# GEOMECHANICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF NATURAL AND STABILISED BLACK COTTON SOILS FROM THE ACCRA PLAINS OF GHANA



Solomon Senyo Robert GIDIGASU (BSc. Geol. Eng.)

A thesis submitted to the Department of Geological Engineering of the Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of

Master of Philosophy in Geological Engineering

Department of Geological Engineering

College of Engineering

June, 2012

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this submission is my own work towards the MPhil and that, to the best of my knowledge, it contains no material previously published by another person nor material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree of the university, except where due acknowledgement has been made in the text.



#### ABSTRACT

Black cotton soils or tropical black earths or black clays are potentially expansive soils which classify as vertisols in pedological parlance and have been found to occur in all major climatic zones of the world. These soils are considered "problematic" and sometimes as "potential natural hazard" because they are susceptible to seasonal volumetric changes, exhibit severe cracking when dry, swell and yield low bearing strengths when wet, etc. These problems cause extensive damage to light structures founded on them and estimated cost of damage due to expansive soils in general runs into billions of dollars annually. Considering the widespread prevalence of these soils and the problems they pose to structures founded on them, there is the need to conduct studies into the geological and geomechanical characteristics of the black cotton soils to enable effective utilization. Although some works have been done globally on these soils, these rather useful information are scattered in various publications. The need to collect these scattered information, synthesize them and present a hands-on-information regarding the nature, distribution, physical and engineering properties of the black cotton soils for the benefit of the construction industry has long been felt. Secondly, it has also become necessary to conduct studies with the view to enhancing knowledge on the geology and geomechanics of these soils. This study has attempted to address the above mentioned problems through a review of literature on the mode of formation, nature, as well as distribution of these soils around the world and secondly, presents studies on typical black cotton soils from the Accra plains of Ghana. Soil samples were collected from Tsopoli and Doryumu, both in the Accra plains of Ghana and subjected to physical, chemical and mineralogical as well as geomechanical studies. Results of the study reveal that the black cotton soils are formed over the Garnet-Amphibolites Gneisses of the Dahomeyan Supergroup. The chemical compositions of the soils indicate

that the most abundant oxides are silica, alumina and iron oxide. The mineralogy of the two soils from X-Ray Diffraction analyses are similar and are composed of Quartz and montmorillonite. The geomechanical studies also suggest that the natural black cotton soils are unsuitable for subgrade construction and hence require improvement through stabilization. Attempts at stabilising the soils with quarry dust, pozzolana and pozzolanacement which are readily available local materials, reveal that although the three stabilizing agents improved some geomechanical parameters of the soils the pozzolanacement appears to be the most effective for stabilising the black cotton soils.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

| DECLA   | RATION  | i    |
|---------|---|------|
| ABSTR   | ACT   | ii   |
| LIST O  | F TABLES  | vi   |
| LIST O  | F FIGURES   | vii  |
| LIST O  | F PLATES  | viii |
| ACKNO   | OWLEDGEMENTS  | ix   |
|         |   |      |
| СНАРТ   | ER 1: INTRODUCTION  | 1    |
| 1.1     | General   | 1    |
| 1.2     | Problem Statement   | 2    |
| 1.3     | Objectives of the Research                                      | 4    |
| 1.4     | Scope of Research Work  | 5    |
| 1.5     | Location of the Accra Plains                                    | 5    |
| 1.6     | Geo-environmental characteristics of the Accra plains           | 7    |
| 1.6     | .1 Geology  | 7    |
| 1.6     | .2 Geomorphology  | 10   |
| 1.6     | .3 Climate  | 10   |
| 1.6     | .4 Vegetation   | 10   |
| <b></b> |   |      |
| СНАРТ   | ER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW   | 12   |
| 2.1     | Weathering and Soil formation                                   | 12   |
| 2.2     | Problematic Soils   | 15   |
| 2.3     | Expansive soils   | 16   |
| 2.4     | Black Cotton Soils  | 18   |
| 2.4     | .1 Definition and Nomenclature                                  | 18   |
| 2.4     | .2 Formation of black cotton soils                              | 19   |
| 2.4     | .3 Distribution of Black cotton soils                           | 22   |
| 2.4     | .4 Profile characteristics of black cotton soils                | 24   |
| 2.4     | .5 Chemical and clay mineralogical status of black cotton soils | 26   |
| 2.4     | .6 Basic Geotechnical characteristics of black cotton soils     | 29   |

| CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY                             |
|---|
| 3.1 Introduction  |
| 3.2 Soil Sampling   |
| 3.3 Testing of Soils  |
|   |
| CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION                           |
| 4.1 Nature and Characteristics of the black cotton soils    |
| 4.1.1 Chemistry and mineralogy of the soils                 |
| 4.1.2 Physico-chemical characteristics                      |
| 4.2 Geomechanical characteristics of the black cotton soils |
| 4.3 Stabilisation of the soils                              |
| 4.3.1 Quarry dust stabilisation                             |
| 4.3.2 Pozzolana stabilisation                               |
| 4.3.3 Pozzolana-cement stabilisation                        |
|   |
| CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS                   |
| 5.1 Conclusion  |
| 5.2 Recommendations   |
|   |
| REFERENCES  |
|   |
| APPENDICES  |
|   |
| Toy Barrier   |
| W J SANE NO   |

# LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1. The stratigraphic succession of the Accra Plains (Junner and Bates, 1945)         9 |
|--|
| Table 2. Estimated cost of damages due to expansive soils    17                              |
| Table 3. Pedological classification of black clays (Wesley, 1988)                            |
| Table 4. The relationship between parent rock and black cotton soils of some countries       |
|  |
| Table 5. Chemical composition of black cotton soils from some countries                      |
| Table 6. Summary of Geotechnical characteristics of black cotton soils (Data from            |
| Literature)  |
| Table 7. Rating of soils based on Activity of the clay fraction (Skempton, 1953)             |
| Table 8. Chemical composition of the soils   |
| Table 9. Physico-chemical characteristics of the soils    50                                 |
| Table 10. Geomechanical properties of the natural soils                                      |
| Table 11. Geomechanical characteristics of quarry dust stabilised black cotton soils58       |
| Table 12. Classification of the soils based on textural characteristics       60             |
| Table 13. Geomechanical characteristics of pozzolana stabilised soils                        |
| Table 14. Classification of the soils based on textural characteristics         72           |
| Table 15. Geomechanical characteristics of pozzolana-cement stabilised black cotton          |
| soils  |
| Table 16. Classification of the soils based on textural characteristics         83           |
| THE SECTION  |
| W J SANE NO BAD  |

# LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1. The location map of the Accra Plains (Modified after Kortatsi, 2006)6   |
|---|
| Figure 2. Geological map of the Accra Plains  |
| Figure 3. Distribution of black cotton soils (vertisols) with special reference to areas of major concentrations (redrawn from Swindale, 1988; USAID/BRRI, 1971 and Soils and Land Resources Division (Undated) |
| Figure 4. Typical black cotton soil profile from Australia (Aitchison, et al., 1962)25  |
| Figure 5. Typical X-ray diffractogram of black cotton soils (from Sivapullaiah and Reddy, 2010)   |
| Figure 6. The environment of formation of illite, kaolinite and Montmorillonite (Frederickson, 1952)  |
| Figure 7. Variation of natural moisture content with depth of typical Ghana black cotton soil profile (Building and Road Research Institute, 1985)  |
| Figure 8. Textural characteristics of black cotton soils on US Engineers Soil<br>Classification chart (53 data sets)  |
| Figure 9. Plasticity characteristics of black cotton soils  |
| Figure 10. The relationship between MDD and OMC   |
| Figure 11. Profile characteristics of the black cotton soils  |
| Figure 12. Variation of major oxides with soils   |
| Figure 13. Diffractogram of the two soils   |
| Figure 14. Particle size distributions of the soils   |
| Figure 15. Textural classification of the soils with reference to black cotton soils reported in literature   |
| Figure 16. Plasticity characteristics of the soils54  |
| Figure 17. Compaction characteristics of the black cotton soils   |
| Figure 18. Grading characteristics of the quarry dust stabilised black cotton soils59   |
| Figure 19. The effect of quarry dust on the Atterberg limits of the soils   |
| Figure 20. The effect of quarry dust on the plasticity classification of the soils  |
| Figure 21. Compaction characteristics of Quarry dust treated black cotton soils65   |
| Figure 22. The variation of MDD with quarry dust content  |
| Figure 23. The variation of OMC with quarry dust content  |
| Figure 24. Variation of CBR with quarry dust content67  |

| Figure 25. Variation of CBR swells with quarry dust content                               |
|---|
| Figure 26. The particle size distribution curves of the pozzolana stabilised soils71      |
| Figure 27. The variation of Atterberg limits with pozzolana content73                     |
| Figure 28. Plasticity classification of the pozzolana stabilised soils74                  |
| Figure 29. Compaction characteristics of pozzolana stabilised soils76                     |
| Figure 30. Variation of MDD with pozzolana content  |
| Figure 31. Variation of OMC with pozzolana content77                                      |
| Figure 32. Variation of CBR value with pozzolana content78                                |
| Figure 33. Variation of CBR swells with pozzolana content                                 |
| Figure 34. Grading characteristic of the soils  |
| Figure 35. The effect of Pozzolana-cement content on the Atterberg limits of the soils 85 |
| Figure 36. Plasticity classification of the soils   |
| Figure 37. Compaction characteristics of the pozzolana-cement stabilised soils            |
| Figure 38. Variation of MDD with Pozzolana-cement   |
| Figure 39. Variation of OMC with Pozzolana-cement   |
| Figure 40. Variation of CBR value with Pozzolana-cement content of the soils90            |
| Figure 41. Variation of CBR swells with Pozzolana-cement content                          |

# LIST OF PLATES

| Plate 1.   | Deformation in building in the Accra Plains | 4 |
|------------|---|---|
| Plate 2. V | Vegetation of the Accra Plains              | 1 |

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincerest gratitude to my Academic Supervisor, Dr. S.K.Y. Gawu for guiding me towards becoming a critical thinker and an independent researcher. This dissertation is the product of his guidance and encouragement.

I am also indebted to the staff of the Geological Engineering Department; Dr. Bukari Ali, Mr. Kwame Aboraa, Mr. Chiri Amedzoe, Mr. Samuel Bansah, Mr. Gordon Foli and Mrs. Osei Kankam for their immense contributions towards my work.

I wish to take this opportunity to thank the Director and staff of the Building and Road Research Institute (BRRI) of the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, Fumesua for allowing me to use their facilities and resources for my research. I am grateful to Mr. Seth Nyarko, Head of the Geotechnical Division, Dr. Charles Akayuli, Mr. Umar Farouk Usman, Mr. John Atuguba and the technical staff of the Geotechnical Division of the BRRI.

I am thankful to Messrs Gilbert Fiadzoe, Michael Owusu, Augustine Lawer and other technical staff of the Soil Mechanics Laboratory of Department of Civil Engineering, KNUST, for their assistance.

I am grateful to my dear parents Dr. and Mrs. Gidigasu and my siblings for their encouragement and support.



#### **CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION**

## 1.1 General

Around the world, there are many structurally "unstable" and "problematic" geomaterials which are sources of worry to engineers working with or on them. They are considered problematic mostly because they are characterized by unusual in-service behaviour, including such geo-environmental phenomena as heaving, swell, collapse, dispersion, erosion, excessive creep, subsidence, high compressibility etc. According to Gidigasu (2009), such behaviour could be attributed to factors such as composition, nature of pore fluids, fabric and mineralogy, etc. Prominent among these problem soils are the potentially expansive soils such as weathered shales, stiff fissured clays, black cotton soils, etc. Expansive soils in general constitute a major soil group which are known to cause considerable damage to roads, dams, canal linings, shallow foundations either through excessive swell or shrinkage (Chen, 1988). In America alone, these soils inflict over \$9 billion in damages annually to engineering structures and facilities, more than twice the combined damage from earthquakes, floods, tornadoes and hurricanes (Krohn and Slosson, 1980; Warren Wray, 1995). According to Bell and Culshaw (2001), loses due to swelling and shrinkage in clays amount to about £3billion in Britain. Black cotton soils are typical expansive soils which occur widely in many countries. Although, some works have been done on black cotton soils locally and internationally, these rather useful information are scattered in various publications and the need to bring these scattered information together has long been felt. Secondly, there is also the need to add value to existing knowledge in terms of technical information relating to geology and geomechanics of the black cotton soils occurring in Ghana through laboratory and field studies. This study considered the formation,

nature, engineering geology and geomechanics of typical black cotton soils found in Ghana.

#### **1.2 Problem Statement**

Black cotton soils are expansive soils which occur widely in many tropical countries. Their occurrences have been reported in Asia: India (Rao et al, 2001; Michael, 2006; Seehra, 2008); Africa: South Africa (Van Der Merwe, 1964), Nigeria (Ola, 1983; Osinubi, 2006), Sudan (Charlie et al, 1984) etc. The term "black cotton" appears to have originated from India from its colour and from the fact that cotton grows fairly well on it. It is known to be formed mainly by the chemical weathering of mafic igneous rocks in poorly drained areas with well defined wet and dry seasons. Its main clay mineral is montmorillonite and in certain cases with kaolinite (Building and Road Research Institute, 1985). In spite of its black colour, low organic matter content has been reported in these soils which appear to indicate that the black colour is due to the presence of titanium oxide in small concentration (Seehra, 2008).

In highway engineering, the problems associated with black cotton soils are numerous and hence engineers working with such soils try as much as possible to avoid them. The problem appears to stem from the fact that during the dry season the black cotton soils become hard and develop cracks. They are also hard to pulverise for stabilisation in road construction. Secondly, in the wet season subgrade consisting of black cotton soil takes in a lot of water and develop low bearing capacity, ultimately resulting in heavy depressions and settlement or may even heave into the upper layers of the pavement, especially when the sub-base consists of stone soling with lot of voids (Seehra, 2008). Alternating swelling and shrinkage of subgrade material consisting of black cotton soils may invariably lead to premature failure of the road. Mgangira and Paige-Green (2008) reported of excessive longitudinal cracks on a road built on black cotton soil only one year after completion. Ola (1987) has indicated that black cotton soils possess some characteristics that make them unsafe and uneconomical when used for construction without adopting some soil improvement techniques.

Structural failures to building foundations founded on strip footing on expansive soils have been reported in many parts of the world (Lambe, 1960). Specific cases of buildings and shallow foundation failures have been reported, in the USA (Dawson, 1953), South Africa (Jenning, 1953), Ghana (Gidigasu, 1987; Gidigasu and Andoh, 1980) etc.

A site reconnaissance survey to some parts of the Accra plains, reveal that civil engineering structures such as roads and buildings show varied degrees of distresses in the form of cracks and deformations suggesting that the soils may be potentially expansive. Some of the distresses and deformations observed during the current study are shown in Plate 1. The soils become muddy in the wet season and exhibit polygonal cracks in the dry season. The cracks are sometimes very wide and deep with some running as much as one meter deep from ground surface and about twenty-five centimeters wide.

It is in the light of these problems that the project sought to investigate the geological and geomechanical characteristics of black cotton soils in relation to the structures constructed on them and then recommend ways by which the properties of the soils may be improved.



Plate 1a. Deformation in building in the Accra Plains



Plate 1b. Cracking in pavement in the Accra Plains

# **1.3** Objectives of the Research

The following were the objectives of the research:

- i. Review of literature on the geology and engineering properties of black cotton soils
- Evaluate the geological characteristics of the black cotton soils occurring in the Accra plains

- iii. Evaluate the geomechanical characteristics of natural and stabilised black cotton soils for subgrade construction.
- iv. Based on iii, determine the most effective local stabilising agent for improving the black cotton soils.
- v. Suggest some relevant recommendations regarding the use of the natural and stabilised black cotton soils for the benefit of geomechanical practice in Ghana.

# 1.4 Scope of Research Work

The study highlighted salient engineering geological conditions which include:

- Geology of the sites and its environs including soil profiles and analysis of material encountered.
- ii) Hydrologic and geomorphological characteristics within the terrains
- iii) Groundwater occurrence and composition.
- iv) Pedogenesis and nature of soil deposits.
- v) Chemical and physical composition of the soils.
- vi) Studies on the physical and geomechanical properties of the soils.
- vii) Studies on the soil improvements/stabilization.

## **1.5** Location of the Accra Plains

The Accra plain is located on the extreme south-eastern part of Ghana. The area is roughly triangular in shape. It lies between longitude  $5^{\circ} 30^{\circ}$  N to  $6^{\circ} 15^{\circ}$  N and latitude  $0^{\circ} 20^{\circ}$  W to  $0^{\circ} 40^{\circ}$  E. It is bounded on the East and North-East by the River Volta, on the West by the Akwapim-Togo Range and on the South by the Gulf of Guinea. The plain covers approximately  $6000 \text{km}^2$  (Kortatsi, 2006). Figure 1 shows the location map of the Accra plains.



Figure 1. The location map of the Accra Plains (Modified after Kortatsi, 2006)

### **1.6** Geo-environmental characteristics of the Accra plains

#### 1.6.1 Geology

The geology of the Accra plains has been described in detail by Junner and Bates (1945). Briefly the area is underlain by ancient igneous rocks, but strongly metamorphosed ancient sediments occur along the western boundary with areas of relatively young, unconsolidated sediments in the south and south-east.

The main Akwapim Range in the west is formed by Togo quartzites with minor amounts of phyllite, sericite schist, sandstone and shale. The Accra plains proper are mainly occupied by the Dahomeyan gneisses and schists. These are divided into three belts running north – south across the region and consist of a westerly and easterly felsic gneiss belt separated by a mafic gneiss belt. The felsic belt consists mainly of fine-grained muscovite-biotite schists and gneisses containing numerous quartz veins whereas the mafic gneisses are entirely garnet-amphibolite gneisses. Recent alluvium occupies the Volta flood plains and valleys of the major stream on the plains. Figure 2 shows the geological map of the Accra plains and Table 1 presents the stratigraphic succession of plains from the youngest to the oldest.





Figure 2. Geological map of the Accra Plains



 Table 1. The stratigraphic succession of the Accra Plains (Junner and Bates, 1945)

#### **1.6.2** Geomorphology

The Accra plains are generally flat and undulating with few isolated inselbergs (rocky hills) that seldom rise 70 metres above mean sea level (Dickson and Benneh, 1980). The slopes are generally 2% or less over the clay soils formed over the Dahomeyan gneisses. Only the alluvials surrounding the coastal lagoon could be called flat. Slopes up to 5% in the north of the mafic gneiss belt and over coarse-sandy soils over the felsic gneisses as well as around the edges of the tertiary sediments have been reported. Slopes of up to 10% in the extreme west of the plain near the foot of the Akwapim Togo Range can be found.

#### 1.6.3 Climate

The Accra plains lie in subhumid climatic zone of Ghana. The climate is characterised by two rainfall maxima. The major rainy season occurs between September and October with the peak occurring in October. Mean annual rainfall values are about 900mm. The mean annual temperature is around 26°C (Kortatsi, 2006). The total annual evaporative loss from free water surface has been found to be as high as 1800mm (Brammer, 1967). The ratio of precipitation to evaporation ranges from 0.1 in January to about 1.5 in June (Cobbina, 1987).

#### 1.6.4 Vegetation

The plains are covered mainly by grasses and scrubs. Typical vegetative cover is shown in Plate 2.



Plate 2. Vegetation of the Accra Plains



#### **CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW**

#### 2.1 Weathering and Soil formation

The most familiar geological process responsible for alteration of rock into soil is weathering. Weathering is a pedogenic process by which soils are formed as a result of disintegration or decomposition of rocks. Chemical weathering causes changes in rocks at and near the earth's surface by interaction between the rocks and chemically active components of the earth's atmosphere, principally water, carbon dioxide and oxygen.

Within a given area on the earth's surface and at a given geologic time, the particular effects of weathering is assessed in terms of at least five variables: (1) climate, (2) biological activity, (3) topography, as it effects underground movement of water, or contributes to erosional removal of weathered material (4) parent material (rocks) and (5) time.

A great variety of physical, chemical, and biological processes act to break down rock masses. Physical processes reduce particle size, increase surface area, and increase bulk volume. Chemical and biological processes may cause complete changes in physical, chemical and mineralogical composition of the end products. Robinson (1949) recognized two main stages in chemical weathering. The first stage involves the destruction of mineral phases, and the second stage the formation of secondary products. These two stages involve various processes which result in two main types of materials of morphogenic interest i.e., (i) weathering residues and secondary materials that occur insitu, and (ii) materials which are transported before deposition.

The first process of physical or mechanical weathering is also designated as disintegration while the second stage is designated decomposition. Disintegration

results in a decrease in size of rocks and minerals without appreciably affecting their composition. In the case of decomposition, however, definite chemical and physico-chemical changes take place, soluble materials are released, and new minerals are synthesized or are left as resistant end products.

#### Physical weathering of rocks

Rocks exposed to environmental conditions are subjected to differential expansion and contraction resulting in disintegration. The rock pieces retain the chemical and petrological composition of the original parent rock. They usually occur in the surficial environments. Physical weathering processes cause insitu breakdown without chemical change. Five processes are important (Mitchell and Soga, 2005):

- 1. Unloading
- 2. Thermal Expansion and Contraction
- 3. Crystal Growth, Including Frost Action
- 4. Colloid Plucking
- 5. Organic Activity

Physical weathering processes are generally the forerunners of chemical weathering. Their main contributions are to loosen rock masses, reduce particle sizes, and increase the available surface area for chemical attack.

#### Chemical weathering of rocks

Chemical weathering involves the decomposition of rocks resulting in the production of secondary minerals, thus the chemistry and petrological characteristics of the parent rock is greatly altered. The process of chemical weathering is started by the ingress and percolation into the soil of slightly acidic rainwater contaminated with organic impurities.

The rainwater at tropical climatic conditions tends to dissolve soluble minerals such as silica, alkalis, alkali-earth metals etc. from the soil and bedrock leaving behind concentrates of iron and aluminium oxides.

Continual drainage and leaching of these soluble minerals with time deplete them. The processes of chemical weathering are listed below and have been discussed elsewhere (e.g. Blyth and De Freitas, 1976; Mitchell and Soga, 2006).

