2) has a unit root Exogenous: Constant, Linear Trend Lag Length: 11 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=12)

|  | t-Statistic | Prob.* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Augmented Dickey -Fuller test statistic |  | -2.944751 |
| Test critical values: | $1 \%$ level | -4.039075 |
|  | $5 \%$ level | -3.449020 |
|  | $10 \%$ level | -3.149720 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Null Hypothesis: D(LNINTR) has a unit root
Exogenous: Constant
Lag Length: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=12)

|  | t-Statistic Prob.* |
| :---: | :---: |
| Augmented Dickey -Fuller test statistic | -6.846528 |
| Test critical values: $1 \%$ level | -3.482453 |
| - 5\% level | -2.884291 |
| 10\% level | -2.578981 |

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Null Hypothesis: D(LNINTR) has a unit root
Exogenous: Constant, Linear Trend
Lag Length: 0 (Automatic - based on SIC, maxlag=12)
$\overline{\text { t-Statistic }}$
$\qquad$

| Augmented Dickey -Fuller test statistic |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test critical values: | 1\% level | -4.031899 |
|  | $5 \%$ level | -3.445590 |
|  | 10\% level | -3.147710 |

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p- values.

Null Hypothesis: LNSP has a unit root Exogenous: Constant
Bandwidth: 8 (Newey-West automatic) using Bartlett kernel

*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.


## APPENDIX

## CORRELATION MATRIX

|  |  | LNINFL | LNSP | LNM2 | LNINTR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LNINFL | Pearson Correlation | 1 | .899** | .993** | -.640** |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) |  | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 |
|  | N | 129 | 129 | 129 | 129 |
| LNSP | Pearson Correlation | . $899{ }^{* *}$ | 1 | . $898{ }^{* *}$ | -.695** |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | . 000 |  | . 000 | . 000 |
|  | N | 129 | 129 | 129 | 129 |
| LNM2 | Pearson Correlation | .993** | . $898{ }^{* *}$ | 1 | -.645** |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | . 000 | . 000 |  | . 000 |
|  | N | 129 | 129 | 129 | 129 |
| LNINTR | Pearson Correlation | $-.640^{* *}$ | -.695** | -.645** | 1 |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | -. 000 | . 000 | . 000 |  |
|  | N | 129 | 129 | 129 | 129 |

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

## RESULTS FOR THE REGRESSION ANALYSIS

Dependent Variable: LNSP
Method: Least Squares (Gauss-Newton / Marquardt steps)
Sample (adjusted): 2000M02 2010M09
Included observations: 128 after adjustments

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Constant | 2.850818 | 0.683714 | 4.169604 | 0.0001 |
| LNINFL | 1.172963 | 0.564397 | 2.078259 | 0.0398 |
| LNINTR | -0.362119 | 0.084494 | -4.285752 | 0.0000 |
| LNM2 | 0.049954 | 0.329918 | 0.151414 | 0.8799 |
| DLNSP | 0.352167 | 0.499183 | 0.705485 | 0.4819 |
| DLNINTR | 1.097254 | 0.451403 | 2.430762 | 0.0165 |
| DLNM2 | -0.421631 | 0.907365 | -0.464677 | 0.6430 |
| R-squared | 0.839684 | Mean dependent var |  |  |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.831734 | S.D. dependent var | 8.135598 |  |
| S.E. of regression | 0.354357 | Akaike info criterion | 0.863860 |  |
| Sum squared resid | 15.19386 | Schwarz criterion | 0.816113 |  |
| Log likelihood | -45.23126 | Hannan-Quinn criter. | 0.972084 |  |
| F-statistic | 105.6262 | Durbin-Watson stat | 0.879485 |  |
| Prob(F-statistic) | 0.000000 |  | 0.075371 |  |

## IV

## MULTICOLLINEARITY AND HETEROSCEDASTICITY TESTS

Variance Inflation Factors
Sample: 2000M01 2010M09
Included observations: 128

Coefficient

| Variable | Variance |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 0.467465 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 0.318544 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 0.007139 |

## APPENDIX

| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 0.108846 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 0.249184 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 0.203765 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 0.823311 |

Heteroskedasticity Test: Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0.995022 |  |  |
| F-statistic |  | Prob. F(6,121) | 0.4319 |
| Obs*R-squared | 6.018555 | Prob. Chi-Square(6) | 0.4211 |
| Scaled explained SS | 3.744799 | Prob. Chi- | Square(6) |