INUST

- 1. Hydrolysis
- 2. Hydration
- 3. Carbonation and related activity processes
- 4. Oxidation
- 5. Solution

#### **Products of weathering**

The rock forming minerals such as quartz, feldspar, mica, amphibole, olivine, pyroxene, are the main constituents of crystalline rocks which are formed at great depth at phases which are only stable at very high temperatures and pressures (particularly true for mafic and ultramafic rocks than felsic rocks). When these rocks are exposed to the surface (environment) the equilibrium of the minerals is disturbed and the more the new conditions differ from the original, the more the minerals are inclined to adjusting themselves to the new environment (Weinert, 1980) and are most likely to decompose under suitable conditions. The products of weathering, several of which will generally coexist at one time, include (Mitchell and Soga, 2006):

- 1. Unaltered minerals that are either highly resistant or freshly exposed
- Newly formed, more stable minerals having the same structure as the original mineral

- 3. Newly formed minerals having a form similar to the original, but a changed internal structure
- 4. Products of disrupted minerals, either at or transported from the site. Such minerals might include:
  - a. Clay minerals
  - b. Colloidal gels of  $Al_2O_3$  and  $SiO_2$
  - c. Zeolites
  - d. Cations and anions in solution
  - e. Mineral precipitates
- 5. Unused guest reactants

#### 2.2 **Problematic Soils**

Problematic soils are structurally unstable soils or geomaterials which pose engineering problems in the design and construction of civil engineering structures. They are considered problematic because, firstly, these geomaterials cannot be classified by grain size and plasticity parameters alone due to non-reproducibility and inconsistency of test results using standard sample preparation methods and testing procedures. Secondly, these geomaterials are characterized by unusual in-service behaviour, including such geo-environmental phenomena as heaving, swell, collapse, dispersion, erosion, excessive creep, subsidence, high compressibility etc which could be attributed to factors such as composition, nature of pore fluids, fabric and mineralogy (Gidigasu, 2009; Bell and Culshaw, 2001).

There are many problematic geomaterials. Some of which are:

- 1. Basic rocks subjected to rapid physico-chemical weathering in tropical environment
- 2. Pedogenic materials (lateritic rocks, calcretes, ferricretes, silcretes, etc)

- Expansive/shrinkable soils (weathered shales, fissured stiff clays, mudstone, black cotton soils, etc)
- 4. Some lateritic and saprolitic soils
- 5. Tropical compressible residual red silty clay soils and sensitive halloysitic soils
- 6. Dispersive and erodible soils
- 7. Volcanic soils

Some of the peculiar characteristics associated with these problematic geomaterials from literature are:

- 1. Unstable mineralogical composition (commonly, montmorillonite, allophone, halloysite etc)
- 2. High insitu moisture contents
- 3. Unstable soil structure and fabric
- 4. High water absorption capacity
- 5. Low insitu density
- 6. Variable degree of desiccation with depth
- 7. Variable density-moisture relations with pre-test sample preparation methods
- 8. Liquefaction prone
- 9. Erodible/dispersive
- 10. Collapse phenomena
- 11. Shrinkage and expansivity
- 12. Difficulty to stabilize in terms of controlling volume, strength, water absorption capacity, durability, etc
- 13. Post construction problems

## 2.3 Expansive soils

Expansive soils are one of the most important problematic soils which occur all over the world and have been subject of considerable research. Nelson and Miller (1992) defined expansive soil as "a general term applied to any soil or rock material, that has

the potential for shrinkage or swelling under changing moisture condition but does not include the class of soils generally referred to as collapsible soils". Terms such as "active soils", "shrink-swell soils" and "heavable soils" are used to describe expansive soils. A potentially expansive soil is not necessarily dangerous unless it is subjected to moisture changes which may result from seasonal climatic conditions, changed surface situations and extraneous influence (Bucher and Sailie, 1984). Expansive soils are considered potential natural hazard which can cause extensive damage to various structures and utility if not adequately treated.

These soils have caused considerable damage to civil engineering infrastructure especially roads, dams, canal lining, shallow foundations, etc., either through excessive swell or shrinkage or both. Table 2 summarises some estimated cost of damage caused by expansive soils. The problems with foundation on expansive soils include heaving, cracking and breaking of pavement, building foundation, slab–on–grade members, channel and reservoir linings (Chen, 1988). Typical examples of expansive soils are the black cotton soils (vertisols), stiff fissured clays, weathered shales, etc.

| Country      | Amount  | References               |  |
|--------------|---|--------------------------|--|
| Britain      | £3 billion (2001)                               | Bell and Culshaw (2001)  |  |
| China        | ¥100 million SAME                               | Ng et al. (2003)         |  |
| France       | €3.3 billion (2002)                             | Zemienu et al. (2009)    |  |
| India        | several lakhs of rupees                         | Gourley et al. (1993)    |  |
| Saudi Arabia | >US\$ 300 million (1977 and 1987) Ruwaih (1987) |                          |  |
| Sudan        | >US\$ 6 million in 1983                         | Osman and Charlie (1983) |  |
| USA          | US \$2 billion annually                         | Nelson and Miller (1992) |  |

Table 2. Estimated cost of damages due to expansive soils

## 2.4 Black Cotton Soils

#### 2.4.1 Definition and Nomenclature

Black clays or tropical black earth or black cotton soils are known to be potentially expansive soils which are "black" or "greyish black" or in their eroded phase "greyish white" in colour. The predominant clay mineral in the soil is the smectite group. Although the soil is rich in alkali earth elements, they may sometimes contain calcium carbonate or magnesium oxide concretions. Many other terms have been applied locally, such as "*regur*" soils in India, "*margalitic*" soils in Indonesia, "*black turf*" in Africa and "*tirs*" in Morocco. Although there are several names, the term "black cotton soil" is adopted in this work because of its extensive use in literature. The term "black cotton" soil is believed to have originated from India where the locations of the soils are favourable for growing cotton. Pedologically, black cotton soils classify as vertisols (Table 3) and both terms are used interchangeably in this thesis.

 Table 3. Pedological classification of black clays (Wesley, 1988)

| Commonly Used      | Rigoro    | os Pedological             | Dominant Clay<br>Minerals |                               |
|--------------------|-----------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Names              | FAO       | US Soil<br>Taxonomy French |                           |                               |
| Black cotton soil, |           |                            |                           | 3                             |
| Black clays,       | Vertisols | Vertisols                  | Vertisols                 | Smectite<br>(Montmorillonite) |
| earths, Grumusols  | W.S       | SAME NO                    | Per                       | (Wonthormonite)               |

FAO- Food and Agriculture Organisation

The main characteristics of black cotton soils are:

- 1. They are black or darkish grey to brown in colour
- 2. They have high content of expansive clay mineral montmorillonite
- 3. They possess the tendency to shrink and swell with change in moisture condition
- 4. They exhibit heave and crack as geo-environmental phenomena.

#### 2.4.2 Formation of black cotton soils

The formation of the black cotton soil like any soil is a function of parent rock material or geology, climate, topography and time (age). The formation with respect to the above factors is discussed.

#### Parent rock material

Black cotton soils have been identified on igneous, sedimentary and metamorphic rocks. They are formed mainly by the chemical weathering of mafic (basic) igneous rocks such as basalt, norite, andesites, diabases, dolerites, gabbros and volcanic rocks and their metamorphic derivatives (e.g. gneisses) which are made up of calcium-rich feldspars and dark minerals which are high in the weathering order, in poorly drained areas with well defined wet and dry seasons. All constituents weather to form amorphous hydrous oxides and under suitable conditions clay minerals develop. The absence of quartz leads to the formation of fine-grained, mostly clay-size, plastic soils which are highly impermeable. In addition, the abundance of magnesium and calcium in the rock gives black cotton soil their swelling potential (Ola, 1983). Black cotton soils also form over sedimentary materials such as shales, limestones, slates etc.

Typical parent rocks that have formed black cotton soils are presented in Table 4. Most parent rocks are rich in feldspar and ferromagnesian minerals which yield clay residue on weathering (Ahmad, 1983). Where the parent rock is not mafic (basic), alkali earth elements can be added by seepage or by flooding waters.

#### Climate

It was first thought that black cotton soils occur only in monsoonal type of climate with distinct annual wet and dry seasons in the tropics and subtropics, because of earlier recognition of their associations with these climates. However, now they are known to occur in almost every major climatic zone of the world (Ahmed 1996). Annual rainfall between 300-900 mm per year favours the formation of black cotton soils (Katti et al, 2002); however, higher rainfall values 1270mm/year have been recorded in some countries (Table 4).



| Location         | Parent material  | Soil Type                | Clay Mineralogy                                    | Rainfall<br>(mm/yr) | Drainage | Reference  |
|------------------|--|--------------------------|--|---------------------|----------|--|
| Nigeria          | Olivine basaltic rocks.  | Residual                 | Montmorillonite,<br>Kaolinite                      | <1270               | Poor     | Ola (1983); Nwaiwu and Nuhu<br>(2006); USAID/BRRI (1971) |
| Nigeria          | Calcareous materials such as<br>ancient alluvium; clayey and<br>shaly sediments or lagoonal<br>clays | Transported              | Montmorillonite S                                  | <1270               | Poor     | Nwaiwu and Nuhu (2006)                                   |
| South Africa     | Norites of the Bushveld igneous complex  | Residual                 | Montmorillonite                                    | 609.6               |          | Van Der Merwe (1964; 1967)                               |
| Ghana            | Garnetiferous Hornblende<br>Gneiss   | Residual                 | Montmorillonite<br>(tr. of Kaolinite)              | <1270               | Poor     | Brammer (1955) ;Stephen (1953)                           |
| India            | Granites, granite-gneiss,<br>Basalt, etc   | -                        |  | -                   | -        | Michael (2006)   |
| Sudan            | Volcanic Ethiopian<br>Mountains  | J.                       | ENT  | 157                 | -        | Charlie et al (1984)                                     |
| Sudan            | Alluvium from basalt   | Jer Martin               | Montmorillonite,<br>Illite, Kaolinite,<br>Chlorite | R.                  | -        | USAID/BRRI (1971)  |
| Horn of Africa   | Cenozoic basalt  | Residual<br>&Transported | Montmorillonite,<br>Kaolinite, Halloysite          | $\overline{)}$      | -        | Mgangira and Paige-Green (2008)                          |
| Australia        | Alluvial deposits derived<br>from carboniferous shale,<br>slate and clay                             | Transported              |  | Militar             | -        | Hosking (1935)   |
| Chad Basin       | Alluvium   | 2                        | Kaolinite, illite and Montmorillonite              | -                   | -        | USAID/BRRI (1971)  |
| Kenya            | Basalt   | -                        | Montmorillonite,<br>Kaolinite                      | -                   | -        | USAID/BRRI (1971)  |
| West<br>Cameroon | Basalt   | -                        | Vermiculite,<br>metahalloysite                     | -                   | -        | USAID/BRRI (1971)  |
| Honduras         | Chalk or Marl  | -                        | Montmorillonite                                    | -                   | Poor     | USAID/BRRI (1971)  |

#### Table 4. The relationship between parent rock and black cotton soils of some countries

#### Topography

Katti et al., (2002) reported that black cotton soil deposits are formed under conditions where the slope of the terrain is less than 3°. The most frequent physiographic position of black cotton soils is flat, alluvial plain (Dudal and Eswaran, 1988; Eswaran et al., 1988) such as those found in Sudan, Texas in the USA, Darling Downs in Australia, Accra plains, the Ho-Keta plains and the Winneba plains in Ghana (USAID/BRRI, 1971; Building and Road Research Institute, 1985). Other fewer occurrences are the Lufina valley of Zaire, the Kafue Flats of Zambia and the Panamalenga plains and the Springbok flats in Botswana, and South African respectively, however, black cotton soils also occur in surfaces with greater slopes (Ahmad, 1983).

#### Time

Clemente et al. (1996) reported that time of formation of vertisols are usually inferred from the age of the underlying parent material from which the soil has developed. Furthermore, they realised that most vertisols are derived from Cenozoic era materials including Tertiary and Quaternary. Some sediments of Cretaceous age have also formed vertisols. They indicated however, that the age of the parent material gives information only on the maximum chronological point, the age of the geomorphic surface and the soils would be much younger.

#### 2.4.3 Distribution of Black cotton soils

Black cotton soils (vertisols) have been reported all over the world and have been found to occupy about 2% (257 million hectare) of the total ice-free land area of the earth with 72 million hectares occurring in India, 71 million hectares in Australia (Swindale, 1988) and 43 million hectares is in Africa (Virmani, 1988). Countries reported to have black cotton soils are Australia (Aitchison, et. al., 1962; Ingles and Metcalf, 1972), Algeria (Afes and Didier, 2000), Botswana, Ethiopia (Mgangira and Paige-Green, 2008),

NO

Bulgaria, Hungry, Italy (Dudal and Eswaran, 1985), Togo (Oscar et al., 1977), Nigeria (Ola, 1976, 1983; Osinubi, 2006), South Africa (Van Der Merwe, 1964), Morocco, Chad, Cameroon, Kenya, Zambia, (USAID/BRRI, 1971) Tanzania (Bucher and Sailie, 1984), Sudan (Charlie et al., 1984), India (Michael, 2006; Rao et al., 2001), Ghana (Building and Road Research Institute, 1985; USAID/BRRI, 1971) etc. Figure 3 shows the major distribution of black cotton soils in the world.



Figure 3. Distribution of black cotton soils (vertisols) with special reference to areas of major concentrations (redrawn from Swindale, 1988; USAID/BRRI, 1971 and Soils and Land Resources Division (Undated)

In Ghana, black cotton clays cover over 168 000 hectors of the land area (Cobbina, 1987) and are mainly found in the south eastern part of the country: Accra-Ho-Keta plains and Winneba plains. Other deposits have been reported on the Bamboi-Bole Road near Kwaman Kwesi, Wa, Grupe, Tamale and some parts of the Volta Region (Building and Road Research Institute, 1985).

#### 2.4.4 Profile characteristics of black cotton soils

The black cotton soils (vertisols) have been found to develop on varied topography from the summit to the valley bottom of the terrain (Cobbina, 1988; Ahmad, 1983; Clemente et al., 1996) and different climatic zones. In terms of general profile characteristics, these soils vary with parent rock, topography, climate, time etc. Vertisols lack the horizon development diagnostic of other horizons. They have "vertic" horizon which is a clayey subsurface horizon with slickensides or edge shape or parallelpiped structure aggregates and are characterised by argillipedoturbation (disruption and mixing caused by swell-shrink of the soil mass). Anon (2009) reported that the swell and shrinkage cause self mulching in which the soil materials consistently mix themselves causing vertisol to have extremely deep A-horizon and no B-horizon. Usually the soils are called A/C soils. The heaving of the underlying material to the surface often creates micro-relief known as gilgai.

Cobbina (1988) recognised that the depths of the soil profiles are variable ranging from a few to 180 cm or even more. Also the soils lack distinct horizons in the profiles with only the "A" and "C" horizons being discernable for Ghanaian black cotton soils. Some typical profile characteristics of black cotton soils from Australia and South Africa are shown in Figure 4.

The colour of the upper horizons of black cotton soils is usually dark grey to black whilst the bottom varies from grey brown, reddish brown to whitish colour.

| Depth                    | Profile characteristics  | Depth<br>Profile characteristics  |      |
|--------------------------|--|---|------|
| (in)                     |  | (in)  |      |
| 0                        | Very dark grey brown d(10R2/15) heavy<br>clay, strong fine granular structure, hard<br>when dry.   | 0'00"   |      |
| 2.3                      | Very dark grey brown d(10R2/1.5) heavy<br>clay, moderate blocky structure with<br>visible fine aggregate 1/12 - 1/10 in size,<br>few free black nodules 1/16 -1/8 in<br>structures grading to lenticlular with<br>depth. | Dark grey to black, firm, severely<br>slickensided, sandy clay, residual from<br>Bushveld norite; moist, nodules of lim<br>roots up to 3' | e,   |
| 22                       | Very dark grey-brown, some grey brown<br>heavy clay, lenticular structure breaking<br>into blocky units with visible fine<br>aggregation.  | 4'0"<br>I I I I<br>I I I I<br>I I I I<br>I I I I<br>I I I I   |      |
| 36                       | Mottled grey brown and very dark grey-<br>brown heavy clay, otherwise similar to<br>above.   | Yellowish white, dense, friable silty sa<br>residual from Bushveld norite, moist  | .nd, |
| 47                       | Grey-brown and reddish brown heavy clay, strong aggregated material with visible per faces, low to moderate soft nodules $O_3$ .   | 8'00" I I I I<br>8'00"  |      |
| .,                       |  | $\vee$ No water table present   |      |
| Figure 4a.<br>al., 1962) | Typical black cotton soil profile from Australia (Aitchison, et  | Figure 4b. Profile of black clay from South Africa (Weinert, 19   | 80)  |

The soil profiles may contain spherical hard, dark coloured ironstone concretions, magnesium oxides, calcium carbonate concretions and sometimes quartz gravels or pebbles at varying depths (Cobbina, 1988; Michael, 2006). Studies by Brammer (1967) indicated that black cotton soils are neutral to slightly acidic in reaction in the upper horizon and moderately alkaline in nature in the lower layers.

#### 2.4.5 Chemical and clay mineralogical status of black cotton soils

#### Chemistry

Chemical weathering is the main soil forming process of black cotton soils. In this process, the minerals in the rocks are decomposed resulting in chemical alteration. It is therefore important to indicate the chemical composition of the soils which will vary with such factors as parent rock, genetic characteristics of soil (transported or residual), degree of weathering, etc. Typical oxide composition of some typical black cotton soils from some countries are presented in Table 5.

| Major Oridoa                   | <b>Concentration weight percent (wt %)</b> |                      |                   |                        |  |  |
|--------------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Major Oxides                   | India <sup>1</sup>                         | Nigeria <sup>2</sup> | Togo <sup>3</sup> | Indonesia <sup>4</sup> |  |  |
| SiO <sub>2</sub>               | 49.3                                       | 31.01                | 46.32             | 42.80                  |  |  |
| TiO <sub>2</sub>               | 1.9  | 1.34                 | 0.85              | 0.02                   |  |  |
| Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | 13.7                                       | 16.19                | 22.04             | 19.03                  |  |  |
| Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | 14.8                                       | 4.74                 | 12.83             | 8.68                   |  |  |
| CaO                            | 6.9  | -                    | 0.37              | 1.73                   |  |  |
| MgO                            | 4.8  | -                    | 2.2               | 1.81                   |  |  |
| MnO                            |  | 0.13                 | -                 | 0.05                   |  |  |
| K <sub>2</sub> O               | -  | -                    | 0.2               | 0.08                   |  |  |
| Na <sub>2</sub> O              | -  | -                    | 0.18              | 0.75                   |  |  |
| P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>  | -  | -                    | -                 | 0.06                   |  |  |
| SO <sub>3</sub>                | 1.6  | -                    | -                 | -                      |  |  |

Table 5. Chemical composition of black cotton soils from some countries

<sup>1.</sup> Katti et al., 2002, <sup>2.</sup> Osinuobi and Ijimdiya, 2008, <sup>3</sup>Oscar et al., 1977; <sup>4</sup>Mohr and Van Baren, 1959
Black cotton soils are rich in silica, lime, iron, magnesia and alumina. Titanium oxide also occurs in very small concentrations, but, its presence is believed to give the soil the characteristic black colour (Building and Road Research Institute, 1985). Inspite of its black colour, low organic matter contents (less than 5%) have been recorded for these soils. USAID/BRRI (1971) found that silica-sesquioxides ratios of the soils are greater than 2.50 indicating that they are non-lateritic soils.

## **Clay mineralogy**

The main clay mineral reported in black cotton soils is the smectite group of which montmorillonite is predominant. Accessory minerals may be kaolinite, illites, etc. Figure 5 shows typical mineralogical composition of black cotton soils from X-Ray diffractogram. According to Rao (2006) low rainfall hinders the weathering of the active montmorillonite minerals into low active clay mineral such as illite and kaolinite.

Mermut et al. (1996) recognised that the formation and stability of smectite are dependent on the Si activity as well as pH. At high pH, in the presence of high potentials of Si as well as Mg, smectite develops (a process which is also favoured by poor drainage). Transformations of some primary or secondary minerals into smectite may take place (Eswaran et al., 1988). The micro-environmental condition for this transformation is well established as essentially a pH of 7 or higher. If leaching occurs in the upper part of the soil with the generation of an acid environment, montmorillonite tends to be destroyed and other soil forming processes initiate. The environment of formation of montmorillonite, illite and kaolinite is shown in Figure 6.



Figure 5. Typical X-ray diffractogram of black cotton soils (from Sivapullaiah and Reddy, 2010) Figure 6. The environment of formation of illite, kaolinite and Montmorillonite (Frederickson, 1952)

#### 2.4.6 Basic Geotechnical characteristics of black cotton soils

#### Introduction

Table 6 presents a compilation of some available geotechnical characteristics of black cotton soils and are discussed in this section.

#### Natural Moisture content

The natural moisture content of a black cotton soil in a profile is variable, for instance, the Building and Road Research Institute (1985) reported natural moisture contents for Ghanaian black cotton soils to be between 20% and 45%; Mgangira and Paige-Green (2008) reported that the moisture contents of subgrade black cotton soils in the Horn region of Africa range between 24% and 54%. A typical monthly variation of natural moisture content with depth of a Ghanaian black cotton soil is shown in Figure 7 (Building and Road Research Institute, 1985).

## Grading and textural classification

The gradation of these soils varies considerably. There are little or no gravel size in most black cotton soils and those that have, show percentages of less than 8% (USAID/BRRI, 1971). The amount of sand varies between 2% and 50 % whilst silt is between 11% and 58%. The clay size contents also range from 40% to 75%. Low clay size contents (22%) have been reported for some Tanzania black cotton soils (Bucher and Sailie, 1984). The soils classify as clay, sandy-clay and silty-clay on the U.S. Engineers textural classification chart (Figure 8).

| Location |       | Grading (%) |       |           | LL     | PL    | PI    | Activity | CI.    | Soil Group |               | Group MDD            |         | Free Swell | Pafarancas                  |
|----------|-------|-------------|-------|-----------|--------|-------|-------|----------|--------|------------|---------------|----------------------|---------|------------|-----------------------------|
| Location | Sand  | Silt        | Clay  | sg        | (%)    | (%)   | (%)   | Activity | SL     | ASTM       | ASSHTO        | (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | OMC (%) | (%)        | References                  |
|          | 6     | 54          | 40    |           | 82     | 35    | 47    |          | 10.0   | CH         |               | 1290                 | 35      |            | Sivapullaiah (2010)         |
|          | 2     | 37          | 61    | 2.6       | 86     | 32    | 54    |          |        | CL         |               | 1400                 | 36.3    |            | Rao et al. (2008)           |
|          | 16    | 29          | 55    |           | 84     | 23    | 61    |          | 10.0   | CH         |               |                      |         |            | Mishra et al. (2008)        |
|          | 7     | 41          | 52    | 2.68      | 85     | 38    | 47    |          | 12.0   |            |               | 1320                 | 28      |            | Sahoo & Pradhan (2010)      |
|          | 31    | 28          | 41    |           | 63     | 24    | 39    |          | 10.0   | C          |               |                      |         | 132        | Shankar et al. (undated)    |
|          | 11    | 28          | 61    | 2.7       | 77     | 31    | 46    | KI       | 10.0   | CH         |               | 1410                 | 30      |            | Rao & Shivananda (2005)     |
|          | 3     | 28          | 69    | 2.6       | 190    | 45    | 145   |          | 15.3   | CH         |               |                      |         | 690        | Ameta et al (2007)          |
|          | 6     | 54          | 40    |           | 82     | 35    | 47    |          | 10.0   | CH         |               | 1290                 | 35      |            | Sivapullaiah (2010)         |
| India    |       |             |       | 2.74      | 56     | 23    | 33    |          | 10.3   |            |               | 1510                 | 27.76   |            | Sivapullaiah et al (2007)   |
|          | 28    | 32          | 40    |           | 65     | 19    | 46    |          |        |            |               | 1730                 | 20      |            | Rao et al. (2001)           |
|          | 20    | 29          | 51    |           | 55     | 25    | 30    |          |        |            |               | 1690                 | 21      |            |                             |
|          |       |             |       | 2.36      | 28     | 14    | 24    | S        |        | CL         |               | 1440                 | 21.04   |            | Gosavi et al. (2004)        |
|          | 2     | 37          | 61    | 2.65      | 86     | 32    | 54    | 1        | N.L.C. | СН         |               | 1400                 | 36.3    | 180        | Rao & Rao (2008)            |
|          | 2     | 79          | 19    | 2.7       | 85     | 44    | 41    | 1000     | 23.7   | CH         |               |                      |         | 75         | Ameta et al. (2007)         |
|          | 30    | 34          | 36    |           | 45     | 23    | 22    |          | 11.0   |            | A-7-6(13)     |                      |         |            |                             |
|          | 15    | 29          | 56    |           | 82     | 23    | 59    |          | 10.0   |            |               | 1240                 | 28      |            |                             |
|          | 17    | 30          | 53    |           | 69     | 34    | 35    |          | 10.0   | 2          | A-7-5(20)     | /                    |         |            |                             |
| Honduras | 2     | 23          | 75    | 2.64      | 126    | 58    | 68    |          | 25.0   | Pas        | A-7-5(20)     |                      |         |            | BRRI/USAID (1971)           |
| Rodesia  | 34    | 11          | 55    |           | 72     | 24    | 48    | HE!      | 11.0   | 17         | A-7-6(17)     |                      |         |            |                             |
| Chana    | 10    | 40          | 50    |           | 99     | 29    | 70    | 2        | 11.0   | X          | A-7-6(20      |                      |         |            |                             |
| Glialia  |       |             |       |           | 60-100 |       | 30-60 | Sq.      |        | 200        | A-7-5 (10-20) | 1601-1762            | 18-16   |            | Gidigasu & Appiagyei (1980) |
| Horn of  |       |             |       |           |        | /     | 100   | 111.~    | 1      |            |               |                      |         |            | Mgangira & Green (2008)     |
| Africa   | 3-12  | 25-70       | 18-73 | 2.43-2.45 | 43-103 | 17-49 | 26-54 | 0.6-1.11 | 5      | -          |               | 1108-1278            | 22-49   |            | Mgangha & Green (2008)      |
|          | 14    | 32          | 54    |           | 56     | 24    | 32    | 0.59     | ** * ! | -          | A-7-6         |                      |         |            |                             |
|          | 19    | 25          | 56    |           | 59     | 31    | 28    | 0.5      |        |            | A-7-5         |                      |         |            | BRRI/USAID (1971)           |
| Moroco   | 5     | 25          | 70    |           | 60     | 20    | 40    |          | 10.0   |            | 13            |                      |         |            |                             |
|          | 12.4  | 17.5        | 70.1  | 2.5       | 65.5   | 30.5  | 35    |          | 10.2   | СН         | A-7-6         |                      |         | 90         |                             |
|          | 12.58 | 12.47       | 74.95 | 2.48      | 63.9   | 31.25 | 32.65 |          | 11.2   | СН         | A-7-6         |                      |         | 110        |                             |
|          | 12.47 | 12.49       | 75.05 | 2.56      | 65.6   | 32.3  | 33.3  |          | 11.0   | СН         | A-7-6         |                      |         | 105        | Nwaiwu and Nuhu (2006)      |
|          | 11.54 | 31.91       | 56.55 | 2.58      | 62     | 31.85 | 30.15 | 4.25     | 10.4   | CH         | A-7-6         |                      |         | 70         |                             |
| Nigeria  | 12.18 | 32.04       | 56.78 | 2.57      | 63.3   | 27.5  | 35.8  | 231      | 11.7   | СН         | A-7-6         |                      |         | 60         |                             |
|          | 13.7  | 29.55       | 56.75 | 2.55      | 64.1   | 33.15 | 30.95 |          | 11.9   | CH         | A-7-6         |                      |         | 60         |                             |
|          | 12.39 | 14.99       | 72.56 | 2.34      | 62.3   | 24.2  | 38.1  |          | 18.6   | CL         | A-7-6(24)     | 1710                 | 18      | 76.25      | Osinubi (2000)              |
|          | 20    | 5           | 75    | 2.66      | 65     | 19    | 46    | ļ        | 13.0   | CL         | A-7-6(6)      | 1630                 | 19.2    | 70         | Osinubi & Iiimdiva (2008)   |
|          | 51    | 23          | 28    |           | 70     | 27    | 43    |          | 20.7   |            |               | 1417.6               | 28.7    |            | Ola (1983)                  |

## Table 6. Summary of Geotechnical characteristics of black cotton soils (Data from Literature)

## Table 6. Continued

|              | Grading (%) |      |       | LL        | PL    | PI    |       | CT.      | Soil group |      | MDD       | Free Swell           |         |     |                                     |  |
|--------------|-------------|------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|----------|------------|------|-----------|----------------------|---------|-----|-------------------------------------|--|
| Location     | Sand        | Silt | Clay  | SG        | (%)   | (%)   | (%)   | Activity | SL         | ASTM | ASSHTO    | (Kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | OMC (%) | (%) | References                          |  |
| Nigoria      |             |      |       | 2.5       | 93    | 21    | 72    |          |            |      | A-7-6     | 1410                 | 24.3    |     | Oriola et al.(2010)                 |  |
| Nigeria      |             |      |       | 2.56      | 78    | 31    | 47    |          |            |      |           | 1412.8               | 27      | 50  | Ola (1979)                          |  |
|              | 10          | 20   | 70    |           | 58    | 16    | 42    | 0.61     | 12.0       |      |           | 2970                 | 20.2    | 70  |                                     |  |
| Chad Basin   | 19          | 28   | 53    |           | 44    | 14    | 30    | 0.59     | 9.0        |      |           | 2162                 | 13      | 55  |                                     |  |
|              | 10          | 30   | 60    |           | 52    | 19    | 33    | 0.82     |            | CL   | A-7-6     |                      |         | 50  |                                     |  |
| Chad Basin   | 14          | 34   | 52    |           | 56    | 30    | 26    | 0.4      | 15.0       |      |           | 1650                 | 18.6    | 50  | BRRI/USAID (1971)                   |  |
| Kenva        | 30          | 8    | 62    | 2.28      | 104   | 34    | 70    | 1.15     | V U        | 5    |           |                      |         | 92  |                                     |  |
| Kellya       | 8           | 37   | 55    | 2.47      | 72    | 24    | 48    | 0.88     |            |      | A-7-5     |                      |         | 87  |                                     |  |
| Ethiopia     | 4           | 38   | 56    |           | 109   | 28    | 81    | 1.36     | 14.0       |      |           | 1485                 | 23      | 88  |                                     |  |
|              |             |      |       |           | 35    | 21    | 14    |          | 7.0        |      |           |                      |         |     | Van der Merwe (1964)                |  |
| south Africa |             |      |       |           | 78    | 32*   | 46    |          | 18.0       |      |           |                      |         |     |                                     |  |
|              | 19          | 31   | 48    |           | 60    | 30    | 30    | N.       |            | 2    |           | 1730                 | 18.7    | 65  | BRRI/USAID (1971)                   |  |
|              | 15          | 43   | 42    |           | 57    | 29    | 28    | 0.7      | 210        | _    |           | 1660                 | 10.2    | 660 |                                     |  |
|              | 6           | 34   | 60    |           | 79.8  | 34.9  | 44.9  | 1        |            |      |           | 1400                 | 28.5    | 220 |                                     |  |
|              | 12          | 38   | 50    |           | 84    | 32.6  | 51.4  | 0.8      |            |      |           |                      |         |     |                                     |  |
|              | 35          | 38   | 27    |           | 60    | 25.9  | 34.1  | 1.3      |            |      |           | 1                    |         |     |                                     |  |
| Tanzania     | 28          | 37   | 35    |           | 79.8  | 29.9  | 49.9  | 1.4      |            | 1    |           |                      |         |     | Bucher&Sailie (1984)                |  |
|              | 45          | 20   | 35    |           | 55.4  | 21    | 34.4  | 1        |            |      | 25        | 1560                 | 22      |     |                                     |  |
|              | 32          | 41   | 27    |           | 59.2  | 18    | 41.2  | 1.5      |            | 17   | 13        |                      |         |     |                                     |  |
|              | 8           | 27   | 65    |           | 90.4  | 38    | 52.4  | 0.8      | - 1.       | XX   | 7         | 1580                 | 17      |     |                                     |  |
|              | 48          | 30   | 22    |           | 51    | 19.2  | 31.8  | 1.5      |            | 200  |           |                      |         |     |                                     |  |
|              | 25          | 37   | 38    |           | 73.2  | 29.5  | 43.7  | 1.2      | 1          |      | A-7-6(20  | 1260                 | 36      |     |                                     |  |
| Palistine    | 16          | 26   | 58    | 2.79      | 69    |       | 42    | un       | 10.0       |      |           |                      |         |     |                                     |  |
| Zamhia       | 14          | 35   | 51    | 2.58      | 49    | 16    | 33    | 0.65     | 16.0       | -    |           | 1802                 | 16      | 57  |                                     |  |
| Editiona     | 30          | 20   | 50    | 2.61      | 50    | 17    | 33    |          | 15.0       |      |           |                      |         | 58  | BRRI/USAID (1971)                   |  |
| Cameroon     | 42          | 58   | 0     |           | 64    | 37    | 27    | 1.7      |            |      | A-7-5     |                      |         |     |                                     |  |
| eameroon     | 19          | 43   | 38    |           | 62    | 35    | 27    | 0.71     |            |      | A-7-6     |                      |         |     |                                     |  |
| Algeria      | 8           | 28   | 62    |           | 47.7  | 24    | 23    | 0.37     |            |      | St.       |                      |         |     | Afes and Didier (2000)              |  |
|              | 11          | 22   | 67    | 2.83      | 88    | 34    | 54    | 0        | 22.0       | СН   | A-7-5(20) | 1538                 | 21      |     |                                     |  |
|              | 3           | 26   | 71    | 2.84      | 91    | 31    | 60    | W 20     | 24.0       | СН   | A-7-5(20) | 1561.8               | 25.5    |     | Ingles and Metcalf (1972) Aitchison |  |
| Australia    | 14          | 18   | 68    | 2.86      | 101   | 36    | 65    | 31       | 22.0       | СН   | A-7-5(20) | 1553.791             | 27      |     | at al. (1962)                       |  |
|              | 20          | 23   | 57    | 2.93      | 102   | 29    | 73    |          | 24.0       | СН   | A-7-6(20) | 1554                 | 28      |     |                                     |  |
|              | 1           | 29   | 70    | 2.87      | 100   | 27    | 73    |          | 23.0       | СН   | A-7-6(20) | 1513.745             | 29      |     |                                     |  |
| Botswana     |             |      | 47-58 | 2.58-2.69 | 53-55 | 24-28 | 27-29 |          |            |      |           | 1236                 | 22      |     | Abadjieva ( 2001)                   |  |



Figure 7. Variation of natural moisture content with depth of typical Ghana black cotton soil profile (Building and Road Research Institute, 1985)



Figure 8. Textural characteristics of black cotton soils on US Engineers Soil Classification chart (53 data sets)

## Plasticity characteristics and Activity

The Liquid Limit of black cotton soils are variable and range from 28%-190%, and the Plasticity Index ranges between 14% and 145%. Black cotton soils normally plot above the A-line and classify as inorganic clay of low to very high plasticity on the Casagrande's chart (Figure 9). The shrinkage limits range between 7% and 26%.

Most black cotton soils classify as A-7-5 and A-7-6 by the AASHTO Classification System (AASHTO, 1986) with group index values varying from 13-89 (USAID/BRRI, 1971) and CH and CL on the Unified Soil Classification System (ASTM, 1992).



Figure 9. Plasticity characteristics of black cotton soils

The activity of the black cotton soils from the data collected (Table 6) was found to range between 0.37-1.70, thus indicating that the soils have low to high expansion potential based on the criteria proposed by Skempton (1953) (see Table 7).

 Table 7. Rating of soils based on Activity of the clay fraction (Skempton, 1953)

| Activity  | Potential expansion |
|-----------|---------------------|
| <0.75     | Low                 |
| 0.75-1.25 | Medium              |
| >1.25     | High                |

## Free swell and permeability characteristics

Generally, free swell of black cotton soils varies between 50% and 220%. However, values of 660% have been reported for a Tanzanian black cotton soil (Bucher and Sailie, 1984) and 690% for an Indian black cotton soil (Ameta et. al. 2007).

Ola (1978) found the permeability of typical black cotton soil from oedometer test to be of the order of  $10^{-10}$  cm/sec, very similar to those reported by Ranganathan (1961) for Indian black cotton soils.

## Compaction characteristics

The Maximum Dry Density (MDD) and Optimum Moisture Contents range from 2970kg/m<sup>3</sup> to 1108kg/m<sup>3</sup> and 10%-49% respectively. The correlation between MDD and OMC (Figure 10) shows MDD = 1971.7 - 18.590MC and a coefficient of correlation as 0.699



Figure 10. The relationship between MDD and OMC

Unsoaked California Bearing Ratio (CBR) values of black cotton soils are generally high but soaking reduces them greatly. According to the Building and Road Research Institute (1985) the CBR of typical Ghanaian black cotton soils compacted at West African Standard and Modified ASSHTO standard were 35% and 40% respectively but due to the sensitivity of the soils to water, the 96-hour soaked CBR values reduced drastically to between 0.5% and 2%.

## 2.4.7 Stabilisation of Black Cotton Soils

The alteration of existing soil properties to meet specific engineering requirements is known as *soil stabilization*. Soil properties can be improved in a number of ways such as mechanical, chemical, electrical, geosynthesis and thermal treatment.

Many materials such as chlorides, pozzolans, geosynthetics have been used as additives to stabilise black cotton soils (Sivapullaiah, 2010; Sivapullaiah et al, 2010; Osinubi and Ijimdya, 2008; Oriola and Moses, 2010; Gosavi et al, 2004) etc, however, the most common ones that are widely used include cement, lime and bitumen (Ola, 1975; USAID/BRRI, 1971; Phanikumar, 2009). The effect of these stabilisers on black cotton soils is discussed.

#### Cement stabilization

The addition of cement to soil produces some chemical reaction which alters the behaviour of the soil. These changes result from the hydration of the cement and therefore are highly dependent on the amount of cement. The hydration of cement produces calcium aluminate and silicate bonding materials which form bonds between and around the soil grains; these result in a matrix that encloses the soil particles. In some fine-grained soils, the free lime produced during cement hydration reacts with the soil to increase the strength with curing time.

Fine grained soils require large amounts of cement, and very heavy clays may not be economical to stabilise with cement alone. Ola (1983) reported that stabilization of black cotton soils with cement alone is not suitable. The non-suitability of cement as good stabilizer is based on the economics/cost of application, because the presence of montmorillonite in the soils retards hydration and hence high percentages of cement would be required for adequate stabilization. Furthermore, the effect of cement on the Atterberg limits is minimal and workability is not improved.

## Bitumen stabilization

Stabilization with bitumen is unsatisfactory for clays with liquid limits greater than 40% and plasticity index exceeding 20% (Ola, 1983). Usually, black cottons soils have plasticity values greater than the above and hence cannot be stabilised with bitumen.

#### Lime stabilization

Lime stabilization is one of the most effective methods of stabilizing expansive soils especially black cotton soils (USAID/BRRI, 1971). Some advantages of lime stabilization according to Ola (1983) are:

- i. Workability is improved
- ii. Lime effect on the Atterberg limits are beneficial and considerable
- iii. Lime dries the soil if moisture is over optimum
- iv. Lime normally increases the optimum moisture content (OMC) and reduces the optimum density, which are desirable effects.
- v. Lime stabilized soils act as a water resistant barrier against the penetration of both gravity and capillary water in the soil.
- vi. Unconfined compression strength and California bearing ratio values of the clay increase considerably with the addition of lime and the lime treated soil gains strength with age.
- vii. Limes stabilized soils shed water readily and act as a "workable table "for construction to continue in the rainy season.

These positive effects notwithstanding, stabilising black cotton soils with lime is a problem because of the low solubility of lime and difficulty of mixing (Manchikanti and Raju, 2008).

#### Mechanical stabilization

Subgrades and bases of roads and airfields should be stable thus they should be resistant to lateral displacement under loading. Well graded and well compacted soils are naturally stable and generally require no treatment. Where the grading is deficient, it may often be improved by mixing with another soil so that the combined grading is near ideal. This process is called *mechanical stabilisation*. Fuller et al. (1909) have shown that for a material to have greatest maximum density, the grading should be such that the percentage passing any sieve is approximately:  $100\sqrt{\frac{D}{D_{max}}}$ , where *D* is the aperture of the sieve and  $D_{max}$  is the largest particle size. Adequate mixing and good compaction is essential if stable condition is to be achieved.

Mechanical stabilization of clays by the addition of sand for instance, is difficult due to problems involved with the proper pulverization of clay clogs and mixing with sand. Adding sand in combination with lime has been found to be more effective, particularly for water sensitive clays (Ola, 1983).

# **CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

## 3.1 Introduction

Three sites were initially selected for preliminary studies and only two sites adopted for the detailed investigation. The sites were explored using test pitting and auguring. Representative samples were collected for field and laboratory investigations. The methods of sampling and sample preparation as well as test conducted are discussed.

## 3.1.1 General reconnaissance survey

A field reconnaissance survey was carried out in the Accra plains to collect relatively simple information about surface and subsurface conditions of the site for the design and planning of the detailed investigation. Information was collected on:

- i. Accessibility to the site
- ii. Topography
- iii. Vegetation
- iv. Soil profiles determined from shallow test pits
- v. Land use
- vi. General drainage conditions
- vii. Condition of engineering structures on site (evidence and extent of damages observed)
- viii. Occurrences of gilgais, desiccation cracks, slickensides in soils

Based on the reconnaissance survey, detailed sub-soil investigation was carried out to provide comprehensive information on the nature of sub-soil and groundwater conditions so as to enable a complete geomechanical assessment of the effect of black cotton soils on the economy, service life, safety and integrity of civil engineering structure built on such soils in the Accra plains.

# 3.2 Soil Sampling

Test pits were excavated using pick axe and shovel. The pits were sometimes supplemented with auger boring. The dimension of the test pit was 1meter-length by 1.5meter-breadth by 3meter-depth. A depth of three meters was chosen because the swell and shrinkage phenomena of expansive soils have greatest effect on light weight structures such as pavements, one- and two- storey buildings usually founded at depths shallower than three meters. Disturbed samples were taken from within 0.3m-1.4m from the Tsopoli area and 0.2m-0.7m in the Doryumu area, packaged, labelled and sent to the laboratory for testing.

# **3.3** Testing of Soils

Field and laboratory tests were conducted on selected samples retrieved from the pitting and borings to evaluate their physical and geomechanical characteristics. The tests performed include the evaluation of the following:

#### **Physical characteristics**

- Textural characteristics of the soils
- Colour
- Lithological characteristics
- Insitu strength and structure of the soil

## **Geomechanical Parameters**

- Natural moisture content
- Particle size analysis
- Atterberg limits (liquid limit, plastic limit, linear shrinkage)
- Particle density
- Organic matter content, carbonate content, cation exchange capacity, exchangeable ions, etc
- pH value
- Free swell test

- Clay mineral and chemical analysis
- Swell test on compacted materials
- Compaction and stabilization characteristics using pozzolana, pozzolanacement and quarry dust
- California Bearing Ratio (CBR) on raw and stabilized compacted materials

## 3.3.1 Test Procedure

## Physical characteristics of the soils

The physical characteristics of the soils were determined based on visual examination and how the soils samples feel in the palm of the hands.

## Index Properties

Natural moisture content, Atterberg limits, particle size and particle density were determined in accordance with the British Standard (BS1377, 1990). For the particle size analysis only the hydrometer test was conducted for the raw black cotton soils as the materials were fines. Sodium Hexametaphosphate was used as the deflocculating agent. The particle density was determined using the pycnometer method.

## Strength tests

The Modified AASHTO (ASTM D1557-91) standard was used for the compaction related tests. CBR tests were conducted on samples compacted to Modified AASHTO standards and then soaked for 96 hours prior to testing.

## Chemical and Mineralogical Analysis

Representative soil samples were sent to the Ghana Geological Survey, Accra for chemical analysis. Major oxides and minor elements were analysed using the X-Ray Fluorescence Spectrometer method. Some samples were also analyzed for their mineralogical composition using the X-Ray diffraction method at the Department of Materials Engineering, KNUST. Organic matter content, carbonate content, cation exchange capacity, exchangeable ions were carried out at the Soil Science Laboratory at the Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Resources, KNUST.

#### Swell characteristics

The swell characteristics of the soils were determined using three methods: free swell, free swell index and swell measurements taken during the soaking of CBR samples. The free swell was determined using methods proposed by Holtz and Gibbs (1956) and the free swell index by Indian Standard Institution (IS 1498, 1987).

The free swell test consisted of pouring slowly 10 cm<sup>3</sup> of oven dried soil (passing 425 mm sieve) into a 100 cm<sup>3</sup> measuring jar filled with distilled water and noting the volume of the soil after it comes to rest at the bottom of the jar. The free swell was then reported as the increase in the volume of the soil expressed as a percentage of the initial volume.

IS 1498 gives a criterion to predict the expansivity of soils, based on the free swell index.

$$FSI = \frac{(Vw - Vk)}{Vk}$$

where Vw is the sediment volume of 10 g of oven dried soil passing 425 mm sieve placed in a 100 ml graduated measuring jar containing distilled water, and Vk is the sediment volume of 10 g of oven dried soil passing 425 mm sieve placed in a 100 ml graduated measuring jar containing kerosene.

The CBR swell was determined by the relation:

$$Swell = \frac{change \ in \ height \ of \ sample}{Height \ of \ sample \ in \ mould} \times 100\%$$

## pН

The pHs of the raw soils were determined at the Soil Science Laboratory of the Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Resources, KNUST.

#### Stabilisation studies

The black cotton soils were subjected to both mechanical and chemical stabilization with local stabilizing materials. Quarry dust was used for the mechanical stabilization whereas commercial pozzolana and pozzolana-cement mixes were used for the chemical stabilisation.

1. Sample preparation and testing

The soils were air-dried and lumps broken into pieces passing 19mm sieve size. The airdried soil samples were then mixed with calculated amount of stabilizer before testing. The geomechanical tests conducted on the stabilized soils were: the Atterberg limits (liquid limit, plastic limit, and linear shrinkage), free swell /free swell index, grading, compaction and CBR related test

#### 2. Mix designs

# Quarry dust stabilisation

The soil-quarry dust mixtures were prepared for 0%, 10%, 30%, 50% and 100% by weight of the quarry dust. The soil-quarry dust composite materials were then subjected to the various geomechanical tests.