Test Equation:
Dependent Variable: RESID^2
Method: Least Squares
Date: 01/17/16 Time: 21:11
Sample: 2000M02 2010M09
Included observations: 128

| Variable Coefficient |  | Std. Error | Prob. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | -0.287990 | $0.271365-1.061264$ |  |
| LNINFL | 0.189157 | 0.2240080 .844420 | 0.4001 |
| LNINTR | 0.014657 | $0.033535 \quad 0.437073$ | 0.6628 |
| LNM2 | -0.078994 | $0.130944-0.603265$ | 0.5475 |
| DLNASI | 0.115989 | $0.198125 \quad 0.585434$ | 0.5593 |
| DLNINTR | -0.156682 | $0.179161-0.874532$ | 0.3836 |
| DLNM2 | -0.250217 | $0.360131-0.694795$ | 0.4885 |
| R -squared | 0.047020 | Mean dependent var | 0.118702 |
| Adjusted R-squared | -0.000235 | S.D. dependent var | 0.140627 |
| S.E. of regression | 0.140644 | Akaike info criterion | -1.032039 |
| Sum squared resid | 2.393458 | Schwarz criterion | -0.876069 |
| Log likelihood | 73.05051 | Hannan-Quinn criter. | -0.968668 |
| F-statistic | 0.995022 | Durbin-Watson stat | 0.177231 |
| Prob(F-statistic) | 0.431878 |  |  |



## APPENDIX VI

## LAG SELECTION CRITERION

VAR Lag Order Selection Criteria
Endogenous variables: LNASI LNINTR
Exogenous variables: C
Sample: 2000M01 2010M09
Included observations: 125

| LR | FPE | AIC | SC | HQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.093466 | 3.305599 | 3.350852 | 3.323983 |
| NA |  |  |  |  |
| 1022.307 | 2.29e-05 | -5.009969 | -4.874210 | -4.954817 |
| 61.64423 | 1.46e-05 | -5.459670 | -5.233405* | -5.367751* |
| 5.689200 | $1.48 \mathrm{e}-05$ | -5.443884 | -5.127113 | -5.315197 |
| 14.20170* | $1.40 \mathrm{e}-05^{*}$ | -5.502312* | -5.095035 | -5.336857 |

* indicates lag order selected by the criterion

LR: sequential modified LR test statistic (each test at $5 \%$ level)
FPE: Final prediction error
AIC: Akaike information criterion
SC: Schwarz information criterion
HQ: Hannan-Quinn information criterion

## APPENDIX VII

## VAR ESTIMATION

Vector Autoregression Estimates
Sample (adjusted): 2000M03 2010M09
Included observations: 127 after adjustments
Standard errors in () \& t-statistics in [ ]

|  | LNASI | LNINTR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 1.450560 |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{LNINTR(-1)} \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
-0.002392 \\
(0.06953)
\end{array}
$$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 1.445720 \\
& (0.08017)
\end{aligned}
$$ <br>
\hline \& [-0.03440] \& [ 18.0330] <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{LNINTR(-2)

C} \& $$
\begin{gathered}
-0.023183 \\
(0.07044)
\end{gathered}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-0.469871 \\
(0.08123)
\end{array}
$$
\] <br>

\hline \& [-0.32909] \& [-5.78466] <br>

\hline \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.247371 \\
& (0.10197)
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.118492 \\
& (0.11758)
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline \& [ 2.42590] \& [ 1.00776] <br>
\hline \& \& 0.983143 <br>
\hline R -squared \& 0.995819 \& <br>
\hline Adj. R-squared \& 0.995681 \& 0.982590 <br>
\hline Sum sq. resids \& 0.386438 \& 0.513795 <br>
\hline S.E. equation \& 0.056281 \& 0.064896 <br>
\hline F-statistic \& 7263.586 \& 1778.787 <br>
\hline Log likelihood \& 187.7754 \& 169.6873 <br>
\hline Akaike AIC \& -2.878353 \& -2.593500 <br>
\hline Schwarz SC \& -2.766377 \& -2.481524 <br>
\hline Mean dependent \& 8.147641 \& 2.984236 <br>
\hline S.D. dependent \& 0.856427 \& 0.491828 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Determinant resid covariance (dof adj.)} <br>
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Determinant resid covariance 1.23E-05} <br>
\hline Log likelihood \& \& 357.4681 <br>
\hline Akaike information criterion \& \& -5.471939 <br>
\hline Schwarz criterion \& \& -5.247987 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## APPENDIX VIII DATA