#### Pozzolana stabilisation

The black cotton soils were stabilized with locally produced pozzolana. The pozzolana was acquired from the Building and Road Research Institute, Kumasi. The soil-pozzolana mixes were prepared by mixing 0%, 3%, 6%, 12% and 15% pozzolana

contents by weight of dry black cotton soil. The soil-pozzolana composite materials were then subjected to the various geomechanical tests.

## Pozzolana-cement

The pozzolana-cement admixture was produced by mixing two parts of ordinary portland cement to one part of pozzolana. The pozzolana-cement was then blended with the black cotton soils in 0%, 3%, 6%, 9% and 12% by weight of dry soil. The soil-pozzolana-cement composite materials were then subjected to the various geomechanical tests except the free swell and free swell index.



## **CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## 4.1 Nature and characteristics of the black cotton soils

The black cotton soils used in the study were collected from between 0.3-1.4m below ground level from Tsopoli and 0.2m–0.7m from Doryumu in the Accra Plains of Ghana. The sites are underlain by the Garnet-amphibolite gneiss of the Dahomeyan Supergroup. The gneisses have undergone chemical weathering to produce the black cotton soils under sub-humid climatic conditions of Ghana. The presence of mafic minerals in the rock favours the formation of clay minerals.

Typical profiles associated with the soils are shown in Figure 11. The Tsopoli profile consists of 0.3m topsoil which is underlain by a black, moist, firm clay of homogeneous characteristics from 0.3m-1.4m. The clays exhibit slickensides and have few black concretions. This is then followed by a greyish, firm clay with line nodules scattered throughout the horizon at a depth of between 1.4m and 2.75m. From the depth of 2.75m to 3m a greyish stiff, homogeneous clay with black concretions scattered in the horizon was encountered.

The generalised profile of Doryumu soil consists of dark, compact, clayey topsoil from 0-0.2m from the ground surface. This is underlain by a dark grey, firm, moist clay with few black concretions and occasional pebbles up to a depth of 0.7m. Between a depth of 0.7m to 1.36m a grey, firm, dense clay with pebbles and lime nodules occur at the base. Between a depth of 1.34m and 1.4m is decomposed rock with lime nodules and corestones.

No groundwater was encountered in both pits.



#### 4.1.1 Chemistry and mineralogy of the soils

The chemical compositions of the two soils are shown in Table 8. It is found that the two soils differ in chemistry. Even though silica, alumina and iron oxide were the dominant oxides in both soils, the concentrations of silica, sodium oxide, potassium oxide, phosphorus oxide, and sulphate contents were much higher in the Tsopoli soils than that in the Doryumu soils. Titanium oxide which has been reported by some researchers as being the cause of the dark colour of the soils is 1.09% and 1.42% for Tsopoli and Doryumu soils respectively. Concentrations of heavy metals (Nickel, Chromium and Molybdenum) are higher in the Doryumu soils than in the Tsopoli soil. The variation of the major oxides with soils is presented in Figure 12.

| Major Oxides                   | Concentration (wt %) |         |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
|                                | Tsopoli              | Doryumu |  |  |  |  |
| SiO <sub>2</sub>               | 62.18                | 54.26   |  |  |  |  |
| Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | 18.23                | 20.29   |  |  |  |  |
| Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | 6.7                  | 10.22   |  |  |  |  |
| TiO <sub>2</sub>               | 1.09                 | 1.42    |  |  |  |  |
| CaO                            | 0.7                  | 1.6     |  |  |  |  |
| Na <sub>2</sub> O              | 2.07                 | 1.12    |  |  |  |  |
| K <sub>2</sub> O               | 0.11                 | 0.05    |  |  |  |  |
| MgO                            | 2.45                 | 2.78    |  |  |  |  |
| P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>  | 0.05                 | 0.004   |  |  |  |  |
| MnO                            | 0.07                 | 0.29    |  |  |  |  |
| SO <sub>3</sub>                | 0.1                  | 0.04    |  |  |  |  |
| LOI                            | 6.2                  | 7.90    |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy metal con                | centrations (ppm     | )       |  |  |  |  |
| Ni                             | 32.6                 | 142     |  |  |  |  |
| Cr                             | 127                  | 453     |  |  |  |  |
| Mo                             | 18.4                 | 23.8    |  |  |  |  |
| Pb                             | 11.5                 | 2       |  |  |  |  |

Table 8. Chemical composition of the soils



Figure 12. Variation of major oxides with soils

The diffractogram of the two soils (Figure 13) show similar phases indicating similar mineralogy. The minerals identified were Quartz and Montmorillonite, suggesting that the main clay mineral in the black cotton soils occurring in the Accra Plains are the montmorillonite clay and therefore the soils are potentially expansive.



THE POLISTIP THINKES - Bir (10.000 \* - Brit 55.000 \* - Bir): 0.000 \* - Bir): 0.000 \* - Bir): 0.000 \* - Bir): 0.00 \* - Bir): 0.

300 YU M U - Type: 2THTh lacked - Birl: 10.000 \* - Brd: 55.000 \* - Birl: 0.020 \* - Birl: 1. s - Temp. 27 \* C - The Birl: 4: P s - 2- The in: 10.000 \* - The in: 5.000 \* - PH: 0.00 \* - X: 0.0 mm - Y: 0.0 mm - Y: 0.0 mm - Am1: 0.0 D C penders: Branch 0.150 | input]

Figure 13. Diffractogram of the two soils

#### 4.1.2 Physico-chemical characteristics

Results of the physico-chemical characteristics of the Tsopoli and Doryumu black cotton soils are shown in Table 9. The soil forming medium inferred from the pH of the soils shows that the Tsopoli soil is nearly neutral whereas the Doryumu soil is alkaline. Organic matter contents of the soils are 2.97 and 3.00 for Tsopoli and Doryumu respectively. The organic matter contents are within the range reported by BRRI (1985) of less than 5%. The cation exchange capacity (CEC) of clayey soil is the sum of exchangeable cations, which is a measure of the adsorption characteristics of the clay minerals and an indicator of the type and amount of free cations that are adsorbed expressed in milliequivalent per 100grams of the soils. The CEC values of the black cotton soils were found to be 49.65 for Tsopoli soil and 38.11 for Doryumu soils. It is noticed that the most abundant cations in the soils are the calcium, magnesium and sodium cations.

| PARAMETER                  | TSOPOLI SOIL | DORYUMU |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Calcium* (meq/100g)        | 30.00        | 25.60   |  |  |  |  |
| Magnesium* (meq/100g)      | 13.00        | 10.20   |  |  |  |  |
| Potassium* (meq/100g)      | 0.58         | 0.13    |  |  |  |  |
| Sodium* (meq/100g)         | W 3 5A 5.47  | 1.38    |  |  |  |  |
| Aluminium* (meq/100g)      | 0.20         | 0.40    |  |  |  |  |
| Hydrogen* (meq/100g)       | 0.40         | 0.40    |  |  |  |  |
| CEC ( $\Sigma$ cations)    | 49.65        | 38.11   |  |  |  |  |
| рН                         | 6.94         | 7.25    |  |  |  |  |
| Organic matter content (%) | 2.97         | 3.00    |  |  |  |  |

Table 9. Physico-chemical characteristics of the soils

\*cations

# 4.2 Geomechanical characteristics of the black cotton soils

The geomechanical characteristics of the soil studied are presented in Table 10.

| Sample ID                             | Tsopoli              | Doryumu | GHA Specification<br>for subgrade |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Depth(m)                              | 0.3-1.4              | 0.2-0.7 |                                   |  |  |
| Natural Moisture Content (%)          | 39.80                | 27.00   |                                   |  |  |
| Specific gravity                      | 2.37                 | 2.30    |                                   |  |  |
| Grading                               |                      | ICT     |                                   |  |  |
| Gravel (%)                            | 0.00                 | 1.30    |                                   |  |  |
| Sand (%)                              | 19.70                | 37.00   |                                   |  |  |
| Silt (%)                              | 18.00                | 15.20   |                                   |  |  |
| Clay (%)                              | 6 <mark>3.3</mark> 0 | 46.50   |                                   |  |  |
| Atterberg limits                      | NUL                  | 12      |                                   |  |  |
| Liquid Limit (%)                      | 91.72                | 74.68   | ≤ 35                              |  |  |
| Plastic Limit (%)                     | 29.60                | 26.55   |                                   |  |  |
| Plasticity Index (%)                  | 62.12                | 48.13   | ≤ 16                              |  |  |
| Linear shrinkage (%)                  | 24.22                | 20.36   | $\leq 8$                          |  |  |
| Activity                              | 0.98                 | 1.03    | 2                                 |  |  |
| Free Swell (%)                        | 140.00               | 70.00   |                                   |  |  |
| Free Swell Index (%)                  | 164.00               | 73.00   |                                   |  |  |
| Compaction                            | 223                  |         |                                   |  |  |
| Max. Dry Density (Mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | 1.68                 | 1.82    | V                                 |  |  |
| Opt. Moisture Cont. (%)               | 19.48                | 17.54   | E.                                |  |  |
| CBR –soaked (%)                       | 0.003                | 0.007   | ≥ 30                              |  |  |
| CBR swell (%)                         | 16.29                | 15.96   | ≤ 1                               |  |  |
| Classification                        | JANE .               |         |                                   |  |  |
| AASHTO                                | A-7-6                | A-7-6   |                                   |  |  |
| USCS                                  | СН                   | СН      |                                   |  |  |

Table 10. Geomechanical properties of the natural soils

#### Natural Moisture Content and Specific Gravity

The insitu moisture content of the black cotton soils occurring at Doryumu and Tsopoli are 27% and 39.80% respectively. The results are within the range of natural moisture contents of Ghanaian black and brown clays reported by the Building and Road Research Institute (1985), which is between 20% and 45%.

The specific gravities of the solid particles in the soils are 2.30 and 2.37 for Doryumu and Tsopoli black cotton soils respectively.

## Particle Size Distribution and Textural Classification

AZCWICAR

The particle size distributions curves of the two soils are shown in Figure 14. The Tsopoli soil had sand size content of 19.7%, silt size content of 18.0% and clay size content of 63.3%, whereas the Doryumu soils had 1.3% gravel, 37.00% sand, 15.2% silt and 45.5% clay. Based on the particle size distribution the Tsopoli soil classifies as clay and the Doryumu soil as sandy clay soils.

The textural classification of the two soils is shown in Figure 15. The Tsopoli soil classifies as clay and Doryumu soils as sandy clay on the United States Corp of Engineers classification chart.

BADW



Figure 14. Particle size distributions of the soils



Figure 15. Textural classification of the soils with reference to black cotton soils reported in

literature

#### Atterberg Limits and Plasticity Characteristics

The plasticity characteristics of the soils are shown in Figure 16. All the soils plotted above the A-line. Based on the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) the soils are inorganic clays of high plasticity (CH). They also classify as A-7-6 by the AASHTO Classification System, which means that they are fair to poor material for subgrade of roads. From studies by Wesley (1988), it appears that the Doryumu black cotton soil has strong montmorillonite influence than the Tsopoli soil.



Figure 16. Plasticity characteristics of the soils

The Activity defined as the ratio of the plasticity index to the clay size content of a soil based on Skempton's classification are 0.98 for Tsopoli soil and 1.03 for Doryumu soil. This indicates that the soils have medium expansion potential because they fall between 0.75-1.25 (see Table 7). The values of activity of the two soils are however, not different from those reported in literature which ranges from 0.37-1.70.

The free swell test on the soils gave values of 140% for Tsopoli soil and 70% for the Doryumu soil. Holtz and Gibbs (1956) indicated that soils having free swell values above 100% can cause considerable damage to lightly loaded structures; soils having free swell below 50% seldom exhibit appreciable volume change. It is clear, therefore that the Tsopoli soil can be expected to cause more damage to light loaded structures than the Doryumu soil when exposed to moisture changes. A good indication of the soil expansivity is the free swell index (IS 1498, 1987). The free swell indices of the study soils are 164% and 73% for the Tsopoli and Doryumu soils respectively. Again, this implies that the Tsopoli soil has a high degree of expansivity whereas the Doryumu soil possesses medium degree of expansivity (Appendix 1).

#### Strength characteristics

The strength properties of the soils were determined from compaction and CBR tests. The compaction characteristics of the soils are shown in Figure 17. It is noted that the Maximum Dry Density (MDD) of the Tsopoli soil is  $1.68Mg/m^3$  corresponding to an optimum moisture content (OMC) of 19.48%. The Doryumu soil recorded a higher MDD of  $1.82Mg/m^3$  and a lower OMC of 17.54% relative to the Tsopoli soil. The values of MDD and OMC are quite in agreement with those gathered from literature for natural soils that is between  $1108kg/m^3 - 2970kg/m^3(1.108-2.97Mg/m^3)$  and 10%-49%.

W J SANE N



Figure 17. Compaction characteristics of the black cotton soils

The CBR values determined on soil samples compacted at OMC and soaking for 96 hours (4-days) were 0.003% and 0.007% for Tsopoli and Doryumu soils respectively which indicate a total loss of strength of the samples on soaking. The CBR swells recorded were 16.29% for the Tsopoli soils and 15.96% for the Doryumu soil.

# Conclusion

Laboratory results of the geomechanical properties of the black cotton soils studied (Table 10) reveal that, they are unsuitable/poor materials for road construction. For instance; the liquid limits of both soils are more than two-fold the Ghana Highway Authority standard (GHA, 2006) requirement of 35%. The plasticity index and linear shrinkage limits also failed to meet specification. The plastic limits of the Tsopoli and Doryumu soils were 62% and 48% respectively, about four-fold the standard requirements (Maximum 16%). The linear shrinkage limits were also about two-fold more than the recommended 8%.

The California Bearing Ratio (CBR) values which are considered very important in the selection of road construction materials in terms of the load bearing capacity and swell failed to meet specifications. The minimum CBR-value recommended by GHA for subgrade material is 30% and CBR-swell, maximum of 1%. The soils however, recorded CBR values less than 1% and swell in excess of 15%.

## 4.3 Stabilisation of the soils

The effects of the various stabilising agents on the geomechanical characteristics of the soils are presented and discussed.

#### 4.3.1 Quarry dust stabilisation

The summary of results on the effects of quarry dust on the geomechanical characteristics of the black cotton soils are shown in Table 11 and are discussed.

## Particle size distribution and textural classification

The grading characteristics of the quarry dust stabilised black cotton soils are shown in Figure 18. The quarry dust had greater effect on the grading curves of the Tsopoli soil than on the Doryumu soil.

For the Tsopoli soil, there was a general reduction in the clay size content with increasing quarry dust content. No significant reduction of the silt contents with increasing quarry dust content was observed. The sand size and gravel size contents both increased with increasing quarry dust.

There was a general reduction in the clay size and silt contents whereas gravel size increased with increasing quarry dust content, however, sand content increased marginally with increasing quarry dust for the Doryumu soil.

| Sample ID                             | Tso   | poli Soil + | - Quarry            | dust          | Dory  | umu Soil      | + Quarry | Doryumu Soil + Quarry dust |             |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|-------|-------------|---------------------|---------------|-------|---------------|----------|----------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
|                                       | 0%    | 10%         | 30%                 | 50%           | 0%    | 10%           | 30%      | 50%                        | Quarry dust |  |  |  |
| Grading                               |       |             |                     |               |       |               |          |                            |             |  |  |  |
| Gravel (%)                            | 0.00  | 5.09        | 16.03               | 27.73         | 1.30  | 11.63         | 15.7     | 22.83                      | 24          |  |  |  |
| Sand (%)                              | 19.70 | 32.30       | 31.17               | 37.95         | 37.00 | 30.13         | 30.43    | 30.64                      | 76          |  |  |  |
| Silt (%)                              | 18.00 | 17.5        | 15.06               | 13.15         | 15.20 | 15.13         | 15.82    | 14.28                      | 0           |  |  |  |
| Clay (%)                              | 63.30 | 45.11       | 37.74               | <b>21</b> .17 | 46.50 | 43.11         | 38.05    | 32.25                      | 0           |  |  |  |
| Atterbergs                            |       |             |                     | (In)          |       |               |          |                            |             |  |  |  |
| Liquid Limit (%)                      | 91.72 | 80.88       | 62.28               | 48.81         | 74.68 | 69.18         | 55.16    | 44.54                      | 28.00       |  |  |  |
| Plastic Limit (%)                     | 29.60 | 27.99       | 20.41               | 18.25         | 26.55 | 23.73         | 22.60    | 16.91                      | Non Plastic |  |  |  |
| Plasticity Index (%)                  | 62.12 | 52.89       | 42.87               | 30.56         | 48.13 | 45.45         | 32.56    | 27.63                      | N/A         |  |  |  |
| Linear shrinkage (%)                  | 24.22 | 23.74       | 19.42               | 14.64         | 20.36 | <b>20.1</b> 4 | 16.90    | 12.50                      | -           |  |  |  |
| Activity                              | 0.98  | 1.17        | 1.14                | 1.44          | 1.03  | 1.05          | 0.86     | 0.86                       | N/A         |  |  |  |
| Free Swell (%)                        | 140   | 120         | 100                 | 90            | 70    | 60            | 50       | 45                         | 0           |  |  |  |
| Free swell index (%)                  | 164   | 145         | 75                  | 60            | 73.00 | 70            | 65       | 50                         | 0           |  |  |  |
| Compaction                            |       |             | und                 | 25            |       |               |          |                            |             |  |  |  |
| Max. Dry Density (Mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | 1.68  | 1.82        | 1.93                | 2.07          | 1.82  | 1.84          | 1.98     | 2.14                       | 2.193       |  |  |  |
| Opt. Moisture Cont. (%)               | 19.48 | 18.68       | 15 <mark>.15</mark> | 11.32         | 17.54 | 14.19         | 11.64    | 10.44                      | 7.68        |  |  |  |
| CBR (%)                               | 0.003 | 0.0035      | 0.0174              | 0.0081        | 0.007 | 1.03          | 1.29     | 1.42                       | 5.40        |  |  |  |
| CBR swell (%)                         | 16.29 | 15.58       | 15.96               | 17.83         | 15.96 | 9.63          | 9.21     | 6.89                       | 2.26        |  |  |  |
| Classification                        |       | -           | US SI               | ANE NO        |       |               |          |                            |             |  |  |  |
| AASHTO                                | A-7-6 | A-7-6       | A-7-6               | A-7-6         | A-7-6 | A-7-6         | A-7-6    | A-7-6                      | -           |  |  |  |
| USCS                                  | CH    | СН          | СН                  | CI            | СН    | СН            | CH       | CI                         | -           |  |  |  |

 Table 11. Geomechanical characteristics of quarry dust stabilised black cotton soils



The classification of the soils based on textural characteristics is presented in Table 12. It is noted that the Tsopoli soil which in its natural state classified as clay, classified as sandy clay upon addition of 10% and 30% quarry dust and then classified as sandy-gravel with the addition of 50% quarry dust. The addition of quarry dust to the Tsopoli soil reduced the clay size content and caused an increase in the sand content.

The addition of quarry dust to the Doryumu soil, however, did not cause any change in the textural classification of the soil which in its natural state classified as sandy clay. This is due to the fact that the natural soil had some amount of sand and so the addition of quarry dust only reinforced the sand size content with no textural change.

| Sample ID               | Textural classification of soil |            |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Quarry dust content (%) | Tsopoli soil                    | Doryumu    |  |  |  |  |
| 0%                      | Clay                            | Sandy clay |  |  |  |  |
| 10%                     | Sandy clay                      | Sandy clay |  |  |  |  |
| 30%                     | Sandy clay                      | Sandy clay |  |  |  |  |
| 50%                     | Sandy gravel                    | Sandy clay |  |  |  |  |

Table 12. Classification of the soils based on textural characteristics

#### Atterberg limits and Plasticity Characteristics

Quarry dust had a significant effect on the Atterberg limits of both soils. There were general reductions in Atterberg limits with increasing quarry dust content. This phenomenon could be attributed to the reduction in clay content of the soils as the non-plastic quarry dust was increased. This results in a reduction in water absorption capacity of the black cotton soils greatly.

The effect of quarry dust on the plasticity characteristics of the soils is shown in Figure 19. It is noted that as quarry dust content was increased there was an accompanied reduction in the liquid limit as well as the plastic limit with subsequent reduction in the plasticity index for both the Tsopoli and Doryumu black cotton soils. The linear shrinkage reduced with increasing quarry dust content for both soils.

The reduction in both liquid and plastic limits altered the classification of the soils. The soils which classify under the Unified Soil Classification System as clay with high plasticity (CH) for 0%, 10% and 30% dust contents classified as clay with intermediate plasticity (CI) upon addition of 50% quarry dust for both the Tsopoli and Doryumu soils (Figure 20).

#### Activity and Free swell

The activity of the clay fraction in the soils ranges between 0.86 and 1.44 for both soils. All the soils with the exception of Tsopoli soil plus 50% quarry dust classified as soil with medium expansion potential. Tsopoli soil plus 50% quarry dust classified as soils with high expansion potential.

The free swell and free swell index of both soils reduced with increasing quarry dust. The Tsopoli soil had free swell ranging between 90% and 140% and free swell index ranging between 60% and 164%. The Doryumu soil also recorded free swell values in the range of 45% and 70% and free swell index between 50% and 73%.

WJ SANE NO




Figure 20. The effect of quarry dust on the plasticity classification of the soils

### Compaction characteristics

Compaction characteristics and CBR were used as proxy for the strength parameters of the soil-quarry dust mixtures. The compaction characteristics of the natural and quarry dust stabilised soils are presented in Figure 21. It is noted that as quarry dust content is increased, there is an increase in the maximum dry density (MDD) and a reduction in the optimum moisture content as demonstrated by Figure 22 and Figure 23 for the two soils. The increase in MDD and reduction in the OMC could be attributed to the fact that, as the low density particle black cotton soils (specific gravity of 2.30 and 2.37) are replaced by a relatively high density quarry dust (specific gravity of 2.60), an increase in the density of the composite material is expected. The reduction in the OMC could also be due to the reduction in the clay content which causes a reduction in the water absorptive capacity of the composite material and subsequent increase in dry density (dry density is inversely proportional to moisture content).

Figure 24 and Figure 25 show the effects of the quarry dust on the CBR of the black cotton soils. The soaked CBR values increases with increasing quarry dust content. The CBR increase may be because the grains of the quarry dust form an interlocking system which results in increase in resistance to penetration. General reductions in CBR swell were observed with increasing quarry dust content for both black cotton soils (Figure 25). This is definitely due to the reduction of the swelling clay content and increase in the non–swelling quarry dust.





Figure 23. The variation of OMC with quarry dust content



Figure 25. Variation of CBR swells with quarry dust content

### Summary of results

There were reductions in soil plasticity thus the liquid limit, plastic limit, plasticity index and linear shrinkage with increasing quarry dust content. This phenomenon could be attributed to the reduction in clay content as the non-plastic quarry dust is increased. This results in a reduction in water absorption capacity of the black cotton soils greatly.

The quarry dust had a more pronounced effect on the grading characteristics of the Tsopoli soil soil than on the Doryumu soil. The textural classification of the Tsopoli soils also changed as the quarry dust content increased whereas there was no change in that of the Doryumu soils. Because of the high clay content of the Tsopoli soil, the addition of quarry dust reduced the clay size content and caused an increase in the coarse grain (sand) content. On the other hand, because the Doryumu soil had some amount of sand already the addition of quarry dust only increased in the sand content with no significant change in the textural classification.

Compaction results show that the maximum dry density (MDD) increased as quarry dust content increased up to a point and then decreased. The optimum moisture content (OMC) corresponding to the MDD also showed reduction as the quarry dust content was increased. The increase in MDD and reduction in the OMC could be attributed to the gradual replacement of the low particle density materials (black cotton soils) by a relatively higher density material (quarry dust) as the quarry dust content increased. The reduction in the OMC could be attributed to the reduction in the clay content which causes a reduction in the water absorptive capacity of the composite material.

General increases in California bearing ratio (CBR) values and reduction of CBR swell were observed. The CBR increased because it is thought that the grains of the quarry dust form an interlocking system which results in increase in resistance to penetration under load. From the results, quarry dust can be said to be a good stabiliser for the black cotton soils, however, considering the large amounts of quarry dust used, it may be uneconomical to stabilise the black cotton soils with such materials.

### 4.3.2 Pozzolana stabilisation

The summary of results on the effects of pozzolana on the geomechanical characteristics of the black cotton soils are shown in Table 13 and are discussed.

# Particle size distribution and textural classification

The grading characteristics of the natural and stabilised soils are shown in

Figure 26. It is noted that the addition of pozzolana to both soils did not have significant effect on the particle size distribution; however, some changes in particle size fractions were noted. For instance, there was a reduction in clay size content, with increases in silt and sand contents and no net change in the gravel size of the Tsopoli soil. The Doryumu soil, on the other hand had not net change in both clay and gravel size fractions, but increased in silt and reduced in sand fractions.

The classification of the soils based on textural characteristics is presented in Table 14. The Tsopoli soil in its natural state and pozzolana improved state classified texturally as clay. The Doryumu soil, also classified as sandy clay for the natural as well as pozzolana improved samples. This implies that the pozzolana does not have any effect on the grading and textural characteristics of the two soils.

| Samela D                              | Tsopoli Soil + Pozzolana |       |       |       |       | Doryumu Soil + Pozzolana |       |       |       |       |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Sample ID 0%                          |                          | 3%    | 6%    | 12%   | 15%   | 0%                       | 3%    | 6%    | 12%   | 15%   |
| Grading                               |                          |       |       |       |       |                          |       |       |       |       |
| Gravel (%)                            | 0.00                     | 0.00  | 0.01  | 0.54  | 0.29  | 1.30                     | 1.83  | 2.13  | 1.56  | 1.32  |
| Sand (%)                              | 19.70                    | 17.27 | 15.64 | 17.16 | 22.38 | 37.00                    | 31.08 | 29.25 | 30.84 | 33.16 |
| Silt (%)                              | 18.00                    | 22.33 | 22.30 | 24.12 | 19.83 | 15.20                    | 15.12 | 17.61 | 18.25 | 17.11 |
| Clay (%)                              | 63.30                    | 60.4  | 62.05 | 58.61 | 57.50 | 46.50                    | 51.97 | 51.01 | 49.35 | 48.41 |
| Atterbergs                            |                          |       |       |       |       |                          |       |       |       |       |
| Liquid Limit (%)                      | 91.72                    | 88.80 | 84.48 | 80.90 | 77.98 | 74.68                    | 71.10 | 65.56 | 66.97 | 65.77 |
| Plastic Limit (%)                     | 29.60                    | 25.01 | 26.00 | 26.26 | 26.57 | 26.55                    | 21.47 | 20.78 | 23.09 | 24.91 |
| Plasticity Index (%)                  | 62.12                    | 63.79 | 58.48 | 54.64 | 51.40 | 48.13                    | 49.63 | 44.78 | 43.88 | 40.86 |
| Linear shrinkage (%)                  | 24.22                    | 23.20 | 20.31 | 22.66 | 23.75 | 20.36                    | 20.32 | 19.78 | 20.50 | 20.7  |
| Activity                              | 0.98                     | 1.06  | 0.94  | 0.93  | 0.89  | 1.03                     | 0.95  | 0.88  | 0.89  | 0.84  |
| Free Swell (%)                        | 140                      | 115   | 110   | 100   | 100   | 70                       | 65    | 60    | 60    | 60    |
| FSI(%)                                | 164                      | 140   | 120   | 100   | 81    | 73                       | 70    | 50    | 60    | 50    |
| Compaction                            |                          |       | 200   | M G C |       |                          |       |       |       |       |
| Max. Dry Density (Mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) | 1.68                     | 1.78  | 1.82  | 1.81  | 1.82  | 1.82                     | 1.83  | 1.84  | 1.80  | 1.86  |
| Opt. Moisture Cont. (%)               | 19.48                    | 17.61 | 15.80 | 13.24 | 12.60 | 17.54                    | 15.30 | 14.44 | 14.38 | 11.75 |
| CBR (%)                               | 0.003                    | 0.35  | 0.52  | 0.52  | 0.31  | 0.007                    | 0.42  | 0.52  | 0.58  | 0.47  |
| Swell (%)                             | 16.29                    | 18.03 | 22.30 | 32.10 | 35.68 | 15.96                    | 17.00 | 20.60 | 25.70 | 31.50 |
| Classification                        |                          |       |       |       |       |                          |       |       |       |       |
| AASHTO                                | A-7-6                    | A-7-6 | A-7-6 | A-7-6 | A-7-6 | A-7-6                    | A-7-6 | A-7-6 | A-7-6 | A-7-6 |
| USCS                                  | СН                       | СН    | СН    | СН    | СН    | СН                       | СН    | СН    | СН    | СН    |

Table 13. Geomechanical characteristics of pozzolana stabilised soils



Figure 26. The particle size distribution curves of the pozzolana stabilised soils

|                       | Textural classification of soil |            |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Pozzolana content (%) | Tsopoli soil                    | Doryumu    |  |  |  |  |
| 0%                    | Clay                            | Sandy clay |  |  |  |  |
| 3%                    | Clay                            | Sandy clay |  |  |  |  |
| 6%                    | Clay                            | Sandy clay |  |  |  |  |
| 12%                   | Clay                            | Sandy clay |  |  |  |  |
| 15%                   | Clay                            | Sandy clay |  |  |  |  |

 Table 14. Classification of the soils based on textural characteristics

#### Atterberg limits and Plasticity Characteristics

The results of the variation of Atterberg limits test with pozzolana content determined on raw and stabilised soils are given in Figure 27. There were reductions in the plasticity characteristics of the soils with increases in pozzolana content. There were reductions in the liquid limits as well as the plastic limits with subsequent reduction in the plasticity indices for both the Tsopoli and Doryumu black cotton soils. There were however, general decreases in the linear shrinkage of the soils with addition of between 0%-6% of pozzolana but beyond this point further addition of pozzolana resulted in an increase in the linear shrinkage of both the Tsopoli and Doryumu soils.

The reduction in plasticity characteristics of the soils could be due to the addition of the less plastic pozzolana and also the reaction between the clay size fraction of the black cotton soils and the pozzolana. According to Hammond (1980), when clays, shales and some other geomaterials are calcined at some optimum temperature they become pozzolanic and thus when finely divided in the presence of water react with the soil resulting in reduction in plasticity of the soils.

The reduction in Atterberg limits did not, however, alter the plasticity classification of the pozzolana stabilised soils as they all classified as inorganic clay of high plasticity (Figure 28).



Figure 27. The variation of Atterberg limits with pozzolana content



Figure 28. Plasticity classification of the pozzolana stabilised soils

### Activity and Free swell

The activity of the Tsopoli-pozzolana improved soils ranges between 0.89 and 1.06 whereas the Doryumu–pozzolana soil recorded ranges from 0.84-1.03. This indicates that both stabilised soils have medium expansion potential.

There is a general reduction in the free swell and free swell index of both soils with increasing pozzolana. The Tsopoli soil had free swell and free swell index ranging from 90%-140% and 60%-164% respectively. The Doryumu soil also recorded values of from 45%-70% and 50%-73% for free swell and free swell index.

### **Compaction characteristics**

The density-moisture relationship of the natural and pozzolana-stabilised soils determined from modified AASHTO standard compaction test are presented in Figure 29. The increase in pozzolana content caused an increase in the maximum dry density (MDD) and a reduction in optimum moisture content (OMC) of both soils.

The variation of MDD with pozzolana content of the soils is shown in Figure 30. The MDD increases linearly for the Doryumu soil and polynomially for the Tsopoli soil with increasing pozzolana content. The variation of optimum moisture content (OMC) with pozzolana-stabilised soils is shown in Figure 31. There is a general reduction in OMC with increasing pozzolana content and this phenomenon could be due to the fact the pozzolana absorbs water during the hydration process resulting in reduction in OMC.





Figure 31. Variation of OMC with pozzolana content

The results obtained from the soaked CBR tests on the samples compacted at various pozzolana contents are presented in Figure 32. It is noted that CBR values increases up to a point and then drops with increasing pozzolana content. The maximum CBR value occurred at about 9% pozzolana content for the Doryumu soil and 10% for the Tsopoli soil. The variation of CBR swell with pozzolana content is shown in Figure 33. It is observed that as pozzolana content is increased CBR swell increases. The increase in CBR swell with pozzolana content may be due the absorption of water by both the soil and the pozzolana.



Figure 32. Variation of CBR value with pozzolana content



Figure 33. Variation of CBR swells with pozzolana content

### Summary of results

The addition of pozzolana to the black cotton soils did not have much influence on the particle size distribution and therefore, no changes in the textural classification of both the Tsopoli and Doryumu black cotton soils were recorded. However, there was reduction in the Atterberg limits of both soils. The reduction in plasticity characteristics of the soils was due to the addition of a less plastic pozzolana and also the reaction between the clay size fraction of the black cotton soils and the pozzolana. The reduction in the Atterberg limits did not however cause any change in the classification of the two soils.

Increase in pozzolana content caused an increase in the maximum dry density (MDD) and a reduction in optimum moisture content (OMC) of both soils. It is thought that the pozzolana absorbs water from the clay fraction of the soil causing a reduction in OMC with increasing pozzolana content and an increase in the MDD.

The maximum California bearing ratio (CBR) value occurred at about 9% pozzolana content for the Doryumu soil and 10% for the Tsopoli soil. CBR swell increased with increasing pozzolana content. The increase in CBR value may be due to the reaction between the pozzolana and the soil. The increase in CBR swell with pozzolana content may be due the absorption of water by both the soil and the pozzolana.

Although there were some improvements in some geomechanical parameters, it appears pozzolana alone is unsuitable for stabilising the black cotton soils adequately.

### 4.3.3 Pozzolana-cement stabilisation

The summary of results of the pozzolana-cement stabilisation of the black cotton soils are presented in Table 15 and are discussed.

### Particle size distribution and Textural classification

The grading characteristics of the natural and pozzolana-cement-stabilised soils are shown in Figure 34. It is noted that, the addition of pozzolana-cement to both soils had an effect on the particle size distribution. For instance, there was no net change in the clay and gravel size fractions of the Tsopoli soil but increase in silt and reduction in sand size contents were observed for increasing pozzolana-cement contents. The Doryumu soil also had increases in clay and silt size fractions with reduction in the sand size with no net change in the gravel size fraction with increasing pozzolana-cement content. The classification of the soils based on textural characteristics is presented in Table 16. The Tsopoli soil in its natural state and pozzolana-cement improved state classified texturally as clay. The Doryumu soil, also classified as sandy clay for 0%, 3%, but classified as clay for 6%, 9% and 12% pozzolana-cement.

|                          | Tsopoli Soil + Pozzolana-cement |       |       |              | Doryumu Soil + Pozzolana-cement |       |       |       |       |       |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|-------|--------------|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Sample ID                | 0%                              | 3%    | 6%    | 9%           | 12%                             | 0%    | 3%    | 6%    | 9%    | 12%   |
| Grading                  |                                 |       |       |              |                                 |       |       |       |       |       |
| Gravel (%)               | 0.00                            | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00         | 0.00                            | 1.30  | 3.16  | 1.48  | 1.48  | 1.48  |
| Sand (%)                 | 19.70                           | 16.92 | 11.09 | 13.45        | 17.15                           | 37.00 | 32.59 | 28.15 | 26.32 | 27.05 |
| Silt (%)                 | 18.00                           | 19.56 | 20.64 | 18.60        | 22.27                           | 15.20 | 15.70 | 18.19 | 20.02 | 20.02 |
| Clay (%)                 | 63.30                           | 63.52 | 68.27 | 67.95        | 60.58                           | 46.50 | 48.55 | 52.18 | 52.18 | 51.45 |
| Atterbergs               |                                 |       |       |              |                                 |       |       |       |       |       |
| Liquid Limit (%)         | 91.72                           | 86.98 | 81.46 | 79.21        | 75.54                           | 74.68 | 71.31 | 67.80 | 67.69 | 64.40 |
| Plastic Limit (%)        | 29.60                           | 28.46 | 29.12 | 29.45        | 30.33                           | 26.55 | 25.85 | 26.89 | 30.66 | 30.73 |
| Plasticity Index (%)     | 62.12                           | 58.52 | 54.34 | <u>49.76</u> | 45.21                           | 48.13 | 45.46 | 40.91 | 37.03 | 33.67 |
| Linear shrinkage         | 24.22                           | 25.78 | 24.46 | 23.74        | 22.14                           | 23.20 | 22.3  | 21.43 | 20.86 | 17.86 |
| Activity                 | 0.98                            | 0.92  | 0.80  | 0.73         | 0.75                            | 1.03  | 0.94  | 0.78  | 0.71  | 0.65  |
| Compaction               |                                 |       |       |              |                                 |       |       |       |       |       |
| Max. Dry Density (Mg/m3) | 1.68                            | 1.78  | 1.83  | 1.84         | 1.81                            | 1.82  | 1.86  | 1.87  | 1.87  | 1.89  |
| Opt. Moisture Cont. (%)  | 19.48                           | 17.6  | 14.89 | 12.61        | 12.54                           | 17.54 | 16.41 | 16.18 | 15.16 | 13.79 |
| CBR (%)                  | 0.003                           | 1.65  | 1.90  | 4.00         | 8.40                            | 0.003 | 3.11  | 4.61  | 6.11  | 9.22  |
| CBR Swell (%)            | 16.29                           | 21.05 | 23.07 | 24.25        | 25.00                           | 15.96 | 14.00 | 14.90 | 15.8  | 16.70 |
| Classification           |                                 |       |       |              |                                 |       |       |       |       |       |
| AASHTO                   | A-7-6                           | A-7-6 | A-7-6 | A-7-6        | A-7-6                           | A-7-6 | A-7-6 | A-7-6 | A-7-6 | A-7-6 |
| USCS                     | CH                              | СН    | СН    | СН           | СН                              | СН    | СН    | СН    | СН    | СН    |

### Table 15. Geomechanical characteristics of pozzolana-cement stabilised black cotton soils



|                                 | Textural classification of soil |            |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Pozzolana-cement content<br>(%) | Tsopoli soil                    | Doryumu    |  |  |  |  |
| 0%                              | Clay                            | Sandy clay |  |  |  |  |
| 3%                              | Clay                            | Sandy clay |  |  |  |  |
| 6%                              | Clay                            | Clay       |  |  |  |  |
| 9%                              | Clay                            | Clay       |  |  |  |  |
| 12%                             | Clay                            | Clay       |  |  |  |  |

Table 16. Classification of the soils based on textural characteristics

### Atterberg limits and Plasticity Characteristics

The results of the Atterberg limits test determined on raw and pozzolana-cement-stabilised soils are given in Figure 35. The liquid limit of the both the Tsopoli and Doryumu black cotton soils reduced as the pozzolana-cement content increased. General increases in the plastic limits were observed with increasing pozzolana-cement for both soils. The addition of 3% of pozzolana-cement to both soils caused a reduction in plastic limits and beyond that, general increase in plastic limits were noted. The reduction in liquid limits and the plastic limits resulted in the reduction of the plasticity indices of both soils with increasing pozzolana-cement content. General reductions in linear shrinkage of both soils with increasing pozzolana-cement contents were also observed.

The reduction in Atterberg limits did not, however, alter the plasticity classification of the pozzolana stabilised soils as they all classified as inorganic clay of high plasticity (CH) except Doryum+15% pozzolana which classified as inorganic silts of high plasticity (MH) or organic soils (OH) as presented in Figure 36.

### Activity and Free swell

The activity of the soils generally reduced with increasing pozzolana-cement content. For instance, the Tsopoli soil reduced in activity from 0.98 to 0.75 and Doryumu soils from 1.03

to 0.65 over the range of pozzolana-cement content used in the study. The ranges of values suggest that the soils have medium expansion potential. The free swell characteristics of the soils were not determined because the soil-pozzolana-cement had the tendency of solidifying in the presence of water.







Figure 36. Plasticity classification of the soils

#### **Compaction characteristics**

The compaction characteristics of the natural and pozzolana-cement-stabilised soils are presented in Figure 37. It is noted that as pozzolana-cement content was increased, there was an increase in the maximum dry density (MDD) and a reduction in the optimum moisture content (OMC). The increase in MDD could be due to the high specific gravity of pozzolana-cement. Figure 38 and Figure 39 distinctly show the effect of pozzolana-cement content on the MDD and OMC of the two soils. After some optimum pozzolana-cement content, the MDD began to fall. The reduction in the OMC corresponding to the various MDD reduced with increasing pozzolana-cement as depicted by Figure 39.

The results from the soaked CBR tests on the samples compacted at various pozzolanacement contents are presented in Figure 40. It is noted that CBR values increased with increasing pozzolana-cement content for both Tsopoli and Doryumu black cotton soils.

The CBR swell of the compacted soils is shown in Figure 41. It is found that CBR swell increases with pozzolana-cement content for the Doryumu soil. The Tsopoli soil on the other hand showed reduction in CBR swell up to 3% pozzolana-cement beyond which the swell value began to increase.

APJ CAP

BADW





Figure 38. Variation of MDD with Pozzolana-cement



Figure 39. Variation of OMC with Pozzolana-cement



Figure 40. Variation of CBR value with Pozzolana-cement content of the soils



Figure 41. Variation of CBR swells with Pozzolana-cement content

### Summary of results

The addition of pozzolana-cement to the black cotton soils had effect on the particle size distribution. However, the textural classification of the natural Tsopoli soil as clay was unaltered. On the other hand, the Doryumu soil which classified as sandy clay in its natural state changed texturally to clay upon addition of pozzolana-cement content greater than 3%.

There was reduction in the Atterberg limits (liquid limit, plasticity index, linear shrinkage) of both the Tsopoli and Doryumu soils but the plastic limits increased with increase in pozzolana-cement content. The reduction in plasticity characteristics of the soils was due to the chemical reaction between pozzolana-cement and the clay size fraction of the black cotton soils. The reduction in the Atterberg limits, however, did not cause any change in the classification of the two soils.

Increase in pozzolana-cement content caused an increase in the maximum dry density (MDD) up to some optimum pozzolana-cement content beyond which the MDD began to decrease. The optimum moisture content (OMC) of the soils decreased with increasing pozzolana-cement content.

The California Bearing Ratio values (CBR) increased with increasing pozzolana-cement content for both the Tsopoli and Doryumu soils. The CBR swell increased with pozzolana-cement content for the Doryumu soil but the Tsopoli soil showed reduction up to 3% pozzolana-cement and then increased beyond 6% pozzolana-cement. The increase in CBR value may be due to the reaction between the pozzolana-cement and the soil. The increase in CBR swell with pozzolana-cement content content may be due to the increase absorption of water by both the soil and the increasing amount of pozzolana-cement.

The increase in strength of the soil could be due to the production of cementitious materials due to the hydration of the pozzolana-cement.

The pozzolana-cement caused significant improvement in the geomechanical characteristics of the black cotton soils especially the bearing strength and could be said to be a good stabilising agent.



## **CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

## 5.1 Conclusion

The research work evaluated the geological and geomechanical characteristics of two black cotton soils in their natural and stabilised states. The main objectives of the study were:

- i. Evaluate the geological characteristics of the black cotton soils occurring in the Accra plains
- ii. Determine the chemical and mineralogical composition of the black cotton soils in the Accra plains of Ghana.
- iii. Evaluate the geomechanical characteristics of natural and stabilised black cotton soils for subgrade construction.
- iv. Attempt to provide an effective soil improvement method applicable to local black cotton soils for engineering purposes.
- v. Suggest some relevant recommendations regarding the use of the natural and stabilised black cotton soils for the benefit of geomechanical practice in Ghana.

From the study the following conclusions may be drawn:

- The chemical composition of the black cotton soils studied reveal that the most abundant oxides are silica, alumina and iron oxide. The mineralogy of the soils from XRD analyses are similar and are composed of Quartz and Montmorillonite.
- The distresses and failures evident in civil engineering structures built on the black cotton soils in the Accra plains of Ghana are due to the presence of expansive clay mineral montmorillonite occurring in the soils. The soils are therefore susceptible to volume change when there is alteration of moisture conditions.

• Attempts to stabilise the soils for use as subgrade material using quarry dust, pozzolana and pozzolana-cement admixtures showed some improvement in some geomechanical parameters. Amongst the three stabilisers considered in the study, pozzolana-cement appears to be the most promising for stabilising the black cotton soils and it is believed that pozzolana contents greater than those used in the study could improve the material for possible use as subgrade material.

# 5.2 **Recommendations**

From the study it is recommended that:

W CORSHE

• Studies be conducted using pozzolana-cement contents greater than those used in this study to stabilize black cotton soils.