## USED FOR THE STUDY

| Year | Month | LNINFL | LNASI | LNM2 | LNINTR |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2000 | Jan | 3.922369 | 6.608945 | 5.981414 | 3.531933 |
| 2000 | Feb | 3.956231 | 6.606245 | 5.973555 | 3.531933 |
| 2000 | Mar | 3.978185 | 6.637389 | 6.006107 | 3.531933 |
| 2000 | Apr | 4.021953 | 6.766422 | 6.016645 | 3.533978 |
| 2000 | May | 4.062338 | 6.700239 | 6.036199 | 3.5636 |
| 2000 | Jun | 4.098337 | 6.706618 | 6.059824 | 3.78009 |
| 2000 | Jul | 4.135487 | 6.711619 | 6.068888 | 3.821661 |
| 2000 | Aug | 4.179451 | 6.71174 | 6.067036 | 3.766072 |
| 2000 | Sep | 4.227709 | 6.751686 | 6.105016 | 3.7281 |
| 2000 | Oct | 4.271654 | 6.761341 | 6.124027 | 3.736002 |


| 2000 | Nov | 4.296333 | 6.764231 | 6.213808 | 3.737431 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2000 | Dec | 4.323338 | 6.754604 | 6.27382 | 3.737431 |
| 2001 | Jan | 4.346658 | 6.753671 | 6.311735 | 3.737431 |
| 2001 | Feb | 4.364753 | 6.775594 | 6.316804 | 3.737431 |
| 2001 | Mar | 4.413162 | 6.801617 | 6.323642 | 3.799974 |
| 2001 | Apr | 4.438052 | 6.800059 | 6.336118 | 3.817273 |
| 2001 | May | 4.459103 | 6.796265 | 6.33983 | 3.840957 |
| 2001 | Jun | 4.473238 | 6.837869 | 6.346688 | 3.850148 |
| 2001 | Jul | 4.484583 | 6.931765 | 6.386374 | 3.821661 |
| 2001 | Aug | 4.504023 | 6.856041 | 6.420483 | 3.710396 |
| 2001 | Sep | 4.517868 | 6.862758 | 6.47851 | 3.613886 |
| 2001 | Oct | 4.530123 | 6.867974 | 6.499637 | 3.540379 |
| 2001 | Nov | 4.53871 | 6.86537 | 6.585344 | 3.462606 |
| 2001 | Dec | 4.542124 | 6.862653 | 6.668736 | 3.365225 |
| 2002 | Jan | 4.554824 | 6.864117 | 6.677461 | 3.277899 |
| 2002 | Feb | 4.556715 | 6.877193 | 6.669244 | 3.148453 |
| 2002 | Mar | 4.565597 | 6.925595 | 6.676958 | 3.161247 |
| 2002 | Apr | 4.570682 | 6.947937 | 6.683987 | 3.169686 |
| 2002 | May | 4.576668 | 7.032359 | 6.695428 | 3.169686 |
| 2002 | Jun | 4.578005 | 7.109634 | 6.724193 | 3.214868 |
| 2002 | Jul | 4.584559 | 7.136563 | 6.755187 | 3.222868 |
| 2002 | Aug | 4.615418 | 7.177553 | 6.770445 | 3.261935 |
| 2002 | Sep | 4.646504 | 7.178317 | 6.796153 | 3.258097 |
| 2002 | Oct | 4.646984 | 7.200276 | 6.87709 | 3.261935 |
| 2002 | Nov | 4.661834 | 7.217223 | 6.993107 | 3.261935 |
| 2002 | Dec | 4.692265 | 7.240865 | 7.07454 | 3.280911 |
| 2003 | Jan | 4.720283 | 7.268711 | 7.056779 | 3.288402 |
| 2003 | Feb | 4.823502 | 7.307202 | 7.065443 | 3.310543 |
| 2003 | Mar | 4.859037 | 7.404705 | 7.043946 | 3.363842 |
| 2003 | Apr | 4.868303 | 7.476699 | 7.026693 | 3.496508 |
| 2003 | May | 4.882802 | 7.531016 | 7.072422 | 3.511545 |
| 2003 | Jun | 4.878246 | 7.64238 | 7.092823 | 3.563883 |
| 2003 | Jul | 4.861362 | 7.747295 | 7.134811 | 3.671225 |
| 2003 | Aug | 4.903792 | 7.838186 | 7.129458 | 3.367296 |
| 2003 | Sep | 4.896346 | 7.879783 | 7.111431 | 3.321432 |
| 2003 | Oct | 4.919251 | 7.972121 | 7.222639 | 3.310543 |
| 2003 | Nov | 4.931592 | 8.10192 | 7.299865 | 3.169686 |
| 2003 | Dec | 4.950885 | 8.17566 | 7.396335 | 2.97553 |
| 2004 | Jan | 4.960745 | 8.242256 | 7.463019 | 2.809403 |
| 2004 | Feb | 4.977423 | 8.440981 | 7.389996 | 2.879198 |
| 2004 | Mar | 4.992471 | 8.642062 | 7.495153 | 2.906901 |
| 2004 | Apr | 5.011968 | 8.786304 | 7.394923 | 2.890372 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 2004 | May | 5.046002 | 8.791167 | 7.40956 | 2.827314 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2004 | Jun | 5.057519 | 8.86013 | 7.442258 | 2.827314 |
| 2004 | Jul | 5.011302 | 8.871365 | 7.434316 | 2.827314 |
| 2004 | Aug | 5.069533 | 8.89786 | 7.461468 | 2.833213 |
| 2004 | Sep | 5.080161 | 8.853351 | 7.481837 | 2.833213 |
| 2004 | Oct | 5.087596 | 8.844033 | 7.545495 | 2.839078 |
| 2004 | Nov | 5.099256 | 8.816913 | 7.624619 | 2.839078 |
| 2004 | Dec | 5.111988 | 8.824457 | 7.649931 | 2.839078 |
| 2005 | Jan | 5.125154 | 8.837739 | 7.601752 | 2.839078 |
| 2005 | Feb | 5.148076 | 8.8154 | 7.611447 | 2.844909 |