NUST

- The effect of curing time on the engineering properties of the pozzolana and pozzolana-cement stabilized black cotton soils be evaluated since pozzolanic reactions are time bound.
- Studies should be conducted on the potential use of geosynthetics in improving the black cotton soils

### REFERENCES

- AASHTO, (1986). Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 14<sup>th</sup> Edition, Washington, D.C.,
- Adeniji, F. A. (1991). Recharge function of vertisolic vadose zone in sub-sahelian Chad Basin. Proc. Intern. Conf. on Arid zone Hydrology and Water Resources, Maiduguri, pp: 331-348.
- Afes, M. and Didier, G. (2000). Stabilisation des sols gon flants: cas d'une argile en provence de Mila (Algerie). Bull. Eng. Geol. Environ. Springer-Verlag, Vol. 59, pp: 75-83
- Ahmad N. and Mermut A. (1996). Vertisols and technoloigies for their management. Developments in soil science 24, Elsevier
- Ahmad, N. (1983). Vertisols. In L.P. Widding.N.E., Smeck N.E. and Hall G.F. (Eds). Pedogenesis and Soil Taxonomy, Vol.11, The soils orders, Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp: 91-123
- Ahmad, N. (1996). Occurrence and distribution of Vertisols. In N. Ahmad and A. Mermut (ed.) Vertisols and technologies for their management. Develop. in Soil Science 24. Elsevier, Amsterdam, the Netherlands pp. 1–41.
- Ahmad, N. and Mermut, A. (1996). Vertisols and technologies for their management, Developments in Soil Science 24, Elsevier Science B.V., Netherland, 480pp
- Ahmed, M. Y. (2008) Mapping the Pliocene Clay Deposits Using Remote Sensingand its Impact on the Urbanization Developments in Egypt: Case Study, East Sohag Area. Geot. Geol Eng 26:579–591
- Aitchison, G.D. Metcalf, J.B. and Richards, B.G. (1962). The pedological pattern of soil occurrence as the basis for an Australia-wide study of engineering characteristics of soils in relation to pavement design and construction. Proc. 1<sup>st</sup> Intern. Conf. Aust. Road Res. Board, Vol. 1, pp: 864-892
- American Society for Testing Materials (ASTM) (1992). Annual book of ASTM standards. Vol. 04.08. Philadelphia
- Ameta N.K., Purohit D.G.M. and Wayal, A.S. (2007). Characteristics, Problems and Remedies of Expansive Soils of Rajasthan, India, EJGE, 7pp.
- Anon (2009). Vertisols. www. agrostats.com/pdf/soils/vertisols.pdf. Monday 7<sup>th</sup> July, 2009, viewed on 11<sup>th</sup> August, 2011.
- Bell, F.G. (1993). Engineering Treatment of Soils. E&FN Spon Publishers, London.

- Bell, F.G. and Culshaw M.G. (2001). Problem Soils, a review of British perspective. In Proc. Symposium on Problematic soils - Jefferson I., Murray E. J. Faragher E. and Fleming P.R. (editors) Nottingham Trent, Thomas Telford Limited. pp: 1-36
- Birkeland, P. W. (1984). Soils and Geomorphology, Oxford University Press, New York.
- Blyth, F.G.H and De Freitas, M.H. (1977). A geology for engineers. English Language Book Society, 6<sup>th</sup> edition, 557pp.
- Brammer, H. (1955). Detailed soil survey of the Kpong pilot irrigation area. Gold Coast department of soil and land-use survey, Memoire No. 1, Kumasi
- Brammer, H. (1967). Soils of the Accra plains. Soil Research Institute, Memoire No., 3
- BS 1377 (1990). Methods of testing soils for civil engineering purposes, British Standards Institution, London.
- Building and Road Research Institute (1985). Highway geotechnical characteristics of selected subgrade materials. BRRI Technical Paper HM/5 Paper 10; 134pp
- Bucher, F. and Sailie, E.L. (1984). Swelling behavior of tropical black clays. Proc. 8<sup>th</sup> African Regional Conference on Soil mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Harare, pp: 81-86
- Canti P., Rodolfi, G., and Schieppati M. (1986). Swelling soils in the Addis Ababa (Ethiopia) metropolitan area and their pedological and engineering geological properties. Proc. 5<sup>th</sup> Intern. Congress Intern. Assoc. Eng. Geology, Vol. 2, 20-25<sup>th</sup> Oct. 1986, Buenos Aires.
- Charlie W.A, Osman A. and Ali E.M. (1984). Construction on expansive soils in Sudan. Journal of construction and management, Vol. 110, No.3, pp: 359-374
- Chen, F.E. (1988). Foundations on Expansive soils. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Amsterdam.
- Clemente, E., Coulombe, C. E., Dixon, J.B and Widding, L.P. (1996). Chapter five -Vertisols and technology for their management. Developments in Soil Science, vol. 24. Elsevier, New York
- Cobbina, J. (1988). Vertisols of Ghana: Uses and potential for improved management using cattle.In Jutz S.C., Haque, I.,McIntire J. and Stares J.E.S.(Eds) Management of Vertisols in sub-Saharan Africa. Proc. of a conference held at ILCA, Addis Ababa- Ethiopia, 31 August-4 September, 1987, pp: 359-378
- Cokea, E. (1999). Effect of fly ash on swell pressure of expansive soil. Electronic Journal Geotechnical Engineering, 9904. Oklahoma State University, Oklahoma.
- Dawson, R.F. (1953). Movement of small houses erected on expansive clay soils. Proc. 3<sup>rd</sup> Intern. Conf. Soil Mech. Found. Eng., Zurich, Vol. 1, pp:641-663

Dickson K.B. and Benneh G. (1980). A new geography of Ghana. Longman, London

- Dudal and Eswaran, H. (1988). Distribution, properties and classification of vertisols. In L.P. Widding and R. Puentes (Eds). Vertisols and their distribution, properties, classification and management. Texas A & M University Printing Centre, Texas.
- Ene, E. and Okagbue, C. (2009). Some basic geotechnical properties of expansive soil modified using pyroclastic dust. Journal of Engineering Geology, Vol. 109, pp: 61-65
- Eswaran, H., Kinble, J and Cook, T. (1988). In classification, management and use potential of swell-shrinkage soils. Transaction of the international workshop on swell-shrinkage soils. Oct 24-28, 1988. National Bureau of soil survey and landuse planning. Nagpur, India, pp: 1-12
- Farooq K. and Virk, K.A. (2009). Improvement of engineering characteristics of expansive clay by sand mixing. In Proc. 17<sup>th</sup> ICSMGE, M. Hamza et al (Eds), pp: 785-788
- Frederickson, A.F. (1952). The genetic significance of mineralogy. In problems of clay and laterite genesis. Amer, Inst. Min. and Metall. Engrg, New York, pp: 1-11
- Fuller, W.B. and Thompson, S.E. (1907). The law of proportioning concrete. Trans. Amer. Soc. Civil Eng., 57.
- Ghana Geological Survey Department (2009). Geological map of Ghana. 1:1 000 000. Ghana Geological Survey Accra Ghana
- Ghana Highway Authority (GHA) (1985). Subgrade soil and moisture condition in relation to environment. Technical paper HM/3, paper 7.
- Ghana Highway Authority (2006). Standard specification for road and bridge works. 452pp
- Gidigasu, M.D. (1980). Some contributions to tropical soils engineering in Ghana. Special Lecture, Proc. 7<sup>th</sup> African Reg. Conf. Soil Mech. Found. Eng., Accra, Vol. 2, pp: 508-626.
- Gidigasu, M.D. (1987). Expansive soils in foundation engineering and building practice relevant to developing countries. CIB report Netherlands, 119pp.
- Gidigasu, M.D. (2009). Engineering pedology and geological considerations in geomechanical characteristics of problem tropically weathered soils with special reference to lateritic and saprolitic soils. Proc. of the ISSMGE international Seminar on Ground Improvement for Accelerated Development, Accra, 2-4<sup>th</sup> February, vol. 2; pp: 55-79.

- Gidigasu, M.D. and Andoh M.B. (1980). Broad regional evaluation of expansive soil conditions for shallow foundations in Ghana. Proc. 7<sup>th</sup> African Reg. Conf. Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Accra pp: 235-251
- Gidigasu, M.D. and Appeagyei, E. (1980). Geotechical evaluation of natural and treated black cotton clay for possible use for low-cost pavement. Proceedings, Reg. Conf. Africa Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, 7<sup>th</sup>, Accra, Vol.1, pp: 363-375.
- Gourley, C.S., Newill, D. and Schreiner, H.D. (1993). Expansive soils: TRL's research strategy. In: Proceedings of the First International Symposium on Engineering Characteristics of Arid Soils, City University, London, 14pp.
- Gosavi, M., Patil, K.A., Mittal, S. and Saran, S. (2004). Improvement of properties of black cotton soil subgrade through synthetic reinforcement, IE(I) J, 84, 257-262.
- Hammond A.A. (1980). Durability of Bauxite waste Pozzolana cement concrete. Special Technical Publication 691, P.J. Sereda and G. G. Lituan (Eds), ASTM, pp: 346-354
- Holtz, R.D. and Kovacs, W.D. (1981). *An Introduction to Geotechnical Engineering*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Holtz, W.G. and Gibbs, H.J. (1956). Engineering properties of expansive clays, Transactions, ASCE, 121, 641–677.
- Hosking, J. S. (1935). A comparative study of the black earths of Australia and the regur of India. Trans. and Proc. Royal Soc., Australia Vol. 59, 168-200
- Ingles, O.G. and Metcalf, J.B. (1972). Soil Stabilization, Butterworths, Sydney, 374 pp.
- Indian Standards 1498 (1987). Indian Standard Classification and Identification of Soils for General Engineering Purposes. BIS, New Delhi, IS 1498.
- Jenning, J. E. (1953). The phenomenon of heaving foundations. Proc. South African Inst. Civil Engrs., Vol.5. No. 9 pp: 264-266
- Jones, D.E. and Holtz, W.G. (1973). Expansive soils—the hidden disaster. *Civil Engineering*, ASCE, 43: 49-51.
- Junner, N.R. and Bates, D.A. (1945). Reports on the geology and hydrology of the coastal areas east of the Akwapim ranges. Ghana Geological Survey Memoir No. 7
- Katti, R.K., Katti Dinesh R. and Katti, A.R. (2002). Behaviour of saturated expansive soils and control methods. A. A. Balkema Publishers.
- Kesse, G.O. (1985). The minerals and rock resources of Ghana. Balkema Publishers, Rotterdam
- Kortatsi, B.K. (2006). Hydrochemical characterisation of groundwater in the Accra plains of Ghana. Environ. Geology, Springer-Verlag, Vol. 50, pp: 299-311
- Krohn, J.P. and Slosson, J.E. (1980). Assessment of Expansive Soils in the United States, 4th International Conference on Expansive Soils, Denver, Co. pp: 596-608
- Lambe, T.W. (1960). The character and identification of expansive soils. Federal Housing Administration, Washington D.C., Technical studies Report, 45pp
- Manchikanti, S. and Raju, G. V. R. P. (2008). A Laboratory Study on the Effect of Electrolytes on Properties of Expansive Soil used in Pavement Sub-grade. IE(I) Journal–CV, vol. 88, 4pp
- McKeen, R.G. (1976). Design and construction of airport pavements on expansive soils U.S. department of transportation federal aviation administration. Report No. FAA; RO'76-66
- Mgangira, M.B. and Paige-Green, P. (2008a). "Evaluation of damage to a road and sports complex on expansive clays." *Proc.*, 6th Int. Conf. on Case Histories in Geotechnical Engineering, Missouri University of Science and Technology, Arlington, Va., Paper 8.08b.
- Mgangira, M.B. and Paige-Green, P. (2008b). Premature distress of a pavement on expansive black cotton soil in the Horn of Africa.
- Mermut, A.R., Padmanabham, F., Eswaran, H., and Dosog, G.S. (1996). Pedogenesis. In: Ahmad, N., Mermut, A. (Eds.), Vertisols and Technologies for their Management. Developments in Soil Science, Vol. 24. Elsevier, New York
- Michael, A.M. (2006). Irrigation theory and practice. Vilcan publishing house PVT Ltd. Reprint, 801pp.
- Mitchell, J.K. and Soga, K. (2005). Fundamentals of Soil Behavior. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 559pp.
- Mohr, E.C.J. and Van Baren, F.A. (1959). Tropical soils. W. Van Heove, The Haque, 498pp.
- Murthy, V.N.S. (2010). Geotechnical Engineering. Principles and practices of soil mechanics and foundation engineering. Marcel Dekker Inc.USA, 1029pp.
- Nelson, J.D., Miller, D.J. (1992). Expansive soils: problem and practice in foundation and pavement engineering. John Wiley & Sons, New York
- Ng, C.W.W., Zhan, L.T., Bao, C.G., Fredlund, D.G. and Gong B.W. (2003). Performance of an unsaturated expansive soil slope subjected to artificial rainfall infiltration. Geotechnique, 53 No., 2 pp: 143-157
- Nwaiwu, C.M.O. and Nuhu, I. (2006). Evaluation and prediction of the swelling characteristics of Nigerian black clays. Geot. Geol. Eng., 24: 45–56

- Okagbue, C.O. (1990). Expansive soils in engineering construction: a review of practices. Journal of Mining and Geology 2, 123–129.
- Ola, S.A. (1975). Stabilization of Nigeria laterite soils with cement, bitumen and lime. Proceeding of the 6th Regional Conference for Africa on Soil Mechanics Foundation Engineering, pp. 181–188
- Ola, S.A. (1978). The geology and geotechnical properties of the black cotton soils of Northeastern Nigeria, Engineering Geology, 12, 375–391
- Ola, S.A. (1983). The geotechnical properties of the black cotton soil of Northeastern Nigeria. In Tropical soils of Nigeria in Engineering Practice, Ola S.A. (Ed). A. A. Balkema Publishers, Rotterdam, pp: 85-101.
- Ola, S.A. (1987). Laboratory testing and geotechnical characterization of black cotton soil and expansive shales in Nigeria. 9th African Regional conference on soil mechanics and foundation. Engineering. Vol.2, A.A. Balkema Publishers, pp: 991-995.
- Oriola, F. and Moses, G. (2010). Groundnut shell ash stabilisation of black cotton soils. EJGE Journal, Vol. 15, pp: 415-428
- Oscar, K., Michel, R., and Jeannine, B. (1977). Pédologie Nouvel aspect de la formation des smectite dan les vertisols. CRA Acad. Sc. Paris t 284, Séries D, pp: 733-735
- Osinubi, K.J. (2000). Stabilisation of Tropical black clays with cement and pulverised coal bottom ash admixture. In Advances in unsaturated Geotechnics, ASCE Geotechnical Special Publication 99, C.D. Shackelford, S.L. Honslon and N-Y. Chang (eds). pp: 289-302
- Osinubi, K.J. (2006). Influence of compactive effort on lime-slag treated tropical black clay. Journal of materials in civil engineering, ASCE Vol. 18, No.2, pp: 175-181
- Osinubi, K. J. and Ijimdya, T. S. (2008). Laboratory investigation of engineering use of bagasse ash. Nigerian Society of Engineers Technical Transactions, Vol. 43, No. 1, pp. 1-17.
- Osman, M.A. and Charlie, W. (1983). Expansive soils in Sudan. Building and Road Research Institute, Current Paper No. CP 3/83, University of Khartoum, Khartoum, Sudan,
- Pearring, J.R. (1963). A study of basic mineralogical, physical, chemical, and engineering index properties of laterite soils. Ph.D. Dissertation, Texas A&M Univ., College
- Quist, L.G. (1976). A preliminary report on the groundwater assessment of the Accra plains. Unpublished technical report, WRRI, CSIR, Accra

- Rao M.R., Rao, A.S. and Babu, R.D. (2008). Efficiency of cement-stabilised fly-ash cushion in arresting heave in expansive soils. Geot. Geol. eng. Vol. 26, pp: 89-127
- Rao, S.A. and Rao, M.R. (2008). Swell-shrink behaviour of expansive soils under stabilised fly ash cushion. Proc. 12<sup>th</sup> Intern. Conf. Intern. Assoc. Computer Methods and Advances in Geomechanics (IACMAG), Goa-India, pp: 1539-1546
- Rao, M.S. (2006). Identification and classification of expansive soils. In Amer Ali Al Rawas, Mathheus F.A., Goosen (edited) Expansive soils: Recent advances in characterisation and treatment. A. A. Balkema Publishers, pp: 15-25
- Rao, S.M., Reddy, B.V.V. and Muttharam, M. (2001). The impact of cyclic wetting and drying on the swelling behaviour of stabilised expansive soils
- Ranganathan, B.V. (1961). Soil structure and consolidation characteristics of black cotton clay. Geotechnique, Vol. 11, pp: 333-338
- Reddy, P.V.S., Rao, K.M., Ran, C.S. (2009). Identification of expansive soils and assessment of expansive potential by fuzzy approach. EJGE, Vol. 14.
- Robinson, G.W. (1949). Soils, their origin, constitution and classification. Thomas Murby and Co., London, 537pp.
- Ruwaih, L.A. (1987). Experiences with expansive soils in Saudi Arabia. In Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Expansive Soils. New Delhi, India , pp. 3 17-322.
- Sahoo, J.P. and Pradhan, P.K. (2010). Effect of lime stabilisation soil cushion on strength behaviour of expansive soils. Geot. Geol. Eng. Vol. 28, pp: 889-897
- Sahu, B.K. (2000). Engineering characteristics of black cotton soils from Francistown. Proc. unsaturated soils for Asia. Rahardjo, Tool and Leong (Eds), Balkema, Rotterdam
- Sahu, B.K., Gichaga, F.J. and Visweswaraiya T.S. (1988). Atterberg Limits of Nairobi Soils. In Geomechanics in Tropical Soils. Proc. 2<sup>nd</sup> Intern. Conf. on Geomechanics in Tropical Soils. A. A Balkema Publishers, pp: 211-214.
- Seehra, S.S. (2008). Practical Problems of Highway Construction in Black Cotton Soil Areas and In-Place Remedial Measures: A Case Study. http:// www.mcw.com/article/roads/ 307.html
- Sivapullaiah, P.V. (2010). Potassium chloride treatment to control annual heave in black cotton soils. Geot. Geol Eng., Vol. 28, pp: 27-36
- Sivapullaiah, P.V. and Prakash N.B.S. (2007). Electroosmotic flow behaviour of metal contaminated expansive soil. Journal of Hazardous Materials 143, 682–689

- Sivapullaiah, P.V. and Reddy, H.P.P. (2010). Potassium Chloride Treatment to Control Alkali Induced Heave in Black Cotton Soil. Geot. Geol Eng (2010) 28:27–36
- Skempton, A. W. (1953). The Colloidal Activity of Clay," Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Vol. 1, pp. 57-61.
- Snethen, D. R., Townsend , F.C., Johnson, L. D., Patrick, D. M., and Vedros, P. J. (1975). A review of engineering experiences with expansive soils in highway subgrades. U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Report No. FFWA-RD-75-48, 137pp.
- Soils and Land Resource Division, University of Idaho (undated). Vertisols. Soil Taxonomy. soils.cals.uidaho.edu/soilorders/vertisols.htm. Viewed on the 21<sup>st</sup> September, 2011.
- Steinberg, M. (1998). Geomembranes and the control of expansive soils in construction. New York, McGraw-Hill Publishers
- Stephen, I. (1953). A petrographical studies of a tropical black earth and grey earth from the Gold Coast. Journal of Soil Science, Vol. 4, No. 2, pp: 211-219
- Swindale, L.D. (1987). Developing, Testing and transferring improved vertisol technology, the Indian experience. In Jutz S.C., Haque, I.,McIntire J. and Stares J.E.S.(Eds) Management of Vertisols in sub-Saharan Africa. Proc. of a conference held at ILCA, Addis Ababa- Ethiopia, 31 August-4 September, 1987, pp: 13-43.
- Terzaghi, K. (1959). Discussing of Jenning (1950). Journal of South African Inst. Civil Engrs., Vol.49. No. 4
- United States Agency for International Development (USAID)/Building and Road Research Institute (BRRI), (1971). Laterite and Lateritic Soils and other Problem Soils of Africa. An Engineering Study Report, AID/CSD – 2164, 290 pp.
- Van Der, D.H. (1964). The weathering of some basic igneous rock and their engineering properties. Trans. South African Institution of Civil Engineers, pp 213-222
- Virmani, S.M. (1988). Agroclimatology of the vertisols and vertic soil areas of Africa. In Jutz S.C., Haque, I.,McIntire J. and Stares J.E.S.(Eds) Management of Vertisols in sub-Saharan Africa. Proc. of a conference held at ILCA, Addis Ababa- Ethiopia, 31 August-4 September, 1987, pp: 44-63
- Warren, K.W. (1995). So Your Home is built on Expansive Soils, A discussion on how expansive soils affect buildings. American Society of Civil Engineers
- Weinert, H.H., (1980). The natural road construction materials of Southern Africa. Academica Press, Pretoria, 298pp.

- Wesley, L.D. (1988). Engineering classification of residual soils. Proc. 2<sup>nd</sup> Intern. Conf. on Geomechanics in Tropical Soils, Singapore, 12-14 Dec., 1988, Balkema, Vol. 1, pp: 77-84.
- Yahia E.A., Mohamedzein, M.G., Mohamed, A.M., El Sharief (1999). Finite element analysis of short piles in expansive soils. Computers and Geotechnics 24, Elsevier Publishers, pp: 231-243
- Youssef, A.M. (2008). Mapping the Pliocene Clay Deposits Using Remote Sensing and its Impact on the Urbanization Developments in Egypt: Case Study, East Sohag Area. Geot. Geol Eng. Vol.26, pp: 579–591
- Zemienu, G., Martine, A. and Roger, C. (2009). Analysis of the behaviour of natural expansive soils under cyclic drying and wetting. Bull. Eng. Geol. Environ. Vol. 68 pp: 421-436
- Zhan, W.W.C., Ng, B. L.T., Fredlund, D.G. and Gong, B.W. (2003). Performance of an unsaturated expansive soil slope subjected to artificial rainfall infiltration. Geotechnique 53, No. 2, pp: 143-157





| Free Swell Index | Degree of expansivity |
|------------------|-----------------------|
| <50%             | Low                   |
| 50-100           | Medium                |
| 100-200          | High                  |
| >200             | Very High             |

Appendix 1 The rating of soils based on Free Swell Index (IS 1498, 1987)



**Appendix 3: Sample Test Data** 



## Natural moisture content determination sheet

# NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT DETERMINATION

## PROJECT:- Black cotton soil Research

SITE Tsopoli

|                              |   | DATE  |       |       |       |               |          |       |       |          |       |
|------------------------------|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------|----------|-------|-------|----------|-------|
| SAMPLE No                    |   | Т     | TP1   |       | TP1   |               | TP1      |       |       | TP1      |       |
| SAMPLE DEPTH                 | m | 0     | .5    | 51    | 1/3   |               | 1.4-1.85 |       | 2.7   | 2.75-3.0 |       |
| CONTAINER No                 |   | D4    | X1    | X4    | _X11  | D6            | D2       | D4    | D5    | D16      | D15   |
| MASS OF CONTAINER            | g | 18.2  | 18.33 | 18.19 | 17.85 | 9.79          | 9.41     | 9.75  | 9.72  | 9.42     | 9.57  |
| MASS OF CONTAINER + WET SOIL | g | 66.59 | 66.89 | 58.3  | 55.01 | 58.24         | 66.02    | 60.27 | 56    | 81.39    | 71.23 |
| MASS OF CONTAINER + DRY SOIL | g | 54.01 | 54.38 | 46.8  | 44.48 | 45.96         | 51.2     | 47.37 | 44.34 | 63.79    | 56.33 |
| MASS OF WATER                | g | 12.58 | 12.51 | 11.45 | 10.53 | 12.28         | 14.82    | 12.90 | 11.66 | 17.60    | 14.90 |
| MASS OF DRY SOIL             | g | 35.77 | 36.05 | 28.61 | 26.63 | 36.17         | 41.79    | 37.62 | 34.62 | 54.37    | 46.76 |
| MOISTURE CONTENT             | % | 35.17 | 34.70 | 40.02 | 39.54 | <b>33.9</b> 5 | 35.46    | 34.29 | 33.68 | 32.37    | 31.86 |
| AVERAGE MOISTURE CONTENT     | % | 34    | 4.9   | 3     | 9.8   | 34            | .7       | 34.0  | )     | 32       | .1    |



# Typical specific gravity data sheet

|  | SPECIFIC GRAVITY DETERMINATION |              |      |          |        |      |             |              |             |             |            |            |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------|------|----------|--------|------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|
|  |                                |              |      |          |        |      |             |              |             |             |            |            |
| SAMPLE ID  | TSO<br>1.4                     | POLI<br>-2.0 | TSO  | POLI 0.5 | QUARRY | DUST | TSO<br>0.3- | POLI<br>•1.4 | DORY<br>1-1 | /UMU<br>.36 | DORY<br>1. | YUMU<br>36 |
| Pycnometer Bottle No.  | Α                              | В            | С    | D        | E      | F    | G           | Н            | Ι           | J           | Κ          | L          |
| Mass of empty pycnometer<br>+ stopper (m1)                           | 20.0                           | 22.9         | 27.1 | 24.1     | 23.7   | 30.6 | 20          | 22.9         | 27.1        | 24.1        | 23.7       | 30.6       |
| Mass of empty pycnometer<br>+ soil (m2)                              | 30.0                           | 32.9         | 37.1 | 34.1     | 33.7   | 40.6 | 30          | 32.9         | 37.1        | 34.1        | 33.7       | 40.6       |
| Mass of empty pycnometer<br>+ soil + Liquid (m3)                     | 74.3                           | 78.9         | 83.1 | 82.5     | 83.9   | 78.4 | 74.4        | 78.9         | 83.1        | 82.7        | 83.5       | 78         |
| Mass of pycnometer Bottle<br>+ Liquid (m4)                           | 67.8                           | 72.4         | 76.5 | 76.0     | 76.9   | 71.4 | 67.8        | 72.4         | 76.5        | 76          | 76.9       | 71.4       |
| Specific Gravity, $\rho s = ((m2-m1)/(m4-m1)-(m3-m2)) \times \rho L$ | 2.33                           | 2.33         | 2.40 | 2.33     | 2.72   | 2.72 | 2.40        | 2.33         | 2.40        | 2.48        | 2.40       | 2.40       |
| Average Specific Gravity   | 2.                             | 33           |      | 2.37     | 2.72   |      | 2.          | 37           | 2.          | 44          | 2          | 40         |





Typical Grading test data



| PROJEC               | T:          | BLACK (    | COTTON S                             | SOIL RESE   | EARCH       |             |                   |           | DESCRI          | PHON:              |            |
|----------------------|-------------|------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|
| SAMPLE               | : DORYL     | JMU15%F    | ozzo                                 |             |             |             |                   |           |                 |                    |            |
| GRADING              | G TEST      | Total Dry  | Weight =                             | 50.0        | -           |             |                   | Total Dry | Weight =        | 50.22              |            |
| Siev                 | e size      | Weight     | Percentage                           |             |             |             | Siev              | e size    | Weight          | Percentage         | Percentage |
| BS<br>designation    | Metric      | retained   | retained                             | passing     |             |             | BS<br>designation | Metric    | retained        | retained           | passing    |
| Ŭ                    | (mm)        | (g)        | (%)                                  | (%)         |             | 111.1       | C-T               | (mm)      | (g)             | (%)                | (%)        |
| 3 in                 | 75.00       |            |                                      |             | $K \wedge$  |             | No. 7             | 2.00      | 0.76            | 1.51               | 98.49      |
| $2\frac{1}{2}$ in    | 63.00       |            |                                      |             |             | U V         | No. 14            | 1.00      | 1.50            | 2.99               | 95.50      |
| 2 in                 | 53.00       |            |                                      |             |             |             | No. 25            | 0.600     | 1.04            | 2.07               | 93.43      |
| $1\frac{1}{2}$ in    | 37.10       |            |                                      | 100.00      |             |             | No. 36            | 0.425     | 0.89            | 1.77               | 91.66      |
| 1 in                 | 26.50       |            | 0.0                                  | 100.00      |             |             | No. 52            | 0.300     | 1.31            | 2.61               | 89.05      |
| $\frac{3}{4}$ in     | 19.00       |            | 0.0                                  | 100.00      |             |             | NO. 72            | 0.200     | 1.99            | 3.96               | 85.09      |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ in     | 13.20       | 0.00       | 0.0                                  | 100.00      | N.          |             | No. 100           | 0.100     | 4.40            | 8.76               | 76.32      |
| 3∕8 in               | 9.50        | 0.00       | 0.0                                  | 100.00      |             |             | No. 200           | 0.075     | 1.56            | 3.11               | 73.22      |
| $\frac{1}{4}$        | 6.70        | 0.00       | 0.0                                  | 100.00      |             |             |                   |           |                 |                    |            |
| 3/16                 | 4.75        | 0.00       | 0.0                                  | 100.00      |             | 2           |                   |           |                 |                    |            |
| 1/8                  | 3.35        | 0.00       | 0.0                                  | 100.00      | 1           |             |                   |           | 1               |                    |            |
|                      |             |            |                                      |             | - >         | 19          | L'                | -         | -               |                    |            |
|                      |             |            | 1                                    |             | Hydromete   | er readings | 13                | 17        |                 |                    |            |
| Elapsed<br>tme,(min) | Time (mins) | Temp (° c) | Direct<br>hydrometer<br>readings Rh' | Reading Rh' | Rh=Rh' + Cm | Hr (mm)     | Viscosity         | D (mm)    | Temp<br>Corr,Mt | Rd= Rh'-<br>Ro'+Mt | K (%)      |
| 0.50                 | 7:50        | 27.50      | 1.0220                               | 22.00       | 22.500      | 111.725     | 0.837             | 0.060     | 1.654           | 20.054             | 64.89      |
| 1.00                 | 7:51        | 27.50      | 1.0215                               | 21.50       | 22.000      | 113.700     | 0.837             | 0.043     | 1.654           | 19.554             | 63.27      |
| 2.00                 | 7:52        | 27.50      | 1.0208                               | 20.80       | 21.300      | 116.465     | 0.837             | 0.031     | 1.654           | 18.854             | 61.01      |
| 4.00                 | 7:54        | 27.50      | 1.0205                               | 20.50       | 21.000      | 117.650     | 0.837             | 0.022     | 1.654           | 18.554             | 60.04      |
| 8.00                 | 7:58        | 27.50      | 1.0197                               | 19.70       | 20.200      | 120.810     | 0.837             | 0.016     | 1.654           | 17.754             | 57.45      |
| 15.00                | 8:05        | 27.50      | 1.0194                               | 19.40       | 19.900      | 121.995     | 0.837             | 0.011     | 1.654           | 17.454             | 56.48      |
| 30.00                | 8:20        | 27.00      | 1.0190                               | 19.00       | 19.500      | 123.575     | 0.847             | 0.008     | 1.525           | 16.925             | 54.77      |
| 60.00                | 8:50        | 27.00      | 1.0182                               | 18.20       | 18.700      | 126.735     | 0.847             | 0.006     | 1.525           | 16.125             | 52.18      |
| 120.00               | 9:50        | 27.00      | 1.0180                               | 18.00       | 18.500      | 127.525     | 0.847             | 0.004     | 1.525           | 15.925             | 51.53      |
| 240.00               | 11:50       | 26.00      | 1.0175                               | 17.50       | 18.000      | 129.500     | 0.867             | 0.003     | 1.275           | 15.175             | 49.10      |
| 1440.00              | 7:50        | 27.00      | 1.0166                               | 16.60       | 17.100      | 133.055     | 0.847             | 0.001     | 1.525           | 14.525             | 47.00      |

| PROJEC               | ;T:         | BLACK CC   | TTON SOI                             | L RESEARC   | Ж                   |             |             |           |                 |                    |            |
|----------------------|-------------|------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|
| SAMPLE               | : т         | SOPOLI 0.5 | im                                   |             |                     |             |             |           |                 |                    |            |
| GRADING              | G TEST      | Total Dry  | Weight =                             | 50.0        |                     |             |             | Total Dry | Weight =        | 50.0               |            |
| Siev                 | e size      | Weight     | Percentage                           |             | ]                   |             | Sieve       | e size    | Weight          | Percentage         | Percentage |
| BS                   | Metric      | retained   | retained                             | passing     |                     |             | BS          | Metric    | retained        | retained           | passing    |
| designation          | (mm)        | (g)        | (%)                                  | (%)         |                     |             | designation | (mm)      | (g)             | (%)                | (%)        |
| 3 in                 | 75.00       |            |                                      |             |                     |             | No. 7       | 2.00      | 0.00            | 0.00               | 100.00     |
| $2\frac{1}{2}$ in    | 63.00       |            |                                      |             | $\langle   \rangle$ | IU          | No. 14      | 1.00      | 0.10            | 0.20               | 99.80      |
| 2 in                 | 53.00       |            |                                      | _           |                     |             | No. 25      | 0.600     | 0.20            | 0.40               | 99.40      |
| $1\frac{1}{2}$ in    | 37.10       |            |                                      | 100.00      |                     | 20.         | No. 36      | 0.425     | 0.27            | 0.54               | 98.86      |
| 1 in                 | 26.50       |            | 0.0                                  | 100.00      |                     |             | No. 52      | 0.300     | 0.44            | 0.88               | 97.98      |
| $\frac{3}{4}$ in     | 19.00       |            | 0.0                                  | 100.00      |                     |             | NO. 72      | 0.200     | 0.75            | 1.50               | 96.48      |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ in     | 13.20       |            | 0.0                                  | 100.00      | N.                  |             | No. 100     | 0.100     | 2.23            | 4.46               | 92.02      |
| 3∕8 in               | 9.50        |            | 0.0                                  | 100.00      | 5.17                |             | No. 200     | 0.075     | 1.24            | 2.48               | 89.54      |
| $\frac{1}{4}$        | 6.70        |            | 0.0                                  | 100.00      |                     |             |             |           |                 |                    |            |
| 3/16                 | 4.75        | 0.00       | 0.0                                  | 100.00      |                     |             |             |           |                 |                    |            |
| 1/8                  | 3.35        | 0.00       | 0.0                                  | 100.00      |                     |             |             |           | 1               |                    |            |
|                      |             |            |                                      |             |                     | 100         | L           | -         | -               |                    |            |
|                      |             |            | -                                    | 22          | Hydromete           | er readings |             | F         |                 |                    |            |
| Elapsed<br>tme,(min) | Time (mins) | Temp (° c) | Direct<br>hydrometer<br>readings Rh' | Reading Rh' | Rh=Rh' + Cm         | Hr (mm)     | Viscosity   | D (mm)    | Temp<br>Corr,Mt | Rd= Rh'-<br>Ro'+Mt | K (%)      |
| 0.50                 | 7:50        | 27.50      | 1.0256                               | 25.60       | 26.100              | 97.505      | 0.837       | 0.056     | 1.654           | 23.654             | 76.88      |
| 1.00                 | 7:51        | 27.50      | 1.0255                               | 25.50       | 26.000              | 97.900      | 0.837       | 0.040     | 1.654           | 23.554             | 76.55      |
| 2.00                 | 7:52        | 27.50      | 1.0248                               | 24.80       | 25.300              | 100.665     | 0.837       | 0.028     | 1.654           | 22.854             | 74.28      |
| 4.00                 | 7:54        | 27.50      | 1.0240                               | 24.00       | 24.500              | 103.825     | 0.837       | 0.020     | 1.654           | 22.054             | 71.68      |
| 8.00                 | 7:58        | 27.50      | 1.0237                               | 23.70       | 24.200              | 105.010     | 0.837       | 0.014     | 1.654           | 21.754             | 70.70      |
| 15.00                | 8:05        | 27.50      | 1.0233                               | 23.30       | 23.800              | 106.590     | 0.837       | 0.011     | 1.654           | 21.354             | 69.40      |
| 30.00                | 8:20        | 27.50      | 1.0229                               | 22.90       | 23.400              | 108.170     | 0.837       | 0.008     | 1.654           | 20.954             | 68.10      |
| 60.00                | 8:50        | 27.50      | 1.0224                               | 22.40       | 22.900              | 110.145     | 0.837       | 0.005     | 1.654           | 20.454             | 66.48      |
| 120.00               | 9:50        | 27.50      | 1.0220                               | 22.00       | 22.500              | 111.725     | 0.837       | 0.004     | 1.654           | 20.054             | 65.18      |
| 240.00               | 11:50       | 27.50      | 1.0215                               | 21.50       | 22.000              | 113.700     | 0.837       | 0.003     | 1.654           | 19.554             | 63.55      |
| 1440.00              | 7:50        | 28.00      | 1.0201                               | 20.10       | 20,600              | 119.230     | 0.828       | 0.001     | 1.786           | 18,286             | 59.43      |

| PROJEC               | :T:         | BLACK C    | OTTON S                              | OIL RESE    | EARCH               |            |                   |           | DESCRI          | PTION:             |            |
|----------------------|-------------|------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|
| SAMPLE               | : 100%Po    | ozzolana   |                                      |             |                     |            |                   |           |                 |                    |            |
| GRADING              | G TEST      | Total Dry  | Weight =                             | 50.0        | _                   |            |                   | Total Dry | Weight =        | 50.0               |            |
| Siev                 | e size      | Weight     | Percentage                           |             |                     |            | Sieve             | e size    | Weight          | Percentage         | Percentage |
| BS<br>designation    | Metric      | retained   | retained                             | passing     |                     |            | BS<br>designation | Metric    | retained        | retained           | passing    |
|                      | (mm)        | (g)        | (%)                                  | (%)         | 2.6                 | сн. н.     |                   | (mm)      | (g)             | (%)                | (%)        |
| 3 in                 | 75.00       |            |                                      |             |                     |            | No. 7             | 2.00      | 0.02            | 0.04               | 99.96      |
| $2\frac{1}{2}$ in    | 63.00       |            |                                      |             | $\langle   \rangle$ | IU.        | No. 14            | 1.00      | 0.05            | 0.10               | 99.86      |
| 2 in                 | 53.00       |            |                                      |             |                     |            | No. 25            | 0.600     | 0.08            | 0.16               | 99.70      |
| $1\frac{1}{2}$ in    | 37.10       |            |                                      | 100.00      |                     |            | No. 36            | 0.425     | 0.16            | 0.32               | 99.38      |
| 1 in                 | 26.50       |            | 0.0                                  | 100.00      |                     | 1          | No. 52            | 0.300     | 0.60            | 1.20               | 98.18      |
| $\frac{3}{4}$ in     | 19.00       |            | 0.0                                  | 100.00      |                     |            | NO. 72            | 0.200     | 1.78            | 3.56               | 94.62      |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ in     | 13.20       | 0.00       | 0.0                                  | 100.00      | N.                  |            | No. 100           | 0.100     | 6.82            | 13.64              | 80.98      |
| 3∕8 in               | 9.50        | 0.00       | 0.0                                  | 100.00      |                     |            | No. 200           | 0.075     | 2.87            | 5.74               | 75.24      |
| $\frac{1}{4}$        | 6.70        | 0.00       | 0.0                                  | 100.00      |                     |            |                   |           |                 |                    |            |
| 3/16                 | 4.75        | 0.00       | 0.0                                  | 100.00      |                     | 2          |                   |           |                 |                    |            |
| 1/8                  | 3.35        | 0.00       | 0.0                                  | 100.00      | 1                   |            |                   |           | 1               |                    |            |
|                      |             |            |                                      |             | - >7                | 1-2        | The               | -         |                 |                    |            |
|                      |             |            |                                      | ~           | Hydromete           | r readings | 135               | 5         |                 |                    |            |
| Elapsed<br>tme,(min) | Time (mins) | Temp (° c) | Direct<br>hydrometer<br>readings Rh' | Reading Rh' | Rh=Rh' + Cm         | Hr (mm)    | Viscosity         | D (mm)    | Temp<br>Corr,Mt | Rd= Rh'-<br>Ro'+Mt | K (%)      |
| 0.50                 | 7:50        | 26.00      | 1.0230                               | 23.00       | 23.500              | 107.775    | 0.867             | 0.060     | 1.275           | 20.675             | 67.19      |
| 1.00                 | 7:51        | 26.00      | 1.0200                               | 20.00       | 20.500              | 119.625    | 0.867             | 0.045     | 1.275           | 17.675             | 57.44      |
| 2.00                 | 7:52        | 26.00      | 1.0186                               | 18.60       | 19.100              | 125.155    | 0.867             | 0.032     | 1.275           | 16.275             | 52.89      |
| 4.00                 | 7:54        | 26.00      | 1.0170                               | 17.00       | 17.500              | 131.475    | 0.867             | 0.023     | 1.275           | 14.675             | 47.69      |
| 8.00                 | 7:58        | 26.00      | 1.0156                               | 15.60       | 16.100              | 137.005    | 0.867             | 0.017     | 1.275           | 13.275             | 43.14      |
| 15.00                | 8:05        | 26.00      | 1.0140                               | 14.00       | 14.500              | 143.325    | 0.867             | 0.013     | 1.275           | 11.675             | 37.94      |
| 30.00                | 8:20        | 26.00      | 1.0120                               | 12.00       | 12.500              | 151.225    | 0.867             | 0.009     | 1.275           | 9.675              | 31.44      |
| 60.00                | 8:50        | 26.00      | 1.0107                               | 10.70       | 11.200              | 156.360    | 0.867             | 0.007     | 1.275           | 8.375              | 27.22      |
| 120.00               | 9:50        | 26.00      | 1.0095                               | 9.50        | 10.000              | 161.100    | 0.867             | 0.005     | 1.275           | 7.175              | 23.32      |
| 240.00               | 11:50       | 25.50      | 1.0085                               | 8.50        | 9.000               | 165.050    | 0.877             | 0.003     | 1.153           | 6.053              | 19.67      |
| 1440.00              | 7:50        | 25.00      | 1.0055                               | 5.50        | 6.000               | 176.900    | 0.888             | 0.001     | 1.035           | 2.935              | 9.54       |

# Typical liquid and plastic limit data



### GEOLOGICAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT BLACK COTTON SOIL RESEARCH ATTERBERG LIMIT DETERMINATION

## DORYUML

|                      |       |               | Liquid Limit |       |       |       |
|----------------------|-------|---------------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|
| CONT. NO             | A25   | B30           | B15          | X6    | B6    | B14   |
| WEIGHT OF CONT.      | 3.78  | 3.69          | 3.72         | 3.67  | 3.68  | 3.69  |
| PENETRATION (mm)     | 8.8   | 11.5          | 14.5         | 18.1  | 23.8  | 31.1  |
| WET+CONT             | 14.58 | 12.9 <b>2</b> | 13.86        | 16.71 | 17.57 | 17.94 |
| DRY SAMPLE+CONT      | 10.66 | 9.4           | 9.77         | 11.19 | 11.4  | 11.18 |
| WEIGHT OF WATER      | 3.92  | 3.52          | 4.09         | 5.52  | 6.17  | 6.76  |
| WEIGHT OF DRY SAMPLE | 6.88  | 5.71          | 6.05         | 7.52  | 7.72  | 7.49  |
| WATER CONTENT %      | 56.98 | 61.65         | 67.60        | 73.40 | 79.92 | 90.25 |

|                      | plastic | limit | 115               | ч     |      |
|----------------------|---------|-------|-------------------|-------|------|
| CONT. NO             | X18     | K18   |                   |       |      |
| WEIGHT OF CONT.      | 3.7     | 3.72  | 2                 |       |      |
| WET+CONT             | 17.9    | 15.09 | $\langle \rangle$ |       |      |
| DRY SAMPLE+CONT      | 14.89   | 12.73 |                   | 1     | -    |
| WEIGHT OF WATER      | 3.01    | 2.36  | 1                 | A     | £.   |
| WEIGHT OF DRY SAMPLE | 11.19   | 9.01  |                   | 3Z    | 7    |
| WATER CONTENT %      | 26.90   | 26.19 | $\geq$ LL $\geq$  | PL    | PI   |
|                      | 26.5    | 55    | 74.68             | 26.55 | 48.1 |



### GEOLOGICAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT BLACK COTTON SOIL RESEARCH ATTERBERG LIMIT DETERMINATION

# Doryumu 15% Pozzo

|                      |       |       | Liquid Limit |       |       |
|----------------------|-------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|
| CONT. NO             | B10   | A30   | B23          | B1    | C6    |
| WEIGHT OF CONT.      | 3.55  | 3.58  | 3.71         | 3.76  | 3.61  |
| PENETRATION (mm)     | 12.7  | 17.7  | 19.7         | 22.3  | 28.6  |
| WET+CONT             | 16.17 | 15.4  | 15. <b>3</b> | 14.75 | 18.86 |
| DRY SAMPLE+CONT      | 11.58 | 10.81 | 10.65        | 10.23 | 12.17 |
| WEIGHT OF WATER      | 4.59  | 4.59  | 4.65         | 4.52  | 6.69  |
| WEIGHT OF DRY SAMPLE | 8.03  | 7.23  | 6.94         | 6.47  | 8.56  |
| WATER CONTENT %      | 57.16 | 63.49 | 67.00        | 69.86 | 78.15 |
|                      |       |       |              |       |       |

|                      | plast | ic limit | 11    | 2     |       |
|----------------------|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| CONT. NO             | A12   | C9       |       |       |       |
| WEIGHT OF CONT.      | 3.69  | 3.69     |       |       |       |
| WET+CONT             | 14.89 | 13.67    |       |       |       |
| DRY SAMPLE+CONT      | 12.82 | 11.77    |       | 21    |       |
| WEIGHT OF WATER      | 2.07  | 1.9      | K I   |       | F     |
| WEIGHT OF DRY SAMPLE | 9.13  | 8.08     |       | 12    | 9     |
| WATER CONTENT %      | 22.67 | 23.51    | 11-   | PL    | PI    |
|                      |       |          | 00.07 | 00.00 | 40.00 |



### GEOLOGICAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT BLACK COTTON SOIL RESEARCH ATTERBERG LIMIT DETERMINATION

### Liquid Limit CONT. NO K6 C4 A2 C17 B16 WEIGHT OF CONT. 3.57 3.59 3.65 3.62 3.64 PENETRATION (mm) 10.7 16.7 19.4 22.4 30.2 WET+CONT 14.94 19.07 17.1 17.65 21.26 DRY SAMPLE+CONT 10.21 12.27 11.06 11.25 12.83 6.4 WEIGHT OF WATER 4.73 6.8 6.04 8.43 WEIGHT OF DRY SAMPLE 6.56 8.7 7.47 7.63 9.19 WATER CONTENT % 72.10 78.16 80.86 83.88 91.73