| 2005 | Mar | 5.175585 | 8.772424 | 7.621097 | 2.844909 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | Apr | 5.186268 | 8.717387 | 7.627788 | 2.850707 |
| 2005 | May | 5.192957 | 8.707814 | 7.623446 | 2.850707 |
| 2005 | Jun | 5.205105 | 8.676366 | 7.647166 | 2.785011 |
| 2005 | Jul | 5.212215 | 8.521125 | 7.631771 | 2.747271 |
| 2005 | Aug | 5.216565 | 8.485145 | 7.69462 | 2.694627 |
| 2005 | Sep | 5.221976 | 8.492552 | 7.656432 | 2.624669 |
| 2005 | Oct | 5.230039 | 8.495908 | 7.715525 | 2.541602 |
| 2005 | Nov | 5.233779 | 8.474933 | 7.72343 | 2.509599 |
| 2005 | Dec | 5.239098 | 8.469892 | 7.778421 | 2.433613 |
| 2006 | Jan | 5.252273 | 8.453785 | 7.78622 | 2.431857 |
| 2006 | Feb | 5.274025 | 8.461723 | 7.801678 | 2.331173 |
| 2006 | Mar | 5.286751 | 8.468864 | 7.808242 | 2.282382 |
| 2006 | Apr | 5.296816 | 8.472238 | 7.843888 | 2.264883 |
| 2006 | May | 5.306286 | 8.485455 | 7.870013 | 2.270062 |
| 2006 | Jun | 5.31763 | 8.483285 | 7.898894 | 2.322388 |
| 2006 | Jul | 5.340898 | 8.493986 | 7.924326 | 2.270062 |
| 2006 | Aug | 5.347108 | 8.499701 | 7.934585 | 2.3302 |
| 2006 | Sep | 5.352332 | 8.505829 | 7.95367 | 2.336987 |
| 2006 | Oct | 5.355642 | 8.511839 | 7.980674 | 2.351375 |
| 2006 | Nov | 5.360353 | 8.515772 | 8.02852 | 2.341806 |
| 2006 | Dec | 5.366443 | 8.518392 | 8.110067 | 2.261763 |
| 2007 | Jan | 5.375278 | 8.51963 | 8.122074 | 2.292535 |
| 2007 | Feb | 5.379436 | 8.526133 | 8.116327 | 2.272126 |
| 2007 | Mar | 5.396351 | 8.535485 | 8.137454 | 2.261763 |
| 2007 | Apr | 5.406275 | 8.54475 | 8.167551 | 2.261763 |
| 2007 | May | 5.416545 | 8.561114 | 8.199299 | 2.261763 |
| 2007 | Jun | 5.424509 | 8.574443 | 8.189022 | 2.261763 |
| 2007 | Jul | 5.437209 | 8.583318 | 8.213734 | 2.272126 |
| 2007 | Aug | 5.44846 | 8.622886 | 8.217897 | 2.282382 |
| 2007 | Sep | 5.455321 | 8.644143 | 8.256062 | 2.282382 |
| 2007 | Oct | 5.460861 | 8.672418 | 8.321203 | 2.327278 |
| 2007 | Nov | 5.480639 | 8.762051 | 8.39342 | 2.360854 |
| 2007 | Dec | 5.500442 | 8.794795 | 8.471045 | 2.360854 |
| 2008 | Jan | 5.509793 | 8.81268 | 8.429956 | 2.379546 |
| 2008 | Feb | 5.516649 | 8.854422 | 8.429476 | 2.379546 |
| 2008 | Mar | 5.531015 | 8.968027 | 8.465226 | 2.406945 |
| 2008 | Apr | 5.562219 | 9.143089 | 8.467288 | 2.4681 |
| 2008 | May | 5.579352 | 9.191687 | 8.512643 | 2.639057 |
| 2008 | Jun | 5.598052 | 9.244384 | 8.485475 | 2.791165 |
| 2008 | Jul | 5.610936 | 9.273381 | 8.529142 | 2.985682 |
| 2008 | Aug | 5.618225 | 9.286468 | 8.514409 | 3.202746 |
| 2008 | Sep | 5.625461 | 9.295674 | 8.560483 | 3.202746 |
| 2008 | Oct | 5.634075 | 9.286217 | 8.588546 | 3.206803 |
| 2008 | Nov | 5.650733 | 9.266097 | 8.631182 | 3.206803 |
| 2008 | Dec | 5.675726 | 9.252595 | 8.739424 | 3.206803 |
| 2009 | Jan | 5.693395 | 9.2322 | 8.712974 | 3.206803 |
| 2009 | Feb | 5.710096 | 9.193886 | 8.683351 | 3.206803 |
| 2009 | Mar | 5.729775 | 9.132076 | 8.703058 | 3.325036 |
| 2009 | Apr | 5.756691 | 9.085106 | 8.703045 | 3.246491 |
| 2009 | May | 5.780126 | 8.922125 | 8.725441 | 3.246491 |
| 2009 | Jun | 5.818301 | 8.598589 | 8.741456 | 3.250374 |
| 2009 | Jul | 5.829828 | 8.562262 | 8.748876 | 3.254243 |