### **TSOPOLI - 6% Pozzolana- cement**

|                      | plastic | limit |     |    |    |
|----------------------|---------|-------|-----|----|----|
| CONT. NO             | C23     | X17   | 24  |    |    |
| WEIGHT OF CONT.      | 3.77    | 3.77  |     |    |    |
| WET+CONT             | 13.97   | 13.08 |     |    |    |
| DRY SAMPLE+CONT      | 11.67   | 10.98 |     |    |    |
| WEIGHT OF WATER      | 2.3     | 2.1   | 200 | 1  | -  |
| WEIGHT OF DRY SAMPLE | 7.9     | 7.21  | Pr- | 77 | 7  |
| WATER CONTENT %      | 29.11   | 29.13 | LLS | PL | PI |
|                      |         | ~~~~  |     |    |    |



| linear shrinkage  |                   |                 |          |                |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Soil              | Initial length lo | Final length lf | lf/lo    | 1-(lo/lf) *100 |  |  |  |  |
| Doyumu Raw        | 14                | 11.15           | 0.796429 | 20.36          |  |  |  |  |
| Tsopoli Raw       | 12.8              | 9.7             | 0.757813 | 24.22          |  |  |  |  |
| T15% Pozzo        | 14                | 10.675          | 0.7625   | 23.75          |  |  |  |  |
| T12% Pozzo        | 13.9              | 10.75           | 0.773381 | 22.66          |  |  |  |  |
| T9% Pozzo         | 12.7              | 9.775           | 0.769685 | 23.03          |  |  |  |  |
| T90D10            | 13.9              | 10.6            | 0.76259  | 23.74          |  |  |  |  |
| T50D50            | 14                | 11.95           | 0.853571 | 14.64          |  |  |  |  |
| T70D70            | 13.9              | 11.2            | 0.805755 | 19.42          |  |  |  |  |
| D 6% Pozzo        | 13.9              | 11.15           | 0.802158 | 19.78          |  |  |  |  |
| D 12% Pozzo       | 13.9              | 11.05           | 0.794964 | 20.5           |  |  |  |  |
| D 18% Pozzo       | 14                | 11.1            | 0.792857 | 20.86          |  |  |  |  |
| T3%Pozzo          | 13.9              | 10.35           | 0.744604 | 25.54          |  |  |  |  |
| T6%Pozzo          | 12.8              | 10.2            | 0.796875 | 20.31          |  |  |  |  |
| S90D10            | 13.9              | 11.1            | 0.798561 | 20.14          |  |  |  |  |
| S70D30            | 13.9              | 11.55           | 0.830935 | 16.5           |  |  |  |  |
| S50D50            | 14                | 12.25           | 0.875    | 12.5           |  |  |  |  |
| W J SANE NO BROME |                   |                 |          |                |  |  |  |  |

# Linear shrinkage data sheet

# Typical compaction test data sheets



## GEOLOGICAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY COMPACTION TEST

| PROJECT                 | Black co | otton so       | il                    |                                    |       |        |        |       |  |  |
|-------------------------|----------|----------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|
| SAMPLE No.              | Doyumu   |                |                       |                                    |       |        |        |       |  |  |
| TRIAL NO.               | 1        |                | 2                     | 2                                  |       |        | 4      |       |  |  |
| Mass of cylinder + wet  |          |                |                       |                                    |       |        |        |       |  |  |
| sample (g)              | 1150     | 07             | 117                   | 716                                | 11    | 916    | 11593  |       |  |  |
| Mass of cylinder (g)    | 750      | 5 7505 7505    |                       |                                    |       |        | 7505   |       |  |  |
| Mass of wet sample (g)  | 400      | 4002 4211 4411 |                       |                                    |       | 4088   |        |       |  |  |
| Bulk Density (Mg/m³)    | 1.9      | 5              | 2.05 2.15             |                                    |       | .15    | 1.9    | 99    |  |  |
| Container No.           | D12      | X5             | 5 H2 D61              |                                    | D2    | D1     | E1     | A3    |  |  |
| Mass of container + wet |          |                |                       |                                    |       |        |        |       |  |  |
| soil (g)                | 120.34   | 116.78         | 119 <mark>.7</mark> 3 | 113.28                             | 91.24 | 100.12 | 118.71 | 92.00 |  |  |
| Mass of container + dry |          |                | 104                   |                                    |       |        |        |       |  |  |
| soil (g)                | 109.63   | 106.87         | 106.64                | 101.20                             | 79.42 | 87.13  | 98.60  | 75.89 |  |  |
| Mass of container (g)   | 18.15    | 18.38          | 17.92                 | 64101.2079.4287.13218.6218.0418.12 |       | 18.88  | 14.39  |       |  |  |
| Mass of water (g)       | 10.71    | 9.91           | 13.09                 | 12.08                              | 11.82 | 12.99  | 20.11  | 16.11 |  |  |
| Mass of dry soil (g)    | 91.48    | 88.49          | 88.72                 | 82.58                              | 61.38 | 69.01  | 79.72  | 61.50 |  |  |
| Water content (%)       | 11.71    | 11.20          | 14.75                 | 14.63                              | 19.26 | 18.82  | 25.23  | 26.20 |  |  |
| Average Water Content   |          |                |                       | - a                                |       |        |        |       |  |  |
| (%)                     | 11.4     | 15             | 14.                   | 69                                 | 19    | .04    | 25     | .71   |  |  |
| Dry density (Mg/m³)     | 1.75     | 52             | 1.7                   | '91                                | 1.8   | 808    | 1.5    | 86    |  |  |
| Height of mould (cm)    | 11.6     | 3              | 9                     | 1332                               | SX    |        |        |       |  |  |

Height of mould (cm)11.8Diameter of mould (cm)15Volume of mould (cc)2049.89



## GEOLOGICAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT **GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY COMPACTION TEST**

| PROJECT                | Black cot | ton soil   |                    |             |                  |          |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------|-----------|--|--------------------|-------------|------------------|----------|--------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| SAMPLE No.             | Doryumu S | 90D10  |                    |             |                  |          |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRIAL NO.              | 1         |  | 2                  |             | 3                |          | 4      |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mass of cylinder + wet |           |  |                    |             |                  |          |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sample (g)             | 862       | 5  | 90                 | )15         | 90               | 72       | 872    | 25    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mass of cylinder (g)   | 4150      | 0  | 41                 | 50          | 41               | 50       | 4150   |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mass of wet sample (g) | 447       | 5  | 48                 | 65          | 49               | 22       | 457    | 75    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bulk Density (Mg/m³)   | 1.90      |  | 2.0                | 06          | 2.0              | 09       | 1.9    | 4     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Container No.          | 99        | 188  | 42                 | 131         | 341              | 407      | 368    | 475   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mass of container +    |           |  |                    |             |                  |          |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| wet soil (g)           | 77.50     | 87.50  | 64.90              | 62.80       | 79.50            | 90.30    | 102.70 | 85.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mass of container +    |           |  | 124                |             |                  |          |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| dry soil (g)           | 71.20     | 80.90  | 58.50              | 56.80       | 69.40            | 80.00    | 86.00  | 71.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mass of container (g)  | 10.30     | 16.10  | 10.10              | 10.40       | 9.80             | 17.00    | 17.00  | 9.30  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mass of water (g)      | 6.30      | 6.60   | 6.40               | 6.00        | 10.10            | 10.30    | 16.70  | 14.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mass of dry soil (g)   | 60.90     | 64.80  | 48.40              | 46.40       | 59.60            | 63.00    | 69.00  | 61.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water content (%)      | 10.34     | 10.19  | 13.22              | 12.93       | 16.95            | 16.35    | 24.20  | 23.62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Water          |           | Z  |                    | X           |                  | -        |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Content (%)            | 10.2      | 7  | 13.                | .08         | 16.              | 65       | 23.    | 91    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dry density (Mg/m³)    | 1.72      | í de la compañía de la | ELL NIES           |             |                  |          |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Height of mould (cm)   | X         |  | _■_ Doryumu S90D10 |             |                  |          |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diameter of mould      |           | 1.8  | 5                  | 111         |                  |          |        | _     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (cm)                   | 1 100     | 111.   |                    |             |                  |          |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume of mould (cc)   | 2357.16   | 1.8  | 0 -                |             |                  |          |        | _     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                        |           |  | - /                |             |                  |          |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17                     |           | 1.7  | 5-                 |             |                  |          |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12                     | -         | Ja/u   |                    |             |                  | 3        |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                        | 35        | 2 1.7<br>2   | ME                 | DD= 1.808M  | g/m <sup>3</sup> |          |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                        | APS       | is up 16   | 5                  | //C= 14.19% |                  |          |        | _     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                        | 1         | p<br>∑   | -                  |             |                  |          |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                        |           | L<br>1.6   | 0-                 |             |                  |          |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                        |           |  | -                  |             |                  |          |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                        |           | 1.5  | 5 -                |             |                  |          |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                        |           |  |                    | 12 14       | 16 11            |          | 22 24  | 26    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                        |           |  | 10                 | 12 14       | Moisture con     | tent (%) | 22 24  | 20    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                        |           |  |                    |             |                  |          |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                        |           | L  |                    |             |                  |          |        |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## GEOLOGICAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY COMPACTION TEST

| PROJECT                          | Black cotton soil |           |                             |         |                     |                      |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|---------|---------------------|----------------------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| SAMPLE No.                       | Doryumu           | 6% Pozz   | olana                       |         |                     |                      |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRIAL NO.                        | 1                 |           | 2                           |         | 3                   |                      | 4      |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mass of cylinder + wet sample    |                   |           |                             |         |                     |                      |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| (g)                              | 1132              | 22        | _ 116                       | 89      | 117                 | '8 <mark>6</mark>    | 115    | i86    |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mass of cylinder (g)             | 736               | 3         | 73                          | 63      | 73                  | 63                   | 73     | 63     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mass of wet sample (g)           | 395               | 9         | 43                          | 26      | 44                  | 23                   | 42     | 23     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bulk Density (Mg/m³)             | 1.9               | 3         | 2.                          | 11      | 2.                  | 16                   | 2.0    | 06     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Container No.                    | H2                | D8        | ТВ                          | C2      | M1                  | D3                   | D11    | D7     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mass of container + wet soil (g) | 92.95             | 96.13     | 88.51                       | 104.87  | 99.89               | 1 <mark>17.64</mark> | 106.87 | 127.81 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mass of container + dry soil (g) | 84.69             | 87.39     | 78.45                       | 90.87   | 84.19               | 99.39                | 86.13  | 106.65 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mass of container (g)            | 17.90             | 18.00     | 17.97                       | 18.01   | 18.18               | 18.47                | 18.05  | 17.94  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mass of water (g)                | 8.26              | 8.74      | 10.06                       | 14.00   | 15.70               | 18.25                | 20.74  | 21.16  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mass of dry soil (g)             | 66. <b>79</b>     | 69.39     | 60.48                       | 72.86   | 66.01               | 80.92                | 68.08  | 88.71  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water content (%)                | 12.37             | 12.60     | 16.63                       | 19.21   | 23.78               | 22.55                | 30.46  | 23.85  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Water Content (%)        | 12.4              | 8         | 17.                         | .92     | 23                  | .17                  | 27     | .16    |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dry density (Mg/m³)              | 1.71              | 7         | 1.7                         | 90      | 1.7                 | 52                   | 1.6    | 20     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Height of mould (cm)             | 11.6              | K         | 17                          | (III)   |                     |                      |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diameter of mould (cm)           | 15                |           |                             |         |                     |                      |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume of mould (cc)             | 2049.89           |           |                             | Doryume |                     |                      |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                  | 1//               | 1.8       | 30 -                        |         |                     |                      |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                  |                   | 1.7       | 78-                         |         |                     |                      |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                  |                   | 1.7       | 76 -                        |         | /                   | <u></u>              |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                  |                   | en 1.7    | 74 -                        |         |                     | <b>_</b> _           |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3                                |                   | μ/ bj 1.7 | 72                          |         |                     |                      |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| The                              | -                 |           | 70                          |         |                     |                      |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40                               |                   | us 16     | 38                          | MDD=1.7 | 79Mg/m <sup>3</sup> |                      |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| -                                | 1 mil             |           |                             | OMC=19  | .29%                |                      |        | _      |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                  | 13                |           |                             |         |                     |                      |        | -      |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                  |                   | 1.6       | 04 -                        |         |                     |                      |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                  |                   | 1.6       | 52                          |         |                     |                      |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                  |                   | 1.6       | 50 <del>       </del><br>12 | 14 16   | 18 20               | 22 24                | 4 26   | 28     |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                  |                   |           |                             | 1.5     | Moisture con        |                      |        | -      |  |  |  |  |  |

# Typical CBR penetration test data sheets



| Proving Ring constant:kN/div |         |         |         |        |         |          |        |             |       |         | 0.023 |      |         |         |      |         |       |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|----------|--------|-------------|-------|---------|-------|------|---------|---------|------|---------|-------|
| BEFO                         | DRE SOA | KING BU | LK DEN  | SITIES |         |          |        |             | T15   |         |       | T3%  |         |         |      | T12%    |       |
| Mould No.                    | JOO7    | (T15)   | H17(    | Т3%)   | T12     | .%       |        | Penetration | Load  |         | CBR   | Load |         |         | Load |         | CBR   |
| No. of blows per layer       | 5       | 5       | 5       | 5      | 10      | )        |        | (mm)        | (div) | (kN)    | (%)   | div  | kN      | CBR (%) | div  | kN      | (%)   |
| Wet sample + Mould (g)       | 11,     | 677     | 11,     | 656    | 11,5    | 94       |        | 0.00        | 0     | 0       |       | 0    | 0       |         | 0    | 0       |       |
| Mass of Mould                | 7,4     | 71      | 7,3     | 63     | 7,4     | 04       |        | 0.25        | 1.1   | 0.0253  |       | 1    | 0.023   |         | 1.25 | 0.02875 |       |
| Mass of wet sample           | 4,2     | 206     | 4,2     | .93    | 4,1     | 90       |        | 0.50        | 1.1   | 0.0253  |       | 1.1  | 0.0253  |         | 2    | 0.046   |       |
| Volume of mould              | 204     | 9.89    | 204     | 9.89   | 2049    | .89      |        | 0.75        | 1.1   | 0.0253  |       | 1.1  | 0.0253  |         | 2.25 | 0.05175 |       |
| Bulk density (g/cc)          | 2.0     | )52     | 2.0     | 94     | 2.04    | 44       |        | 1.00        | 1.1   | 0.0253  | ]     | 1.2  | 0.0276  |         | 3    | 0.069   |       |
| BEF                          | ORE SOA | KING DI | RY DENS | ITIES  |         |          |        | 1.25        | 1.1   | 0.0253  |       | 1.2  | 0.0276  |         | 3    | 0.069   |       |
| Test.                        | 1       | 2       | C9      | 2      | 1       | 2        |        | 1.50        | 1.1   | 0.0253  |       | 1.25 | 0.02875 |         | 3    | 0.069   |       |
| Container No.                | C18     | x5      | D4      | C9     |         |          |        | 1.75        | 1.2   | 0.0276  |       | 1.29 | 0.02967 |         | 3    | 0.069   |       |
| Wet sample + container (g)   | 113.05  | 116.92  | 89.59   | 103.54 | 101.39  | 101.18   | 2      | 2.00        | 1.25  | 0.02875 |       | 2    | 0.046   |         | 3    | 0.069   |       |
| Dry sample + container (g)   | 102.34  | 105.99  | 79.94   | 90.36  | 91.89   | 92.07    |        | 2.25        | 1.25  | 0.02875 | 0.217 | 2    | 0.046   | 0.347   | 3    | 0.069   | 0.521 |
| Mass of container            | 17.96   | 18.56   | 17.93   | 18.36  | 18.31   | 18.09    |        | 2.50        | 1.7   | 0.0391  |       | 2    | 0.046   |         | 3    | 0.069   |       |
| Mass of water                | 10.71   | 10.93   | 9.65    | 13.18  | 9.50    | 9.11     |        | 2.75        | 1.9   | 0.0437  |       | 2    | 0.046   |         | 3    | 0.069   |       |
| Mass of dry sample           | 84.38   | 87.43   | 62.01   | 72.00  | 73.58   | 73.98    |        | 3.00        | 2     | 0.046   |       | 2    | 0.046   |         | 3    | 0.069   |       |
| Water content                | 12.69   | 12.50   | 15.56   | 18.31  | 12.91   | 12.31    |        | 3.50        | 2.1   | 0.0483  |       | 2.1  | 0.0483  |         | 3    | 0.069   |       |
| Average Water Content        | 12.     | .60     | 16.     | .93    | 12.0    | 61       |        | 4.00        | 2.25  | 0.05175 |       | 2.2  | 0.0506  |         | 3    | 0.069   |       |
| Dry density                  | 1.8     | 322     | 1.7     | '91    | 1.8     | 15       | $\sim$ | 4.50        | 2.7   | 0.0621  | 0.311 | 2.25 | 0.05175 | 0.259   | 3    | 0.069   | 0.346 |
|                              |         |         |         |        | 11      | <u> </u> | 2      | 5.00        | 3.1   | 0.0713  |       | 2.5  | 0.0575  |         | 3    | 0.069   |       |
|                              |         |         |         |        |         | 17/1     | r.     | 5.50        | 4     | 0.092   |       | 2.8  | 0.0644  |         | 3    | 0.069   |       |
|                              |         |         |         |        |         |          |        | 6.00        | 4     | 0.092   |       | 2.9  | 0.0667  |         | 3    | 0.069   |       |
|                              |         |         |         |        |         |          |        | 6.50        | 4     | 0.092   |       | 2.9  | 0.0667  |         | 3    | 0.069   |       |
|                              |         |         |         | -      |         |          | _      | 7.00        | 4     | 0.092   |       | 3    | 0.069   |         | 5    | 0.115   |       |
|                              |         |         |         | 3      |         |          | -      |             |       | 0       |       |      | 0       |         |      | 0       |       |
|                              |         |         |         | 1      | Coton a | RW 3     | S      | ANE NO      | BAD   | N       |       |      |         |         |      |         |       |

| Proving Ring constant:kN/div |         |        |         |        |        |        |                     |       |         |        |      |        |         | 0.02  | 3      |         |
|------------------------------|---------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|---------------------|-------|---------|--------|------|--------|---------|-------|--------|---------|
| BEFORI                       | E SOAKI | NG BUL | K DENSI | TIES   |        |        |                     |       | T3%PC   |        |      | T6%PC  |         | Т9%РС |        |         |
| Mould No.                    | T3%     | 6 PC   | T6%     | 6 PC   | T9%    | 6 PC   | Penetration<br>(mm) | Load  |         | CBR    | Load |        |         | Load  |        |         |
| No. of blows per layer       | 5       | 5      | 5       | 5      | 5      | 5      | ()                  | (div) | (kN)    | (%)    | div  | kN     | CBR (%) | div   | kN     | CBR (%) |
| Wet sample + Mould (g)       | 11,     | 650    | 11,     | 810    | 11,    | 687    | 0.00                | 0     | 0       |        | 0    | 0      |         | 0     | 0      |         |
| Mass of Mould                | 7,3     | 373    | 7,4     | 95     | 7,4    | 30     | 0.25                | 2.5   | 0.0575  |        | 3.5  | 0.0805 |         | 7     | 0.161  |         |
| Mass of wet sample           | 4,2     | 277    | 4,3     | 15     | 4,2    | .57    | 0.50                | 4     | 0.092   |        | 4.5  | 0.1035 |         | 11    | 0.253  |         |
| Volume of mould              | 204     | 9.89   | 204     | 9.89   | 204    | 9.89   | 0.75                | 5     | 0.115   |        | 5    | 0.115  |         | 13    | 0.299  |         |
| Bulk density (g/cc)          | 2.0     | )86    | 2.1     | 05     | 2.0    | 77     | 1.00                | 6     | 0.138   |        | 7    | 0.161  |         | 15    | 0.345  |         |
| BEFOR                        | E SOAK  | ING DR | Y DENSI | FIES   |        |        | 1.25                | 6.5   | 0.1495  |        | 7.5  | 0.1725 |         | 17    | 0.391  |         |
| Test.                        | 1       | 2      | 1       | 2      | 1      | 2      | 1.50                | 7.25  | 0.16675 |        | 8.5  | 0.1955 |         | 18    | 0.414  |         |
| Container No.                |         |        |         |        |        |        | 1.75                | 8     | 0.184   |        | 9    | 0.207  |         | 19.5  | 0.4485 |         |
| Wet sample + container (g)   | 94.91   | 99.98  | 106.37  | 101.73 | 103.11 | 112.33 | 2.00                | 9     | 0.207   |        | 10   | 0.23   |         | 22    | 0.506  |         |
| Dry sample + container (g)   | 82.93   | 87.83  | 95.63   | 90.21  | 94.04  | 101.32 | 2.25                | 9.5   | 0.2185  | 1.6503 | 11   | 0.253  | 1.91088 | 23    | 0.529  | 3.99547 |
| Mass of container            | 18.11   | 17.75  | 18.26   | 17.94  | 18.12  | 18.31  | 2.50                | 10    | 0.23    |        | 11.8 | 0.2714 |         | 24    | 0.552  |         |
| Mass of water                | 11.98   | 12.15  | 10.74   | 11.52  | 9.07   | 11.01  | 2.75                | 10.5  | 0.2415  | =      | 12.2 | 0.2806 |         | 25    | 0.575  |         |
| Mass of dry sample           | 64.82   | 70.08  | 77.37   | 72.27  | 75.92  | 83.01  | 3.00                | 11    | 0.253   | -      | 13   | 0.299  |         | 27    | 0.621  |         |
| Water content                | 18.48   | 17.34  | 13.88   | 15.94  | 11.95  | 13.26  | 3.50                | 11.5  | 0.2645  |        | 14   | 0.322  |         | 29    | 0.667  |         |
| Average Water Content        | 17      | .91    | 14.     | .91    | 12.    | .61    | 4.00                | 12    | 0.276   |        | 14.8 | 0.3404 |         | 31.5  | 0.7245 |         |
| Dry density                  | 1.      | 77     | 1.3     | 83     | 1.     | 84     | 4.50                | 12.5  | 0.2875  | 1.4404 | 15.2 | 0.3496 | 1.7515  | 34    | 0.782  | 3.9178  |
|                              |         |        |         |        |        |        | 5.00                | 13    | 0.299   |        | 16   | 0.368  |         | 37.5  | 0.8625 |         |
|                              |         |        |         |        | Z      |        | 5.50                | 13.2  | 0.3036  | Z      | 16.5 | 0.3795 |         | 40    | 0.92   |         |
| THE                          |         |        |         |        | 6.00   | 13.25  | 0.30475             | ā/    | 16.9    | 0.3887 |      | 42.5   | 0.9775  |       |        |         |
|                              |         |        |         |        |        | A.P.   | 6.50                | 13.75 | 0.31625 |        | 17   | 0.391  |         | 45.5  | 1.0465 |         |
|                              |         |        |         |        |        |        | 7.00                | 14    | 0.322   |        | 17.2 | 0.3956 |         | 48    | 1.104  |         |
|                              |         |        |         |        |        |        | JAN                 | -     | 0       |        |      | 0      |         |       | 0      |         |