| 2009 | Aug | 5.827474 | 8.682775 | 8.738556 | 3.254243 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2009 | Sep | 5.827474 | 8.74705 | 8.759779 | 3.254243 |
| 2009 | Oct | 5.826 | 8.590202 | 8.834424 | 3.250374 |
| 2009 | Nov | 5.83364 | 8.591651 | 8.909745 | 3.214868 |
| 2009 | Dec | 5.848171 | 8.625563 | 8.931539 | 3.113515 |
| 2010 | Jan | 5.865646 | 8.635047 | 8.958428 | 2.939162 |
| 2010 | Feb | 5.880086 | 8.619966 | 8.955837 | 2.844909 |
| 2010 | Mar | 5.891589 | 8.701895 | 9.001095 | 2.681022 |
| 2010 | Apr | 5.903589 | 8.782461 | 8.990626 | 2.595255 |
| 2010 | May | 5.919646 | 8.877954 | 9.005685 | 2.557227 |
| 2010 | Jun | 5.930626 | 8.793476 | 9.040818 | 2.587764 |
| 2010 | Jul | 5.942957 | 8.763115 | 9.024691 | 2.541602 |
| 2010 | Aug | 5.942878 | 8.827879 | 9.024589 | 2.541602 |
| 2010 | Sep | 5.945421 | 8.829914 | 9.066688 | 2.525729 |


[^0]:    Source: Author"s computation using Eviews 9.
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